# TARGET SYSTEM CONCEPT FOR A MUON COLLIDER/NEUTRINO FACTORY\*

K.T. McDonald,<sup>†</sup> Princeton University, Princeton, NJ 0844, USA H.G. Kirk, Hisham K. Sayed, D. Stratakis, Brookhaven National Laboratory, Upton, NY 11973, USA X. Ding, UCLA, Los Angeles, CA 90095, USA V.B. Graves, Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Oak Ridge, TN 37830, USA R.J. Weggel, N. Souchlas, Particle Beam Lasers, Northridge, CA 91324, USA

### Abstract

A concept is presented for a Target System in a staged scenario for a Neutrino Factory and eventual Muon Collider, with emphasis on initial operation with a 6.75-GeV proton beam of 1-MW power, and 50 Hz of pulses 3-ns long. A radiation-cooled graphite target will be used in the initial configuration, with an option to replace this with a free-liquid-metal-jet target should 4-MW beam power belong. A radiation-cooled graphite target will be used in the

## **INTRODUCTION**

INTRODU The basic concept for the Target System for a Muon Col-5 lider as a solid or liquid-metal target that intercepts the proton beam inside a high-field solenoid magnet to capture both signs of secondary particles emerged already in stri = 1995 [1]. The present concept is for a graphite (or carboncarbon composite) target and proton beam dump inside a 20-T solenoid field, which field tapers down to 2 T, used throughout the rest of the Muon Collider/Neutrino Factory 201 Front End [2], over 5 m [3]. The yield of muons from the target is maximal at low kinetic energies, roughly 40 < KE < 180 MeV, which particles emerge at large angles to the proton beam, favoring a cylindrical target of small raridius, and tilted slightly with respect to the magnetic axis to iminimize reabsorption of particles if their helical trajectory  $\bigcup$  passes through the target a second time [4].

The solenoid field is to be provided by superconductthe  $\frac{1}{2}$  ing coils (with cable-in-conduit conductor as used in the E ITER project [5]), except for a 5-T resistive coil insert (with <sup>2</sup> Mg)/spinel insulation as used at J-PARC [6]) near the target  $\stackrel{\circ}{=}$  [7]. Radiation damage (particularly to organic insulators)  $\frac{1}{2}$  limits the dose on the superconducting coils to about 10  $\frac{1}{2}$  MGy [8], which translates to a peak power deposition of  $\frac{1}{2}$  about 0.1 mW/g for a 10-year operations lifetime of  $10^7$ s/year. To achieve this performance, superconducting coils g must have internal shields, here taken to be tungsten beads cooled by He gas flow. The required shielding is substantial Ξ  $\frac{1}{5}$  [9, 10], and leads to an inner radius of the superconducting coils of 1.2 m near the target; consequently the energy this stored in the 20-T coils is about 3 GJ.



Figure 1: Sketch of the Target System concept.

The target will also suffer radiation damage and must be replaced periodically. Operation at high temperature provides annealing of radiation damage and substantially longer target lifetime (as demonstrated at the CERN CNGS neutrino target [11]). The target will be radiation cooled, operating at about 1700° C for a carbon-based target at 1-MW beam power. It is encased in a double-walled stainless-steel vessel with intramural He-gas flow for cooling, shown in Fig. 2. The upstream proton beam window (Fig. 1) will be of Ti or Al, and the downstream (double) window will be of Be to minimize degradation of the secondary-particle beam. This vessel will be replaced along with the target (and could be replaced with a different vessel for possible use of a liquid-metal-jet target at eventual higher beam powers [12]).



Figure 2: Target vessel with graphite target and proton beam dump. The downstream beam window (green) is of Be.

**03 Particle Sources and Alternative Acceleration Techniques A09 Muon Accelerators and Neutrino Factories** 

**TUPRI008** 

from \*Work supported by the US DOE Contract No. DE-AC02-Content 98CHI10886.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>†</sup> kirkmcd@princeton.edu

The use of short proton pulses (3 ns rms) leads to severe stress (thermal shock) on a solid target, which is mitigated by use of materials with high strength, high heat capacity and low thermal-expansion coefficient. A carbon-carbon composite can have thermal-expansion coefficient 1/5 that of graphite and may be favored for operation at beam power higher than 1 MW or at repletion rates less than the nominal 60 Hz.

Summaries of the proton beam parameters and of key Target System parameters are given in Tables 1 and 2.

Table 1: Parameters of the Incident Proton Beam

Parameter	Value
Proton beam kinetic energy	6.75 GeV
Proton beam rep. rate	60 Hz
	15 Hz (Muon Collider)
Proton pulse rms length	3 ns
Proton spot rms radius	2 mm
Proton beam $\beta^*$	80 cm
Rms geometric emittance, $\epsilon_{\perp}$	$5 \ \mu \mathrm{m}$

Table 2: Parameters of the Target System

Parameter	Value
Solenoid field on target	20  T  at  z = 0
Final solenoid field	2  T at $z = 5  m$
Stored magnetic energy	3 GJ
Final radius of secondary beam	23 cm
Target density	$1.8 \text{ g/cm}^3$
Target length	80 cm
Target radius	8 mm
Target (and beam) tilt angle	65 mrad
Dump length	120 cm
Dump radius	2.4 cm

#### TARGET SYSTEM OPTIMIZATION

Optimization of the graphite target and dump was performed via MARS15(2014) simulations [13], as reported also in [4]. In an iterative procedure the best values of the target length, radius and tilt angle were determined, assuming that the proton beam is along the target axis at its center, z = 0 and that the rms beam radius is 1/4 the target radius. The figure of merit in the optimization was the number of muons at z = 50 m with kinetic energy in the range 40 <KE < 180 MeV. The reuslts are illustrated in Figs. 3-5.

A comparison of the yield from a graphite target with that from gallium and mercury free-liquid-jet targets is shown in Fig. 3, which indicates that higher-Z targets are favored. While the optimum graphite target radius is near 0.7 cm, as shown in Fig. 4, there is little decrease in muon yield with a target of larger radius, which latter leads to a

lower operating temperature of the radiatively cooled target. Hence a radius of 0.8 cm has been adopted for operation at 1 MW, and a radius as large as 1.2 cm might be favored for use at 4 MW.



Figure 3: Muon yield at z = 50 m as a function of target length for carbon, gallium and mercury targets.

While a beam/target tilt angle of 65 mrad is optimal, as shown in Fig. 5, it would be simpler for the Final Focus system of the Proton Driver [14] if the tilt angle were zero. This would imply a decrease of  $\approx 15\%$  in the muon yield.

Another aspect of the Target System optimization concerned the length of the "taper" of the solenoid field from 20 down to 2 T. For this, simulations using the ICOOL code [15] were used, with input of the secondary-particle spectrum at the end of the target from a MARS15 simulation. It turns out the a longer, more adiabatic taper improves the transverse emittance of the muons, but increases the longitudinal emittance. The latter increase is unfavorable for capture of the muon beam into rf bunches, such that the optimum taper length is about 5 m [16], as shown in Fig. 6.

## **ISSUES FOR FURTHER STUDY**

Numerous issues related to the Target System concept presented here deserved further study

- Thermal shock of the short proton pulse on the graphite target.
- Cooling of target, and the W beads.
- Lifetime of target against radiation damage.
- Beam windows, and the related issue of air activation.
- Assembly (and possible repair via remote handling) of the massive solenoid/shield modules.
- Infrastructure that must be in place at "day 1" to preserve an upgrade option to a liquid-metal-jet target.

DO

03 Particle Sources and Alternative Acceleration Techniques



Figure 4: Muon yield at z = 50 m as a function of graphite target radius.



Figure 5: Muon yield at z = 50 m as a function of beam angle.

- The beam emittance  $\epsilon_{\perp}$ , and  $\beta^{\star}$  at the target.
- Effect of the 20-T solenoid fringe field, and of radiation from the target on the Final Focus quadrupoles.

## REFERENCES

- [2] J.S. Berg et al., Cost-effective design for a neutrino factory, Phys.
- [1] R.B. Palmer *et al.*, Muon Colliders, AIP Conf. Proc. **372**, 3 (1996).
  [2] J.S. Berg *et al.*, Cost-effective design for a neutrino factory, Phys Rev. ST Accel. Beams **9**, 011001 (2006).
  [3] H.K. Sayed, H.G. Kirk and K.T. McDonald, Optimization of th Capture Section of a Staged Neutrino Factory, Proc. NAPAC13, TH PHO11.
  [4] X. Ding, H.G. Kirk and K.T. McDonald, Optimization of Particl Production for a Muon Collider/Neutrino Factory with a 6.75 Gev Proton Driver, Proc. IPAC14, THPRI089. [3] H.K. Sayed, H.G. Kirk and K.T. McDonald, Optimization of the Capture Section of a Staged Neutrino Factory, Proc. NAPAC13, TH-
  - 4] X. Ding, H.G. Kirk and K.T. McDonald, Optimization of Particle Production for a Muon Collider/Neutrino Factory with a 6.75 GeV

```
TUPRI008
```



Figure 6: Muon yield at the end of the Buncher and Phase Rotator of the Front End [2] as a function of taper length, for various combinations of initial and final solenoid fields.

Taper Length [m]

- [5] H. Tsuji et al., ITER R&D: Magnets: Conductor and Joint Development, Fus. Eng. Des. 55, 141 (2001).
- K.H. Tanaka et al., Development of Radiation Resistent Magnets for [6] JHJ/J-PARC, IEEE Trans. Appl. Supercon. 14, 402 (2004).
- R.J. Weggel et al., Magnet Design for the Target System of a Muon [7] Collider/Neutrino Factory, Proc. IPAC14, THPRI087.
- [8] J.H. Schultz, Radiation Resistance of Fusion Magnet Materials, IEEE Fusion En. 423 (2003).
- N. Souchlas et al., Energy Flow and Deposition in a 4-MW Muon-[9] Collider Target System, Proc. IPAC12, WEPPD036.
- [10] R.J. Weggel et al., Shielding of Superconducting Coils for a 4-MW Muon-Collider Target System, Proc. IPAC12, WEPPD037.
- [11] E. Gschwendtner et al., CNGS, CERN Neutrinos to Gran Sasso, Five Years of Running a 500 Kilowatt Neutrino Beam Facility at CERN, CERN-ACC-2013-0266.
- [12] V.B. Graves et al., Design of the Mercury Handling System for a Muon Collider/Neutrino Factory Target, IPAC13, THPFI092.
- N.V. Mokhov, The MARS Code System User's Guide, Fermilab-[13] FN-628 (1995); N.V. Mokhov and S.I. Striganov, MARS15 Overview, AIP Conf. Proc. 896, 50 (2007), http://wwwap.fnal.gov/MARS/
- J. Pasternak et al., Design of the Final Focus of the Proton Beam for [14] a Neutrino Factory, IPAC13, TUPFI074.
- [15] R.C. Fernow, Ionization Cooling Code, https://pubweb.bnl.gov/~fernow/icool/
- [16] H.K. Sayed et al., Optimizing Muon Capture and Transport for a Neutrino Factory/Muon Collider Front End, IPAC13, THPFI075.