HARMONIC LASING IN X-RAY FELS

E. A. Schneidmiller and M.V. Yurkov, DESY, Hamburg, Germany

Abstract

Contrary to nonlinear harmonic generation, harmonic lasing in a high-gain FEL can provide much more intense, stable,and narrow-band FEL beam which is easier to handle if the fundamental is suppressed. We performed thorough study of the problem within framework of 3D model taking into account all essential effects. We found that harmonic lasing is much more robust than usually thought, and can be widely used in the existing or planned X-ray FEL facilities. LCLS after a minor modification can lase at the 3rd harmonic up to the photon energy of 25-30 keV providing multi-gigawatt power level. At the European XFEL the harmonic lasing would allow to extend operating range ultimately up to 100 keV, to reduce bandwidth and improve brilliance, to provide short-wavelength operation after CW upgrade etc.

INTRODUCTION

Harmonic lasing in single-pass high-gain FELs [1–4], i.e. the radiative instability at an odd harmonic of the planar undulator developing independently from lasing at the fundamental wavelength, might have significant advantages over nonlinear harmonic generation providing much higher power, much better stability, and smaller bandwidth.

Thorough revision of the parameter space for harmonic lasing has been performed recently within framework of 3D FEL theory and taking into account all essential effects [5]. It has been found that harmonic lasing can be of interest in many practical cases. In fact, gain at higher harmonics can be higher than that at the fundamental for diffraction limited electron beams with small ratio of emittance to radiation wavelength $2\pi\epsilon/\lambda$. This parameter space corresponds to the operating range of soft X-ray beamlines of X-ray FEL facilities. For $2\pi\epsilon/\lambda \gtrsim 1$ (hard x-ray FELs are in this parameter range) the properties of saturated harmonic lasing at a given wavelength are approximately the same as those of the retuned fundamental.

In this paper we consider a possible application of harmonic lasing to different X-ray FEL facilities, and conclude that they can strongly profit from this option. In particular, LCLS [6] can significantly extend its operating range towards shorter wavelengths making use of the third harmonic lasing with the help of the intra-undulator spectral filtering and phase shifters. In the case of the European XFEL [7], the harmonic lasing can allow to extend the operating range, to reduce FEL bandwidth and increase brilliance.

GAIN LENGTH

In the linear regime of a SASE FEL operation the fundamental frequency and harmonics grow independently with gain lengths $L_g^{(h)}$ (here the superscript denotes harmonic

02 Synchrotron Light Sources and FELs

work, publisher, and DOI. number). In the case of the simultaneous lasing in the parameter range $2\pi\epsilon/\lambda \gtrsim 1$ the fundamental mode always has the the shortest gain length., i.e. it saturates first. Let us formulate the problem differently. We can produce radiation at title a target wavelength λ by two ways. First option is tuning of FEL amplifier to the fundamental wavelength λ . Second option is tuning of FEL amplifier to the fundamental wavelength λ/h and generate *h*-th harmonic with wavelength λ . The question is which option provides shortest gain length. he

of

author(s),

2

3.0 licence

the

of

the

under

may

Tuning of the FEL amplifier can be performed either by increasing electron energy, or reducing the undulator parameter K as it is implemented in x-ray facilities. For the case when we can neglect energy spread effects, and assuming that the beta-function is tuned to the optimum value corresponding to maximum gain for each case we have [5]:

ctron energy, or reducing the undulator param-
implemented in x-ray facilities. For the case
neglect energy spread effects, and assuming
function is tuned to the optimum value corre-
naximum gain for each case we have [5]:
$$\frac{L_g^{(1K)}}{L_g^{(h)}} = \frac{h^{1/2}KA_{JJh}(K)}{K_{re}A_{JJ1}(K_{re})},$$
$$\frac{L_g^{(1\gamma)}}{L_g^{(h)}} = \frac{h^{5/6}A_{JJh}(K)}{A_{JJ1}(K)}.$$
(1) for interval to the un-
pts (1K) and (1\gamma) refer to retuning of the un-

The superscripts (1K) and (1γ) refer to retuning of the undulator parameter and electron energy, respectively. A_{JJh} Any distri is coupling factor defined in a standard way [2, 4, 5]. The retuned undulator parameter K_{re} is given by $K_{re}^2 = (1 + 1)^2$ K^2)/h - 1 (obviously, K must be larger than $\sqrt{h-1}$). For 4. large K the ratio in the first line of Eq. (1) is reduced to 20 hA_{JJh}/A_{JJ1} , so that the gain length of the retuned funda-0 mental mode is larger by a factor of 1.41 (1.65) than that of the third (fifth) harmonic. For an arbitrary K we plot in Fig. 1 the ratio of gain lengths (1). It is seen that the third harmonic always has an advantage (in case of negligible en-BΥ ergy spread), i.e. its gain length is shorter for any value of 20 Κ.

In the case of boosting electron energy for lasing at three times reduced fundamental wavelength, the advantage of using the 3rd harmonic is not that obvious (since an increase of electron energy at the same wavelength leads to a decrease of the parameter $2\pi\epsilon/\lambda$ thus improving FEL properties, in general). However, even in this case, the gain length for the third harmonic is shorter if rms value of K is larger than 1.4.

Let us present a numerical example for the European é XFEL. Consider operation at 1 Å with the charge 0.5 nC, peak current 5 kA, normalized emittance 0.7 μ m, and electron energy 10.5 GeV in a planar undulator with the period 4 cm. For the rms K value of 2.3 the fundamental wavelength is 3 Å, which is suppressed by using phase shifters and/or spectral filtering [5]. Then we have third harmonic lasing at 1 Å with the field gain length of 6.9 m for h = 3. Now we change the rms K value to 1.05 so that lasing at



author(s), title of the work, publisher, and DOI Figure 1: Ratio of gain lengths of the retuned fundamental and the third harmonic for lasing at the same wavelength versus rms undulator parameter K. The fundamental wavelength is reduced by means of reducing the undulator paattribution rameter K (solid) or increasing beam energy (dash).

naintain the fundamental frequency occurs at 1 Å. In that case for h = 1 we find that the gain length is 10.4 m, i.e. about 50 $\frac{1}{2}$ % larger than in the case of 3rd harmonic lasing. It, instead, we increase beam energy to 17.5 GeV and lase at 1 Å with % larger than in the case of 3rd harmonic lasing. If, instead, work K = 2.2, the gain length is 7.9 m, i.e. it is still visibly larger than in the case of low energy and the 3rd harmonic lasing.

APPLICATION TO LCLS

distribution of this Linac Coherent Light Source (LCLS) is the first hard X-ray free electron laser [6]. Due to the limited electron energy and fixed-gap undulator, the facility can presently E cover photon energy range up to 10 keV. LCLS undulator 4. consists of 33 identical 3.4-m-long segments, undulator pe- \overline{c} riod is 3 cm, and the peak undulator parameter is 3.5 (rms value of K is 2.5). The 16th segment is replaced with a chi-0 cane for operation of the self-seeding scheme [8]. When cence this scheme is operated, a crystal monochromator is inserted on-axis while the electron beam goes through the chicane 3.0 thus by-passing the crystal. We notice that a simple addan insertable filter, would allow $\bigcup_{i=1}^{N}$ the use of the intra-undulator spectral filtering method de-2 scribed in [5]. As a possible realization of the filter we probose here a silicon crystal (diamond can be considered as an option as well) that is not supposed to spoil phase front $\frac{1}{2}$ of the third harmonic radiation while attenuating the funda- $\stackrel{\circ}{\exists}$ mental harmonic by orders of magnitude. A thickness of $\frac{1}{2}$ the crystal is defined by a required attenuation factor and an $\frac{1}{2}$ expected photon energy range. As an example we consider expected photon energy range. As an example we consider $\frac{7}{9}$ here the thickness of 600 μ m and third harmonic lasing at 25 keV. Attenuation length at 8.3 keV is $\mu^{-1} = 73 \ \mu m$, and at 25 keV it is $\mu^{-1} = 1.85$ mm, so that the corresponding Ë transmission factors are 2.7×10^{-4} and 0.72. With a given work thickness of the crystal the scheme would work well in the range 20-30 keV, and for lower photon energies of the third this harmonic a thinner crystal would be needed. from

In the considered parameter range the spectral filtering method alone is not sufficient, therefore we suggest to combine it with the phase shifters method. We propose to install



Figure 2: Averaged peak power for the fundamental harmonic (solid) and the third harmonic (dash) versus geometrical length of the LCLS undulator (including breaks). The wavelength of the third harmonic is 0.5 Å (photon energy 25 keV). Beam and undulator parameters are in the text. The fundamental is disrupted with the help of the spectral filter (see the text) and of the phase shifters. The phase shifts are $4\pi/3$ after segments 1-5 and 17-22, and $2\pi/3$ after segments 6-10 and 23-28. Simulations were performed with the code FAST.

phase shifters with the shift $4\pi/3$ (the definition of Ref. [4] is used here) after undulator segments 1-5 and 17-22, and with the shift $2\pi/3$ after segments 6-10 and 23-28. As a possible space-saving technical solution one can consider insertable permanent-magnet phase shifters with a length of a few centimeters and a fixed phase shift. Of course, if space allows, the tunable (electromagnetic or permanent-magnet) phase shifters would be more flexible. Note also that phase shifters without spectral filtering might not be sufficient for a sure suppression of the fundamental harmonic.

Let us consider a specific parameter set for third harmonic lasing at 0.5 Å (photon energy 25 keV). The electron beam parameters are as follows: energy is 13.6 GeV (the fundamental wavelength is 1.5 Å), peak current is 3 kA, normalized slice emittance is 0.3 μ m, uncorrelated energy spread is 1.4 MeV. The beta-function in the undulator is 30 m. The smallest possible delay (given by either the required beam offset or minimum R_{56} for smearing of beam modulations at the fundamental wavelength) would define the shortest electron bunch that can be used for operation of this scheme. In our simulations we do not consider a specific bunch length, so that our result is the peak power of the third harmonic radiation in the part of the pulse that overlapped with the electron beam after the chicane. One should also notice that an easy control of the third harmonic pulse duration is possible by changing the delay.

We performed simulations with the code FAST [9], the results are presented in Fig. 2. The averaged peak power of the third harmonic radiation is 6 GW, and an intrinsic bandwidth is 3×10^{-4} (FWHM). The power incident on the crystal is in the range of tens of megawatts, and should not be problematic from the point of view of peak and average power load. Note that the saturation of the third harmonic lasing is achieved after 28th segment, so that there is a sufficient contingency for given wavelength and beam parameters. It means, in particular, that the saturation at 30 keV could be in reach, or the saturation at 25 keV with a larger emittance is possible.

APPLICATION TO THE EUROPEAN XFEL

The gap-tunable hard X-ray undulators SASE1 and SASE2 of the European XFEL consist of 35 segments each, the length of a segment is 5 m, the undulator period is 4 cm. The phase shifters are installed between the segments, so that the number of the shifters is big. This means that, at least in some cases, the phase shifter method alone might be sufficient for suppression of the fundamental harmonic. As an example we consider the third harmonic lasing at 0.2 Å (photon energy 62 keV) by the electron beam with the energy of 17.5 GeV and the charge of 100 pC, peak current is 5 kA, normalized slice emittance is 0.3 μ m, slice energy spread is 1 MeV, beta-function is 60 m, the rms undulator parameter is 1.6. Note that the considered wavelength cannot be reached by lasing at the fundamental harmonic because the undulator parameter is too small in this case. The results of numerical simulations are presented in Fig. 3. Indeed, one can disrupt the fundamental harmonic and let the third harmonic saturate. The averaged peak power is 3 GW, and the bandwidth is 2×10^{-4} (FWHM). One can still notice that a stronger suppression of the fundamental would be desirable, so that the spectral filtering method would improve operation of the facility in such a regime. Eventually, the self-seeding scheme [8] will be implemented at the European XFEL, then it is also worth to install a filter. Another option is a closed bump (made by movable quadrupoles between the segments). Such a bump involves two segments with an insertable filter installed between them. We should note that if we consider a 20 pC electron bunch with slice parameters from start-to-end simulations[10], the third harmonic lasing to saturation can be extended to photon energies up to 100 keV.

Another attractive option that one can consider in the case of the European XFEL is a reduction of the bandwidth by going to harmonic lasing instead of lasing in the fundamental mode. If one combines them as described in [5], this will happen without reduction of power, i.e. the brilliance will increase. Although this increase is essentially smaller than in the case of application of seeding and selfseeding schemes, the method of combined lasing does not require extra undulator length, is not restricted by a finite wavelength interval, and is completely based on a baseline design. For many experiments, however, such a mild reduction of the bandwidth (to the level of few 10^{-4}) would be desirable. The detailed numerical simulations of combined lasing (the scheme is also called Harmonic Lasing Self-Seeded FEL, or HLSS FEL) is published in these Proceedings [11].

We also note that relative intensities of the fundamental and the third harmonics can be easily controlled by changing phase shifters. The simultaneous lasing at the funda-

02 Synchrotron Light Sources and FELs



author(s), title of the work, publisher, and DOI.

2

maintain attribution

must

this v

BY 3.0 licence (© 2014). Any distribution of

20

the

terms of

under the

þe

may

work

this

from 1

Figure 3: An example for the European XFEL. Averaged peak power for the fundamental harmonic (solid) and the third harmonic (dash) versus magnetic length of SASE1 undulator. The wavelength of the third harmonic is 0.2 Å (photon energy 62 keV). The fundamental is disrupted with the help of phase shifters installed after 5 m long undulator segments. The phase shifts are $4\pi/3$ after segments 1-8 and 21-26, and $2\pi/3$ after segments 9-16. Simulations were performed with the code FAST.

mental and the third harmonics with comparable intensities for jitter-free pump-probe experiments can be realized in a wide range of wavelengths and radiation intensities.

Recently, a possibility to use harmonic lasing in the context of CW upgrade of the facility was considered [12]. It was shown that, with reasonable requirements on electron beam quality, harmonic lasing can be a good option for generation of brilliant photon beams at short wavelengths.

REFERENCES

- R. Bonifacio, L. De Salvo, and P. Pierini, Nucl. Instr. Meth. A293, 627, 1990.
- [2] Z. Huang and K. Kim, Phys. Rev. E 62, 7295, 2000.
- [3] J.B. Murphy, C. Pellegrini and R. Bonifacio, Opt. Commun. 53, 197, 1985.
- [4] B.W.J. McNeil et al., Phy. Rev. Lett. 96, 084801, 2006.
- [5] E.A. Schneidmiller and M.V. Yurkov, Phys. Rev. ST-AB 15(2012)080702.
- [6] P. Emma et al., Nature Photonics 4, 641, 2010.
- [7] M. Altarelli et al. (Eds.), XFEL: The European X-Ray Free-Electron Laser. Technical Design Report, Preprint DESY 2006-097, DESY, Hamburg, 2006 (see also http://xfel.desy.de).
- [8] G. Geloni, V. Kocharyan and E. Saldin, Journal of Mod. Optics 58(2011)1391-1403.
- [9] E.L. Saldin, E.A. Schneidmiller and M.V. Yurkov, Nucl. Instrum. and Methods A 429, 233, 1999.
- [10] W. Decking, M. Dohlus, T. Limberg, and I. Zagorodnov, Baseline beam parameters for the European XFEL, private communication, December 2010.
- [11] E.A. Schneidmiller and M.V. Yurkov, "Analysis of Operation of Harmonic Lasing Self-Seeding FEL", these Proceedings.
- [12] R. Brinkmann, E.A. Schneidmiller, J. Sekutowicz, and M.V. Yurkov, "Prospects for CW and LP Operation of the European XFEL in hard X-ray Regime", preprint DESY 14-025, Hamburg, March 2014.