## A BEAM DRIVEN PLASMA-WAKEFIELD LINEAR COLLIDER FROM HIGGS FACTORY TO MULTI-TEV\*

J.P. Delahaye, E. Adli, S.J. Gessner, M.J. Hogan, T.O. Raubenheimer, SLAC, W. An, C. Joshi, W. Mori, UCLA

#### Abstract

An updated design of a beam-driven Plasma Wake-Field Acceleration Linear Collider (PWFA-LC) covering a wide range of beam collision energy from Higgs factory to multi-TeV is presented. The large effective accelerating field on the order of 1 GV/m and high wallplug to beam power transfer efficiency of the beam driven plasma technology in a continuous operation mode allows to extend linear colliders to unprecedented beam collision energies up to 10 TeV with reasonable facility extension and power consumption. An attractive scheme of an ILC energy upgrade using the PWFA technology in a pulsed mode is discussed. The major critical issues and the R&D to address their feasibility in dedicated test facilities like FACET and FACET2 are outlined, especially the beam quality preservation during acceleration and the positron acceleration. Finally, a tentative scenario of a series of staged facilities with increasing complexity starting with short term application at low energy is developed.

### **PWFA LINEAR COLLIDER CONCEPT**

The concept of a beam-driven PWFA-based  $e^+/e^-$ Linear Collider [1], [2], is developed as an attempt to find a reasonable design that takes advantage of the PWFA technology, identify the critical parameters to be achieved and the necessary R&D to address their feasibility. Parameters covering a wide range of colliding beam

author(s), title of the work, publisher, and DOI. energies from 250 GeV (Higgs Physics) up to 10 TeV, if requested by Physics for studies Beyond the Standard Model, are summarized in Table 1. A schematic layout at the extreme energy of 10TeV is shown on Figure 1. Geometric accelerating gradients on the order of 1 GV/m mitigate the extension of the facility and therefore its cost. the The acceleration in plasma, being a single bunch process, provides great flexibility in the interval between bunches. 2 ibution In the preferred scheme, the main bunches collide in a continuous mode at several kHz repetition frequency attri allowing for beam-based feedbacks to stabilize the collisions. They are accelerated and focused with multimaintain GV/m fields generated in plasma cells powered by drive bunches with about 50% power transfer efficiency. The recirculating linac, taking advantage of the impressive in SCPE taken 1 drive bunches are accelerated by a CW superconducting work progress in SCRF technology providing excellent power efficiency. As a result, the overall power consumption is this significantly reduced (Fig. 2a) in respect with more of conventional RF acceleration. The figure of merit defined ibution as the ratio of the total luminosity to the power consumption is substantially improved especially in the multi-TeV colliding beam energy range (Fig. 2b). The Any distri above concept assumes similar behaviour of the electron and positron beams, which remains to be demonstrated.



\* Work supported by the U.S. Dept. of Energy under contracts DE-AC02-76SF00515

01 Circular and Linear Colliders

5th International Particle Accelerator Conference ISBN: 978-3-95450-132-8







# the wall plug power consumption to total lun Pulsed and After-Burner Modes with

Thanks to the flexibility of the interval between bunches, the PWFA technology can also be used in a must pulsed mode to accelerate a beam with parameters and  $\frac{1}{2}$  train structure similar to the one of the ILC except for the bunch length which is reduced by a factor 15 from 300 to 20 microns. After beam acceleration up to an initial  $\frac{1}{2}$  energy with ILC technology, the beam could be further E accelerated with PWFA technology at low cost and high efficiency. Alternatively and as first step of the ILC energy upgrade, the PWFA technology could be used as an ILC after-burner: Each ILC bunch would be split in  $rac{2}{3}$  two, one with 2/3 of the charge used as drive bunch and a second with 1/3 of the charge used as main bunch. The  $\stackrel{\text{second with } IP of the charge of the doubled without any$ R drive beam injector complex and without substantial additional power (Table 2). Replacing the last 250 meters <sup>2</sup> of ILC structures by PWFA allows TeV beam collisions without extension of the ILC tunnel (Figure 3). The ILC  $\odot$  energy upgrade could then be pursued by adding a drive BY 3. beam injector and progressive replacement of ILC structures by PWFA. C

Table 1: Major PWFA-LC beam parameter
---------------------------------------

14010 1.1114	01 1	,,,,,,,,,,		in puiu	meters	
Colliding beam energy, CM	GeV	250	500	1000	3000	10000
N, experimental bunch		1.0E+10	1E+10	1.0E+10	1.0E+10	1.0E+10
Main beam bunches / train		1	1	1	1	1
Main beam bunch spacing,	nsec	3.33E+04	5.00E+04	6.67E+04	1.00E+05	2.00E+05
Repetition rate,	Hz	30000	20000	15000	10000	5000
n exp.bunch/sec,	Hz	30000	20000	15000	10000	5000
Beam power / beam at IP	w	6.0E+06	8.0E+06	1.2E+07	2.4E+07	4.0E+07
Effective accelerating gradient	MV/m	1000	1000	1000	1000	1000
Overall length of each linac	m	125	250	500	1500	5000
BDS (both sides)	km	2.00	2.50	3.50	5.00	8.00
Overall facility length	km	2.25	3.00	4.50	8.00	18.00
Drive beam						
Transfer efficiency drive to main	%	50	50	50	50	50
Drive beam power per beam	MW	12.2	16.2	24.3	48.6	81.0
Drive beam acceleration efficiency	%	39.9	42.0	44.3	45.0	45.3
Main beam acceleration efficiency	%	19.9	21.0	22.1	22.5	22.7
Wall plug to main beam efficiency	%	9.1	10.8	13.1	16.1	17.0
Total wall plug power	MW	132.9	150.4	185.5	301.3	477.9
IP Parameters						
Normalized horizontal emittance	m	1.00E-05	1.00E-05	1.00E-05	1.00E-05	1.00E-05
Normalized vertical emittance	m	3.50E-08	3.50E-08	3.50E-08	3.50E-08	3.50E-08
Horiziontal beam size at IP (1o)	m	6.71E-07	4.74E-07	3.35E-07	1.94E-07	1.06E-07
Vertical beam size at IP (1σ)	m	3.78E-09	2.67E-09	1.89E-09	1.09E-09	5.98E-10
Bunch length at IP (1o)	m	2.00E-05	2.00E-05	2.00E-05	2.00E-05	2.00E-05
Disruption parameter, Y		8.44E-02	2.39E-01	6.75E-01	3.51E+00	2.14E+01
delta_B	%	2.75	6.66	12.76	23.10	29.88
ngamma		0.57	0.73	0.88	1.05	1.14
Geometric Lum (cm <sup>-2</sup> s <sup>-1</sup> )		9.41E+33	1.25E+34	1.88E+34	3.76E+34	6.27E+34
Total Luminosity (cm <sup>-2</sup> s <sup>-1</sup> )		1.57E+34	2.09E+34	3.14E+34	6.27E+34	1.05E+35
Luminosity in 1% top energy (cm <sup>-2</sup>	s <sup>-1</sup> )	9.41E+33	1.15E+34	1.57E+34	2.51E+34	3.14E+34
Fig. merit: Luminosity/wall plug (10	<sup>31</sup> /MW)	11.8	13.9	16.9	20.8	21.9

3792

Parameter	Unit	ILC	ILC	ILC + PWFA				
Energy (cm)	GeV	500	1000	PFWA = 500 to 1000				
Luminosity (per IP)	10 <sup>34</sup> cm <sup>-2</sup> s <sup>-1</sup>	1.5	4.9	2.6				
Peak (1%)Lum(/IP)	10 <sup>34</sup> cm <sup>-2</sup> s <sup>-1</sup>	0.88	2.2	1.3				
# IP	-	1	1	1				
Length	km	30	52	30				
Power (wall plug)	MW	128	300	175				
Lin. Acc. grad.(p/eff)	MV/m	31.5/25	36/30	7600/1000				
# particles/bunch	<b>10</b> <sup>10</sup>	2	1.74	0.66				
# bunches/pulse	-	1312	2450	2450				
Bunch interval	ns	554	366	366				
Pulse repetition rate	Hz	5	4	15				
Beam power/beam	MW	5.2	13.8	13.8				
Norm Emitt (X/Y)	10 <sup>-6</sup> /10 <sup>-9</sup> radm	10/35	10/30	10/30				
Sx, Sy, Sz at IP	nm,nm,µm	474/5.9/300	335/2.7/225	286/2.7/20				
Crossing angle	mrad	14	14	14				
Av # photons	-	1.70	2.0	0.7				
δb beam-beam	%	3.89	9.1	9.3				
Upsilon	-	0.03	0.09	0.52				
a) ILC e linac 500 GeV								
b) after-burner mode 1 TeV								
c) Complete replacement of ILC cavities by PWFA e linac								
	11 KIII	$\wedge$						

Figure 3: ILC energy upgrade by PWFA technology in the 500 GeV ILC tunnel (a), in after-burner mode (b), in the extreme case of PWFA technology use only (c).

#### **MAJOR ISSUES AND R&D**

The PWFA-LC concept is based on novel technology with key beam and plasma physics challenges, which remain to be carefully studied before the concept presented above can be validated, in particular:

- Beam acceleration with small energy spreads,
- High beam loading with both electrons and positrons,
- Development of a concept for positron acceleration with high beam brightness,
- Electron beam emittance preservation and mitigation of effects resulting from ion motion, Positron beam emittance preservation and mitigation of effects resulting from plasma electron collapse,
- Beam quality preservation with betatron radiation energy loss especially large at high energy
- Transverse and longitudinal tolerances
- Average bunch repetition rates in the 10's of kHz,
- Synchronization of multiple plasma stages and effect of alignment errors between stages,
- Optical beam matching between plasma acceleration stages and from plasma to beam delivery systems.
- Magnetic chicane with a delay of 2ns for relative phasing of the drive and main bunches

Promising performances of up to 50 GV/m fields have already been demonstrated [3]. Critical issues are presently being addressed by extensive R&D and experimental facilities such as FACET [4] presently and FACET2 [5] in the future with excellent performances already demonstrated in field, momentum spread and power transfer efficiency [6] as summarized below.

#### FACET EXPERIMENTS

At FACET. PWFA two-bunch acceleration experiments were started in 2013 and are currently on going. The main goal is demonstration of high-efficiency, high-gradient witness beam acceleration, with small energy spread and emittance preservation. The chirped FACET electron beam is separated into a drive beam and a trailing beam using a finger collimator in a dispersive region. A heat-pipe oven produces a Lithium vapour with variable densities in the  $10^{16}/\text{cm}^3 - 10^{17}/\text{cm}^3$  range. The vapour is pre-ionized by a 10 TW Ti:Sa laser system. The drive to witness beam separation and charge ratio can be varied in order to adjust the beam loading and thus the efficiency. In 2013, experiments at FACET demonstrated witness beam acceleration in the blow-out regime, with an energy gain of 1.6 GeV in 36 cm of plasma, thus a gradient of 4.4 GV/m. The energy transfer efficiency from the wake to the witness beam approached 50%. The witness beam final energy spread was in the % range. The experimental results were in good agreement with PIC simulations, demonstrating a high level of understanding of the two-beam acceleration process. Preliminary results are published in [6]. The FACET electron beam emittances are about 100 µm in the horizontal plane and 10 µm in the vertical plane. PWFA emittance preservation on this level is currently being investigated experimentally in FACET. Simulations, however, indicate that due to ion motion, nm emittance preservation for electron beams is challenging in the blow-out regime. Recently, theoretical and simulations results indicate that in the quasi-hollow channel regime [7], nm emittance preservation is possible for both electron and positron witness beams.

#### POSITRON ACCELERATION

Unlike conventional RF accelerators, which treat electron and positron beams symmetrically, the plasma accelerator is more naturally suited to accelerate electron beams. Plasma wakes can sustain extremely large accelerating gradients when operated in the nonlinear "bubble" regime. The bubble is the region of the plasma wake where all plasma electrons have been expelled and the heavy background ions remain. The background ions contribute a strong, linear focusing force on electron beams propagating through the plasma. For positron beams, the force is defocusing. We therefore seek an alternative method of accelerating positrons in plasma that provides large accelerating gradients without transverse focusing forces.

Hollow channel plasmas offer a possible solution to this problem. In a hollow channel plasma, the plasma is confined to an annular region centred on the beam propagation axis. An electron or positron beam traveling through the centre of the channel will excite a wake in the plasma wall which provides radially uniform longitudinal fields inside the channel. A positron beam, trailing at the correct phase of the wake, will experience a large

publisher, and accelerating gradient. The first experiments that will probe this scheme are already underway at FACET.

DOI.

work

Any

under the terms of the CC BY 3.0 licence (@ 2014).

used

è

may

work

Content from this

#### **CONCLUSION**

work, Beam driven Plasma Wake-Field Accelerators (PWFA) provide an attractive novel technology with large accelerating fields and excellent power efficiency for a number of applications ranging from Photon Science to of High Energy Physics. The PWFA-LC concept drives author(s), title number of key beam and plasma physics challenges, which remain to be carefully studied before the concept can be validated. Many of the critical issues are being addressed at the FACET test facility at SLAC and the remaining issues may be addressed in the proposed tion to FACET-II facility. Together these facilities will carry out the specific R&D aimed at a feasibility assessment within pn a decade. The promising PWFA technology may then be used for applications with strong physics interest and gradually increasing complexity in a staged approach. An maintain informed decision about possible low energy applications could be made soon after critical issues will have been must addressed in FACET-II starting to operate by 2018. One attractive low energy application could be a compact Xray FEL with high repetition rate and high brightness based on PWFA technology (Fig. 4). Such low energy applications with possible use by mid of next decade distribution of would help to further develop and validate the technology by integration of the various systems and to get operational experience which is necessary before a more complex or higher energy application can be envisioned in the following decade.



#### REFERENCES

- [1] E.Adli et al., Design of a TeV Beam Driven Plasma Wake-Field Linear Collider, Proc. IPAC13.
- [2] A beam driven Plasma Wake-Field Linear Collider, E.Adli et al., CSS2013 and arXiv:1308.1145
- [3] I. Blumenfeld et al, 2007 Nature 445 741
- [4] M.J.Hogan et al. NewJ.Physics.12, 055030 (2010)
- [5] https://portal.slac.stanford.edu/sites/ard public/facet/Docume nts/FACET-II%20Proposal%20v6.pdf
- [6] M. Litos et al., Proc. of the NA'PAC'13 (2013), p.1101
- [7] C. B. Schroeder et al., Phys. Plasmas 20, 080701 (2013), C B. Schroeder et al., Phys. Plasmas 20, 123115 (2013)