# **ON THE FREOUENCY CHOICE FOR THE eRHIC SRF LINAC\***

S. Belomestnykh<sup>#,1,2</sup>, I. Ben-Zvi<sup>1,2</sup>, Y. Hao<sup>1,2</sup>, V. Litvinenko<sup>1,2</sup>, V. Ptitsyn<sup>1,2</sup>, Wencan Xu<sup>1</sup> <sup>1)</sup> Brookhaven National Laboratory, Upton, NY 11973-5000, U.S.A. <sup>2)</sup> Stony Brook University, Stony Brook, NY 11794, U.S.A.

#### Abstract

eRHIC, a future electron-hadron collider proposed at BNL, will collide high-intensity hadron beams from one of the existing rings of RHIC with an electron beam from a multi-pass 21.2 GeV superconducting RF (SRF) Energy Recovery Linac (ERL). A novel approach to the multipass ERL, utilizing a non-scaling FFAG, was recently proposed [1]. It has many advantages over the previous designs including significant cost savings. The current design has up to 16 passes in two FFAG rings. To mitigate various beam dynamics effects, it was proposed to lower RF frequency of the SRF linac from 704 MHz used in the previous design. In this paper we consider different effects driving the frequency choice of the SRF ERL and present our arguments for choosing a lower RF frequency.

### **INTRODUCTION**

An electron-ion collider eRHIC will collide highintensity hadron beams from RHIC with an electron beam delivered by a multi-pass ERL. An FFAG-based ERL will accelerate an electron beam to 15.9 GeV (50 mA) after 12 passes through an SRF linac or to 21.2 GeV (18.5 mA) after 16 passes [1]. In both cases, the linac energy is 1.322 GeV. A 704 MHz superconducting cavity has been developed at BNL for high-current applications [2,3,4]. The cavity, named BNL3, has an optimized geometry that supports strong damping of higher order modes (HOMs) while maintaining good properties of the fundamental mode. The damping is accomplished via six antenna-type couplers attached to the large diameter beam pipes [5]. The simulations showed that this HOM damping scheme provides sufficient suppression of the parasitic HOM impedance to satisfy requirements of the previous version of eRHIC. However, with up to 16 passes in the FFAG version the requirements to the HOM impedance becomes more stringent, To mitigate various beam dynamics effects, it was proposed to lower the RF frequency. In this paper we consider different effects driving the SRF frequency choice, present arguments for choosing a lower frequency and finally describe the main SRF linac.

## **CONSIDERATIONS AFFECTING THE FREQUENCY CHOICE**

There are several considerations affecting the frequency choice for a high current multi-pass ERL: a bunched beam structure, bunch length, energy spread,

D03 High Intensity in Circular Machines - Incoherent Instabilities, Space Charge, Halos, Cooling

author(s), title of the work, publisher, and DOI. beam breakup (BBU) instability threshold. SRF losses. RF power efficiency, cost and complexity considerations. In the eRHIC case, most of these considerations point toward a lower frequency [6]. Below we briefly consider some of the effects.

A continuous train of electron bunches can lead to accumulation of ions and the fast ion instability [7]. To g clear the accumulated ions, a gap of about 0.95 ms (of the 2 same duration as an abort gap for the RHIC beams) is must maintain attribution introduced in eRHIC [8]. Such a gap in the electron beam induces a transient voltage on the ERL cavities:

$$\frac{\Delta V_c}{V_c} = \frac{I_b T_{gap}}{2\pi c E_{acc} N_{cell}} \omega^2, \qquad (1)$$

where  $V_c$  is the cavity accelerating voltage,  $\Delta V_c$  is the transient due to the abort gap of duration  $T_{gap}$ ,  $I_b$  is the this work beam current,  $E_{acc}$  is the accelerating gradient,  $N_{cell}$  is the number of cells per cavity,  $\omega$  is the RF frequency, and c is the speed of light. As the transient voltage fraction is of distribution proportional to the frequency square (for the same accelerating gradient and number of cells per cavity), there is a clear advantage of using lower frequency cavities.

One of the salient features of eRHIC is the ability to use polarized electrons in collisions. Preserving 2014). polarization of the electron beam throughout an acceleration cycle is a difficult task and the dominant 3.0 licence (© depolarizing effect is caused by an energy spread induced by the RF wave curvature [1]. While this can be alleviated with energy spread compensation linac [9], in the end it limits the bunch length. Lower frequency allows us to proportionally increase the bunch length, which, in turn, В reduces various wake field effects. For example, the linac the CC loss factor is approximately proportional to the cavity frequency square.

under the terms of A transverse beam break up is the dominant effect limiting beam current in ERLs. The instability threshold current is inversely proportional to the frequencies of higher order modes. Also, the number of HOMs is reduced proportionally to the cavity frequency, as fewer low-frequency cavities are required to build the linac. If nsed one can lengthen the bunch (as in the case of eRHIC) with lowering the frequency, the total number of HOMs per é cavity within the bunch spectrum stays constant. Thus the may overall improvement of the BBU threshold scales work inversely to the frequency square. This consideration is of special importance for a multi-pass ERL.

Content from this During the last few years, new advances in the SRF technology demonstrated possibility of preparing cavities with a very low residual resistance of just a few nanoOhm, see for example reference [10]. This is

<sup>\*</sup> Work is supported by Brookhaven Science Associates, LLC under contract No. DE-AC02-98CH10886 with the US DOE #sbelomestnykh@bnl.gov

especially beneficial at low frequencies, where SRF biosses are dominated by residual losses as the BCS component becomes very small. As it was discussed elsewhere [11], improving the residual resistance shifts optimal cryogenic performance of SRF linacs to lower work, frequencies.

Additional considerations include better RF power of the generation efficiency at lower frequencies; possible lower sensitivity to an environmental (microphonic) noise; some cost advantages related to the reduced complexity of the

system and smaller number of components in the linac. As at very low frequencies (~300 MHz and below) the size of the cavities becomes inconveniently large, we  $\stackrel{\circ}{=}$  have chosen a frequency of 422 MHz for eRHIC, which is  $\mathfrak{S}$  the 45<sup>th</sup> harmonic of the RHIC bunch repetition frequency

of 9.38 MHz. In order to In order to avoid bunches from different ERL passes from piling on top of each other in the linac and to avoid in uneven voltage transients, the circumference of the FFAG should be chosen appropriately. For eRHIC we have include the chosen it to be one RF wavelength longer than the z circumference of RHIC. In this case all bunches travel in  $\vec{a}$  groups of N (N is the number of ERL passes) accelerating  $\Xi$  bunches separated by one RF period, followed by N decelerating bunches with the same bunch separation. This bunch pattern, shown in Figure 1, will produce a Fregular voltage transient and will ensure that the <sup>5</sup> accelerating and decelerating bunches at the same pass base have the same energy. At 422 MHz the transient is small, of the order of  $10^{-3}$  in magnitude.



Figure 1: Bunch pattern in the eRHIC FFAG ERL with 16 passes, showing the ion-clearing gap.

As we mentioned above, the dominant effect, which þe limits the beam current in ERLs, is the transverse BBU. To evaluate this effect for eRHIC, we have scaled the <sup>★</sup> previously developed BNL3 cavity shape to 422 MHz and Ň calculated parameters of several lowest dipole HOM pass Bands. The results are shown in Figure 2. This data set from was used for BBU simulations, which predicted the threshold beam current higher than 50 mA even with no Conten HOM frequency spread [1].

# **TUPME084**



Figure 2: Quality factors and R/Q's of the dipole HOMs.

### MAIN SRF LINAC

The ERL SRF systems will have to provide only power necessary to maintain stable amplitude and phase of the electromagnetic field inside SRF cavities and to compensate for any parasitic energy losses incurred by the beam (due to synchrotron radiation, resistive wall and higher order modes). The maximum amount of the parasitic beam power loss is set to 12 MW, which in turn limits the beam current at 21.2 GeV to 18.5 mA. The main SRF linac only accelerates and decelerates the electron beam. It will be installed in the 200-meter long IR2 straight section of the RHIC tunnel. Parameters of the main SRF linac are listed in Table 1. The beam loss power will be compensated by a separate linac, located at the same IR and operating at the second harmonic of the main linac. An energy spread caused by the curvature RF waveform causes depolarization of spins of electrons. To minimize this effect, an energy spread compensation linac is required. This SRF linac will operate at the fifth harmonic of the main linac frequency.

Table 1: Parameters of the Main SRF Linac

Energy gain	1.32 GeV
Bunch length	4 mm rms
Bunch repetition frequency	9.38 MHz
Number of RF buckets per RHIC revolution	120
Number of RF buckets filled	111
RF frequency	422 MHz
Number of 5-cell SRF cavities	42
Linac fill factor	0.60
Accelerating gradient	18.4 MV/m
Operating temperature	1.9 K

The main SRF linac will utilize five-cell 422-MHz cavities, scaled versions of the BNL3 704-MHz cavity developed for high current linac applications [2,3,4]. Each cavity will be housed in an individual cryounit, a series of

#### **05 Beam Dynamics and Electromagnetic Fields**

1548

D03 High Intensity in Circular Machines - Incoherent Instabilities, Space Charge, Halos, Cooling

5th International Particle Accelerator Conference ISBN: 978-3-95450-132-8

- [2] Wencan Xu, et al., "High current cavity design at BNL," Nucl. Instr. and Meth. A 622 (2010) 17-20.
- [3] Wencan Xu, et al., "High current SRF cavity design for SPL and eRHIC," PAC'2011, pp. 2589.
- [4] Wencan Xu, et al., "Progress on the high-current 704 MHz superconducting RF cavity at BNL," IPAC'2012, p. 2486.
- [5] Wencan Xu et al., "New HOM coupler design for high current SRF cavity," PAC'2011, p. 925.
- [6] I. Ben-Zvi, "BNL ERL and frequency choices," presented at the LHeC Workshop, Chavannes-de-Bogis, Switzerland, January 20-21, 2014.
- [7] T. O. Raubenheimer, and F. Zimmermann, "Fast beam-ion instability. I. Linear theory and simulations," Phys. Rev. E 52 (1995) 5487.
- [8] G. Wang, Y. Luo, V. N. Litvinenko, "Fast Beam-ion Instability Studies for the FFAG-based eRHIC," this proceedings.
- [9] S. Belomestnykh, et al., "Superconducting RF linac for eRHIC," LINAC'2012, p. 321.
- [10] A. Romanenko and A. Grassellino, "Dependence of the microwave surface resistance of superconducting niobium on the magnitude of the RF field," Appl. Phys. Lett. 102, 252603 (2013).
- [11] M. Liepe, J. Knobloch, "Superconducting RF for energy-recovery linacs," Nucl. Instr. and Meth. A 557 (2006) 354-369.

- avoid beam breakup (BBU) instabilities. The HOM power
- is reaching 11.7 kW per cavity at a beam current of 50 mA and 12 ERL passes.

## **CONCLUSION**

In this paper we considered several issues driving the frequency choice of a high current multi-pass superconducting RF ERL for eRHIC. All beam dynamics effects point toward a lower RF frequency. Considering this and technology limitations, we have proposed to lower the eRHIC main linac frequency from 704 MHz to 422 MHz.

# REFERENCES

[1] eRHIC Design Study, https://www.phenix.bnl.gov/WWW/publish/elke/EIC /eRHIC-

CDR/Document/cdr.eRHIC.all.v1.5.toprint.pdf