CONCEPTUAL DESIGN OF AN X-FEL FACILITY USING CLIC X-BAND ACCELERATING STRUCTURE

A. Aksoy^{*}, Ö. Yavas, Institute of Accelerator Technologies, Ankara, Turkey D. Schulte, A. Latina, W. Wuensch, I. Syratchev, A. Grudiev, S. Stapnes CERN, Geneva, Switzerland

Z. Nergiz, Nigde University Physics Department, Nigde, Turkey

M. Doğan, Dogus University, Istanbul, Turkey

G. D'Auria, S. Di Mitri, C. Serpico, Elettra, Trieste, Italy

M. Boland, J. McKinlay, Synchrotron Light Source Australia, Clayton, Australia

J. Clarke, D. Angal-Kalinin, STFC, Daresbury Laboratory Cockcroft Institute, Daresbury, UK

R. Ruber, T. Ekelof, V. Ziemann, Uppsala University, Uppsala, Sweden

Q. GU, W. Fang, Shangai Institute of Applied Physics, Shanghai, China

E. Gazis, A. Charitonidis, National Technical University of Athens, Greece

 Sth International Particle Accelerator Conference

 ISBN: 978-3-95450-132-8

 CONCEPTUAL DESIGN OF AN X-F

 ACCELERATIN

 A. Aksoy*, Ö. Yavaş, Institute of Acc

 D. Schulte, A. Latina, W. Wuensch, I. Syratchev, A

 Z. Nergiz, Nigde University Phy

 M. Doğan, Dogus Univ

 G. D'Auria, S. Di Mitri, C. S

 M. Boland, J. McKinlay, Synchrotron L

 J. Clarke, D. Angal-Kalinin, STFC, Daresbury

 R. Ruber, T. Ekelof, V. Ziemann, U

 Q. GU, W. Fang, Shangai Institute of

 E. Gazis, A. Charitonidis, National T

 Abstract

 Within last decade a linear accelerating structure with an average loaded gradient of 100 MV/m at 12 GHz has been proposed to use the CLIC structure to drive an FEL linac. In average loaded to use the CLIC structure to drive an FEL linac. In average loaded to use the CLIC structure to drive an FEL linac. In average loaded to use the CLIC structure to drive an FEL linac. In average loaded to use the CLIC structure to drive an FEL linac. In average loaded to use the CLIC structure to drive an FEL linac. In average loaded to use the CLIC structure to drive an FEL linac.

 posed to use the CLIC structure to drive an FEL linac. In contrast to CLIC the linac would be powered by klystrons work not by a drive beam. The main advantage of this proposal is achieving the required energies in a very short distance, thus the facility would be rather compact. In this study, we present the conceptual design parameters of a facility which uo To could generate laser photon pulses of 575 Angstrom. Shorter wavelengths with slightly increasing the energy. could generate laser photon pulses covering the range of 1-75 Angstrom. Shorter wavelengths could also be reached Any

INTRODUCTION

2014). X-band accelerator development has gained improve-O ment within last ten years, motivated by the need for highg radient accelerators for the future linear colliders in highenergy physics research [1]. Design of accelerator cells [2], manufacturing [3], and characterization technique [4] have been developed. Due to limited RF power source avail-ability for X-band frequencies, the accelerating principle at been developed. Due to limited RF power source avail-^OX-band frequencies were based on two beam accelerating 읍 (TBA) scheme. Simply the TBA scheme can be described as following; The RF power is generated by a high current gelectron beam (drive beam) running parameters accelerator. This drive beam is decelerated in special power and the generated RF power is transextraction structures and the generated RF power is transferred to the x-band accelerator. In other words the drive beam power extraction structures acts as any active RF components (i.e. klystrons) as it is at Compact Linear Collider $\frac{1}{2}$ (CLIC) project [5]. The development of power sources for x-⇒band structures [6] gives opportunity this technology to be Content from this work m used as traditional accelerators especially in FEL designs.

MACHINE DESCRIPTION

The proposed facility is a 6 GeV linac, consisting of an S-Band injector and high-gradient X-band main accelerator which can deliver a high-repetition rate low-emittance beam, one or several undulator sections and photon beam lines with a user facility. The proposed layout is given with Fig. 1. Expected facility length is about 550 m and basic parameters of facility is given in Table 1.

Table 1: Basic Parameters of an X-FEL Facility Based on X-Band linac

	Parameter	Unit	Value
Main Linac	Energy	GeV	6
	Bunch Charge	pC	250
	Normalized emittance	mm mrad	< 0.5
	Bunch length	μ m	<8
	Linac frequency	GHz	12
	Structure Length (inc. couplers)	m	0.75
	Structure gradient	MV/m	65
	No of structures per RF module	#	10
	Total (effective) module length	m	10 (7.5)
	Number of RF modules needed	#	12
	No of klystrons per RF module	#	2
	Klystron output power	MW	50
	Klystron output pulse length	μs	1.5
	RF pulse length at structure	ns	150
	Pulse repetition rate	Hz	50-100
	Number of bunches per pulse	#	1-3
	Energy	MeV	300
Injector	Linac frequency	GHz	3
	Linac gradient	MV/m	20
	Number of klystrons	#	5
	Klystron output Power	MW	50
	Total (effective) injector length	m	50 (25)

Injector

The injector is proposed to be similar to the injector at SwissFEL [7]. It is based on S-band RF gun operating at about 100 MV/m gradient and standard S-band structures operating at about 20 MV/ gradient. However if the beam pulse repetition rate is required to increase up to 500 Hz one should also design the S-band structures of injector for such repetition.

avniaksoy@ankara.edu.tr



Figure 1: Layout of proposed facility.

Main Accelerator

X-band accelerating structures developed for CLIC project [8] are planned to be used in main accelerating section. In order to define structure parameters such as gradient, structure length, aperture etc., we initially have checked the effect of single bunch wake field along the main linac. If the beam is injected with an offset, e.g. from beam jitter, the transverse wake fields in the linac will induce an additional oscillation of the centroid of the bunch, which is 90° out of phase with the initial oscillation [9]. We require that the ratio $\frac{A}{A_0}$ of this induced oscillation to the original (in normalised coordinates) remains small $\frac{A}{A_0} << 1$.

Figure 2 shows the curves of maximum amplifications of $\max[\frac{A_x}{A_{x_0}}] = 0.1$ and 0.4 versus gradient of two different CLIC structure (CLIC-502 [8], CLIC-G [10]). As it can be seen on the figure in order to get $\max[\frac{A_x}{A_{x_0}}] = 0.4$ the gradient of CLIC-G structure must be more than 110 MV/m while the gradient above 35 MV/m is acceptable for CLIC-502 type of structure. Both structure do not allow to get $\max[\frac{A_x}{A_{x_0}}] = 0.1$ amplification.



Figure 2: Amplification curves of a single bunch versus gradient and a/λ of structures. a/λ line above curves is acceptable.

Cost estimation has been done using the structure database of CLIC taking into account wake field effect, gradient, length, input power of structures, also considered cost of module consisting the pulse compressor. The summary of cost estimation is given with Table 2.

Pulse compressor and RF module layout: Using the results given in Table 2, 10 structures will be installed on one RF module and fed by one RF station which is essentially is combination two klystrons. Schematic view of the module and power combination/distribution system is given with Fig. 3.

Two klystron that each has power of 50 MW and pulse length of $1.5\mu s$ will be driven by two individual modulator. The RF pulses will be combined with hybrids combiners. Single RF pulse that has 100 MW power and $1.5\mu s$ length

02 Synchrotron Light Sources and FELs

 Table 2: Summary of Basic Parameters of Cost Optimization

Parameter	CLIC-502		Optimum				
Structures per RF unit	12	16	10				
Klystrons per RF unit	2	2	2				
Structure length (m)	0.23	0.23	0.75				
a/λ	0.145	0.145	0.125				
Operating gradient (MV/m)	77	67.5	65				
Energy gain per RF unit (MeV)	213	248	488				
RF units needed	27	23	12				
Total klystrons	54	46	24				
Linac active length (m)	74	84	88				
Cost estimate (a.u.)	76.2	71.5	51.7				
2x modulators 410kV,1.6µs flat top 2x klystrons 50 MW,1.5µs 100 MW 15 us 3dB hybrids 51 ED-II delay lines converters							
TE01 transfer line TE01900 Inline RF distribution network							
468 MW 150 ns		x10 ac @68.8	celerating structure MV/m gradient				

Figure 3: Schematic view of proposed RF unit (module).

10m total (7.5m active)

will be compressed to 150 ns by SLED-II delay lines [11]. After compression expected RF power is 468 MW. The compressed power will be distributed by an RF network to each structure evenly which means each structure will be fed by 46.8 MW power yielding 68.8 MV/m gradient.

SIMULATIONS

Preliminary simulations has been performed for the in jector, main accelerating section and FEL generation.

Injector: Similar to LCLS [12] a 1.5 cell photo cathode RF gun operating 100 MV/m gradient at 3 GHz is proposed for the electron source. The cathode of the gun is assumed to deliver 250 pC bunch charge and 9 ps full width half maximum bunch length. Travelling wave accelerating structures that are operating with 20 MV/m gradient at 3 GHz are fallowed the RF gun similar to SwissFEL. Astra code [13] has been used for simulations and optimization injector section. The beam size and emittance along the injector is given with Fig. 4. As it can be seen the projected normalized emittance ε_x is below 0.5 mm.mrad.

5th International Particle Accelerator Conference ISBN: 978-3-95450-132-8



Figure 4: Horizontal emittance and beam size through the injector.



Figure 5: Beam energy, and Twiss functions along the linac.

Main accelerating section: The injector is followed by an X-Band structure as a chirp linearizer in order to perform better bunch compression. A bunch compressor is located after the linearizer structure afterward two stage main accelerating section separated with bunch compressor is pro-. posed for the main accelerating section (see Fig.1). FODO 201 type of lattice is proposed for beam transport and Elegant 0

Figures 5 and 6 show the beam energy and Twiss func-tions along the linac and final longitudinal phase space of \overleftarrow{a} the bunch. In order to compress bunches down to σ_z = $\bigcup_{i=1}^{N} 8\mu m$, we have used two bunch compressor with $R_{56,BC1} =$ a 0.0267 m and $R_{56,BC1} = 0.0092 \text{ m}$, and summary of struc-



Figure 6: Longitudinal phase space and beam parameters of bunch at the end of linac.

	Name of section	No. of struc.	G(MV/m)	ϕ_{RF} (deg)			
	Gun S-band	1	100	26			
	Injector S-band	4	16	20			
	Injector X-band	1	62.2	151.5			
	Linac1 X-band	40	65	20			
	Linac2 X-band	90	65	-15			
free much communication DMC most communities I and the							

After such compression RMS peak current is $I \approx 9$ kA and RMS energy spread is $\sigma_E/E \approx 0.06\%$.

Lasing Section: We have also performed simulations for the lasing section using GENESIS [15] code. We have used planar type of undulators located on FODO type of lattice. We assumed each undulator have about 4.2 m length, 15 mm period length and 1 undulator strength. Using the longitudinal bunch distribution given with Fig. 6 we have found that the power of laser at 0.9 Å resonant wavelength saturates at around 75 m and power reaches to several GWs which is typical number for X-FELs.

CONCLUSION

Applications of X-band technology in linacs is rapidly expanding due to its great potential already shown and the possibility to operate it at gradients up to 100 MV/m. This will also benefit the interest for very compact high energy linacs for hard X-ray FELs. A committee consist of several institutions which are interested X-FEL has been studying on usage of CLIC X-Band structure for driving an FEL facility in order to write a common conceptual design report (CDR) for such an X-FEL facility. It is planned that the CDR will be completed by the end of 2014 and several projects are going to be started in next years.

In this paper we focused on feasibility of usage of CLIC X-Band structures driving an FEL facility. We described preliminary simulations. It is shown that the bunches can be compressed down to $\sigma_z = 8\mu$ m and the radiation below 1 Å can be produced in SASE mode. However energy options of 3 GeV and 12 GeV will be studied as well as the tolerance requirements of such machine. High repetition rate up to 500 Hz, seeding FEL generation and tapered type undulator options will also be studied in future.

REFERENCES

- [1] J. W. Wang et al., "Accelerator Structures R&D for Linear Colliders", PAC99, New York, USA (1999).
- [2] J.W. Wang et al., "Fabrication Technologies of the high gradient accelerator structures at 100 MV/m range", IPAC 2010, Kyoto, Japan.
- [3] A. Grudiev, W. Wuensch, "Design of the CLIC main linac accelerating structure for CLIC Conceptual Design Report", LINAC 10, Tsukuba, Japan, (2010).
- [4] A. Degiovanni et. al., "High-Gradient Test Results From a CLIC Prototype Accelerating Structure: TD26CC", IPAC'14, Dresden, June 2014, these proceedings.
- [5] M. Aicheler, et.al., "A Multi-TeV linear collider based on CLIC technology: CLIC conceptual design report", CERN, Geneva (2012).

02 Synchrotron Light Sources and FELs **A06 Free Electron Lasers**

- [6] J. Kovermann et al., "Commissioning of the first klystronbased x-band power Source at CERN", IPAC2012, New Orleans, Louisiana, USA (2012).
- [7] R. Ganter, "SwissFEL Conceptual Design Report", PSI Bericht 10-04 (2012).
- [8] A. Grudiev and D. Schulte, "The Accelerating Structure for A 500 GeV CLIC", LINACâĂŹ10, Tsukuba, September 2010.
- [9] A. Aksoy, et al., "Beam dynamics simulation for the Compact Linear Collider drive-beam accelerator", Phys. Rev. ST Accel. Beams, v:14, i:8, p:84402, (2011).
- [10] J. Shi, et al. "Tuning of CLIC Accelerating Structure Prototypes at CERN", LINAC2010, Tsukuba, Japan, (2010).
- [11] C. Nantista, et al., "High-Power RF Pulse Compression with SLED-II at SLAC", SLAC-PUB 6145 (1993) EPAC'96.
- [12] C.Limborg, et al., "RF Design of the LCLS Gun", LCLS-TN-05-3, 2006.
- [13] K. Floettmann, "ASTRA User Manual", (2011).
- [14] M. Borland, "User's Manual for Elegant", 2013.
- [15] S. ReicheM. Borland, "Genesis User's Manual", 2004.