

Features and Applications of the Program **elegant**

Michael Borland

Associate Director Accelerator Systems Division Argonne National Laboratory



Outline

- Overview of features and capabilities
- SDDS and the tool-based approach to accelerator modeling
- Start-to-end simulation and the CSR microbunching instability
- Other interesting or notable examples
 - Top-up safety tracking
 - Direct optimization of storage ring beam dynamics
- Summary

High-level View of elegant¹

- Code for design and modeling of single- and multi-pass accelerators
- Open source C/C++
 - Runs on Linux, Windows, MAC, Solaris, ...
- Highly-extensible with dictionary-driven lattice parser
- Serial and parallel² versions with common code base
- New version released about twice a year
 - Use revision control system and regression testing suite to reduce chance of errors
- Extensive on-line resources

1: M. Borland, APS LS-287, 2000; M. Borland *et al.*, ICAP09, 111. 2: Y. Wang *et al.*, ICAP09, 355 and refs. therein.



Basic Features of elegant

- Lumped-element, 6D tracking code
 - Over 100 element types, >90% of which are parallelized
 - Various methods, allowing user to customize model to needs, e.g., symplectic integration or matrices
- Calculation of lattice parameters, transfer matrices, orbits, beam moments, etc.
- Serial and parallel dynamic aperture, momentum aperture, frequency map analysis
- Optimization, including both serial and parallel algorithms
- Multi-dimensional scanning of parameters
- Errors and corrections
- Time-dependent ramping and modulation
- Thorough use of self-describing data files (SDDS)

SDDS Files

- SDDS = Self-Describing Data Sets file protocol¹
 - Originally developed for use in APS control system
 - Allows robust interchange of data among programs
 - Data is accessed by name only
 - Programs can check units and data type instead of doing something inappropriate with invalid data
 - elegant uses SDDS files for, e.g.,
 - Input and output of phase space data
 - Input and output of element parameters (e.g., magnet strength)
 - Twiss parameters, beam moments, matrices, orbits, etc., vs s
 - Input of wake functions, impedances, HOM properties
 - Input of ramp/modulation data, kicker waveforms
 - Input and output of errors and corrections
- SDDS I/O libraries are open source
 - Support for C/C++, FORTRAN, Java, MATLAB, Tcl²
 - MPI-based parallel I/O for high performance³

SDDS Toolkit^{1,2,3}

- SDDS Toolkit
 - Open source collection of generic programs that read and/or write SDDS files
 - Functions include graphics, analysis, and manipulation of data, plus control system applications
 - All the SDDS data that **elegant** reads or writes can be pre- or postprocessed with SDDS tools
- Due to the relative simplicity of SDDS files, SDDS tools can be used sequentially as operators to transform data
 - E.g., to compute and plot amplitude-dependent tune



Tool-based Approach to Accelerator Simulation¹

- SDDS Toolkit provides generic data processing, manipulation, and display
- Elegant Tools, a set of physics programs specifically designed to supplement elegant, including
 - Calculations of x-ray brightness, flux, etc.
 - Touschek lifetime and intrabeam scattering
 - Beam analysis, transformation, and modulation
- Other simulation codes, perhaps interfaced via conversion tools, e.g.
 - Injector simulation
 - Radiation shower simulation
 - Wake function or impedance calculation
 - Multibunch instability analysis
- Advantages of this approach
 - Multiple physics codes share pre- and post-processing tools
 - Physics codes are simplified
 - Robust interface between codes
 - Complex simulations are easier and faster

1: M. Borland et al., PAC2003, 3461.

Example of Tool-based Approach

• One possible configuration for start-to-end simulation of FELs



ASTRA: K. Floettman *et al.* GENESIS: S. Reiche, NIMA 429, 242 (1999) SDDS GENESIS and elegant2genesis: Y. Chae et al., PAC2001, 2710.

What is CSR and How Does it Affect the Beam?¹

- Electrons traversing a dipole magnet emit synchrotron radiation
- Electron bunch will radiate coherently and intensely at wavelengths comparable to scale of its longitudinal structure
- Electrons travel in a curved path, while emitted photons travel in a straight path



- Radiation emitted by the tail will catch up with the head, changing its energy
- Since this happens inside dipoles, it leads to emittance growth
- CSR propagating into drift spaces between or downstream of dipoles can have very significant impact^{2,3}
 - 1: B. E. Carlsten et al, Phys. Rev E 51, 1453 (1995).
 - 2: M. Borland, PRSTAB 070701 (2001).
 - 3: G. Stupakov et al., SLAC LCLS-TN-01-12, 2001.

Modeling the Linac Coherent Light Source

- Early simulations of LCLS were not "start-to-end" simulations but used gaussian beams
- Indicated that using double-chicane bunch compressors with 180 deg betatron phase advance would result in calculation of CSR effects



December 2000 Design (P. Emma)

Figure courtesy P. Emma (SLAC).

1: J. Arthur et al., SLAC-R-521 (1998)

CSR Microbunching Instability

- Team from APS and SLAC created tools to allow start-to-end modeling of LCLS and LEUTL
- As part of this effort, added to **elegant** modeling of CSR in dipoles and drift spaces¹
- Used a line-charge model with several advantages over previous efforts

2: M. Borland et al., PAC2001, 2707.

- Fast, permitting use of large numbers of particles
- High longitudinal resolution
- Arbitrary longitudinal distribution instead of gaussians
- These simulations^{2,3} predicted a micro-bunching instability driven by CSR
- CSR-driven instability in rings was described theoretically at the same time⁴ and suggested by experimental evidence⁵



5: J. Byrd et al., PRL 224801 (2002) and 3: M. Borland et al., NIM A 483 (2002) 268. refs. therein.

M. Borland, Features and Applications of the Program elegant, Shanghai, May 2013

Qualitative Explanation of the Instability

- If a density clump exists in a beam, CSR will be emitted
- Head of clump is accelerated, while tail is decelerated
- A particle that gains (looses) energy in a dipole falls back (moves ahead)
- Thus, the clump is amplified, which amplifies the CSR wake, ...
- Related to longer-scale "phase-space fragmentation" seen experimentally at JLAB and TESLA^{2,3,4} and in simulations of APS LEUTL⁵

E. L. Saldin *et al.*, NIMA 398 (1997), 373.
 T. Limberg *et al.*, NIMA 475 (2001) 353.
 R.Li, EPAC2000, 1312.
 P. Piot *et al.*, EPAC 2000, 1546.
 M. Borland, PRSTAB 070701 (2001).

$$W(s) = K \int_{-\infty}^{s} \frac{dz}{(s-z)^{1/3}} \frac{d\lambda}{dz}$$



Energy distribution fragmentation from LEUTL simulations of full compression⁵

Improved LCLS Design

- P. Emma revised LCLS design to reduce CSR problem
 - Single instead of double chicanes
 - Long chicanes with weak dipoles
 - Superconducting wiggler before
 BC2 to increase incoherent energy
 spread and suppress instability
- Later discoveries¹ added to the challenges surrounding magnetic bunch compression
 - Magnification of instability due to longitudinal space charge in linac
 - Use of laser/undulator beam heater to suppress instability
 - These discoveries were subsequently verified² with **elegant**
- The microbunching instability remains an active topic of research with periodic workshops

1: E. L. Saldin *et al.*, DESY TESLA-FEL-2003-02. 2: Z. Huang *et al.*, PRSTAB 074401 (2004).



Top-up Operation

- Traditionally, light source rings operated in "decay mode," where the beam current decays for many hours before being refilled
- This has several drawbacks
 - Users see variation in x-ray intensity
 - X-ray optics see variation in heat load, impacting stability
 - Emittance, coupling, and bunch intensity limited by need for long lifetime
 - Intensity-dependence of diagnostics and chamber temperatures results in beam position drift
- Top-up operation entails fairly rapid addition of small amounts of beam current, keeping the intensity nearly constant



First user top-up operation at APS in June 2000.

M. Borland, Features and Applications of the Program elegant, Shanghai, May 2013

Top-up Safety¹

- One concern with top-up is injection while user shutters are open
 - Electron beam from injector might be delivered down user beamline, with potentially catastrophic consequences
- One scenario
 - Dipole magnet (partially) shorted
 - Downstream magnets adjusted to compensate perturbation to stored beam
 - Injected beam energy higher-than-normal
- APS performed the first tracking studies² of this question using **elegant**
- Demonstrated that it was essentially impossible to simultaneously store beam and extract injected beam down a beamline
 - Hence, top-up safety could be ensured by interlocking injector to stored beam

1: L. Emery, PAC99, 2939. 2: M. Borland *et al.*, PAC99, 2319.



Scope of Tracking Problem

- About 250 runs of **elegant** are required for each of six beamline configurations
- Runs involved 20 to 50 different conditions, such as degree of shorting, size of quadrupole error, etc.
- In total, about 50,000 different physical situations had to be simulated
 - Simulation of whether stored beam was possible, including orbit correction using downstream correctors
 - Simulation of whether backtracked beam could exit the sector
- In 1999, took several days on ~20 Sun workstations
 - Presently, just a few hours on an eight-core PC
- Data was postprocessed automatically using SDDS, taking just a few minutes to provide an answer:
 - The minimum safety gap was 14% of the full dipole strength
- Subsequently, other groups have made similarly thorough studies of top-up safety¹⁻⁴
 - 1: H. Nishimura et al., NIM A 608, 2 (2009).
 - 2: A. Terebilo et al., SSRL-ACC-007, 2009.
 - 3: I.P.S. Martin et al., EPAC08, 2085.
 - 4: Y. Li et al., PRSTAB 14, 033501 (2011).

Direct Optimization of Nonlinear Dynamics

- Designers of low-emittance electron rings must
 - Ensure adequate dynamic aperture for injection
 - Ensure adequate momentum aperture for Touschek lifetime
- Traditionally, several methods have been employed, e.g.,
 - Minimization of amplitude- and energy-dependent tune shifts
 - Minimization of resonance driving terms
 - In the end, tracking is always necessary to verify any solution
- With a modest computing cluster, can <u>directly</u> optimize the results of tracking
- To our knowledge, first published results by APS group using elegant¹
 - Direct maximization of dynamic aperture
 - Direct minimization of tune spread for ensemble of particles



Direct Optimization using elegant

- In 2009, we published¹ results of further direct optimization of APS lattice using two algorithms
 - Required high chromaticity ($\xi = 6 \sim 10$) makes this challenging
- Grid scan algorithm
 - Scan two out of four families of sextupoles
 - Track set of particles filling desired transverse and momentum space
 - Choose settings that result in highest capture rate after 1000 turns
 - Easily implemented with **elegant** thanks to SDDS toolkit
 - Result for ξ =6: 20% higher lifetime, largest DA seen to date



New sextupole settings providing the improved beam lifetime and DA for ξ =6

1: M. Borland *et al.*, PAC09, 3850.

Direct Genetic Optimization using elegant

- Inspired by Bazarov¹ and Emery², we also employed³ a genetic algorithm
- Method
 - Use dynamic aperture search and robust measure of DA area
 - Use s-dependent momentum aperture search as indicator of Touschek lifetime
 - Also added tune knobs
- Each "function evaluation" uses several runs of **elegant**, plus SDDS for postprocessing
- Developed potential APS upgrade lattices with 2, 4, and 8 symmetric long straights (LSSs)
 - Discovered that breaking the reflection symmetry of the sextupole distribution was very helpful
 - Mock-ups of these lattices showed normal lifetime and injection efficiency



1: I. Bazarov et al., PRSTAB 034202 (2005).

- 2: L. Emery, PAC05, 2962.
- 3: M. Borland *et al.*, PAC09, 3850.

M. Borland, Features and Applications of the Program elegant, Shanghai, May 2013

Direct Genetic Optimization using elegant

- Methods subsequently refined^{1,2}
 - Direct optimization of Touschek lifetime computed from momentum aperture
 - Parallelization of DA and MA scans to permit using massively parallel resources
 - E.g., used >40,000 cores on BlueGene/P
- Addressed increasingly difficulty APS upgrade lattices
 - Non-symmetrical placement of LSSs
 - >2x reduced horizontal beamsize (RHB) needed in one sector
 - Special optics and sextupole tuning needed for short-pulse x-ray system (SPX)
- To address this, gave optimizer
 - Detailed linear optics knobs
 - Over 50 independent sextupole knobs
 - Tracking-based measure of SPX emittance dilution
- Independent work on tracking-based optimization at LBNL³ and BNL⁴

M. Borland, Features and Applications of the Program elegant, Shanghai, May 2013



3: C. Steier *et al.*, IPAC10, 4748. 4: L. Yang *et al.*, FLS 2010.

Summary

- Thanks to input from many users and contributors, elegant is a capable and flexible code with some noteworthy contributions
 - Discovery of microbunching instability in bunch compressors
 - Top-up safety tracking
 - Direct optimization of storage ring nonlinear dynamics
 - Many interesting applications from outside APS
- Coupling elegant with SDDS is a key feature
 - Flexible and robust interface with other codes
 - Powerful pre- and postprocessing
- Google "elegant download Argonne" to get started
 - Code, executables, and examples for **elegant** and SDDS
 - Manual and forum

Acknowledgements

- Contributors to elegant
 - M. Borland, W. Guo, V. Sajaev, H. Shang, C.-X. Wang, Y. Wang, Y. Wu, A. Xiao
- Contributors to elegant toolkit
 - M. Borland, Y.-C. Chae, R. Dejus, X. Dong, L. Emery, H. Shang, R. Soliday, A. Xiao
- Contributors to SDDS
 - M. Borland, L. Emery, H. Shang, R. Soliday
- Multi-language, multi-platform distribution and support
 - R. Soliday
- Many users, who gave suggestions and reported bugs
 - Special mention: P. Emma, first user outside APS
- Advice, patience, and support:
 - H. Wiedemann, J. Galayda