INTERACTION OF MUON BEAM WITH PLASMA DEVELOPED DURING IONIZATION COOLING

S. Ahmed¹, D.M. Kaplan², L.K. Spentzouris², T.J. Roberts³, K.B. Beard³

¹Thomas Jefferson National Accelerator Facility, Newport News, VA ²Illinois Institute of Technology, Chicago, IL, USA ³Muons, Inc., Batavia, IL, USA



New Orleans Louisiana, USA May 20-25, 2012 Ernest N. Morial Convention Center



Particle Accelerators/Colliders

Frontiers in particle accelerators/colliders:

- ILC: e⁺e⁻ machine (~ 31 km, E ~ 1 TeV, L ~ 2 x 10³⁴ cm⁻² s⁻¹)
- CLIC: e⁺e⁻ machine (~ 51 km, E ~ 3 TeV, L ~ 2 x 10³⁴ cm⁻² s⁻¹)
- Muon Collider: μ⁺μ⁻ machine (~ 2 km diameter, E ~ 3 TeV, L ~ 4 x 10³⁴ cm⁻² s⁻¹)
- Plasma Wakefield accelerator (PWFA) --- SLAC, UCLA, ANL (Beam produced plasma and Laser produced plasma)
- Dielectric Wakefield accelerator (DWA) --- SLAC, ANL
- THz Radiation Technology --- SLAC, ANL

Muon Collider has unique feature !

 $m_{\mu} \sim 207 m_{e} =>$ low synchrotron radiation, small energy spread at IP

$\mu^+\!\!-\!\!\mu^- \, \text{Collider}$





Ionization Cooling Principle



Balance between cooling and heating gives normalized emittance:

$$\frac{d\varepsilon_{N}}{ds} = -\frac{1}{\beta^{2}} \left| \frac{dE_{\mu}}{ds} \right| \frac{\varepsilon_{N}}{E_{\mu}} + \frac{\beta_{\perp} (0.014 \,\text{GeV})^{2}}{2\beta^{3} E_{\mu} m_{\mu} X_{0}} \qquad \varepsilon_{x,N,equil.} = \frac{\beta_{\perp} (0.014 \,\text{GeV})^{2}}{2\beta_{m_{\mu}} X_{0} \left| \frac{dE_{\mu}}{ds} \right|}$$
Cooling Heating (dE/ds) (Coulomb Interaction)
$$\varepsilon_{x,N,equil.} = \frac{\beta_{\perp} (0.014 \,\text{GeV})^{2}}{2\beta_{m_{\mu}} X_{0} \left| \frac{dE_{\mu}}{ds} \right|}$$

Muon Ionization Cooling – A Quick Look



Motivation

Important questions during the process of ionization cooling:

- How does the electron concentration of an absorbing material (e.g. 10²⁹ m⁻³ for Li) interact with the incoming μ-beam ?
- How does the high density of ionization developed in the process of cooling affects the incoming beam ?

A realistic model should take into account the complete process, the ionization of medium due to incoming beam and its interaction. However, modeling the ionization of a medium at very high pressure ($\sim 10 - 100$ atm) is complex (fluid + PIC code).

To study the collective effects in beam interaction with dense ionized medium, it is justified to assume that plasma has been formed by several bunches passed through the absorber. This model can address the above questions.

Motivation -- Cont'd

Important effects need to study:

- The excitation of plasma wave and wakefields for μ⁻ and μ⁺ beam propagating inside plasma.
- Effects of various densities of plasma on incoming beam.
- Effects of external magnetic field.

XOOPIC

2-D self-consistent EM PIC code (FDTD), developed by Plasma Theory and Simulation group, UC Berkeley.

• 2-dimensional spatial grid

- Cartesian (x,y) or cylindrically symmetric (r,z)
- Non-uniform grids in both dimensions

Plasma and beam emission / interaction

- Boundary interactions (absorption, reflection)
- Secondary emission from boundaries
- Monte Carlo scattering between species; ionization
- Time-dependent current injection
- Tunneling ionization

Space charge physics

Full EM field solver

- Electromagnetic Problems
- Wakefields -- PWFA and LWFA

Supports MPI implementation for distributed computing

 Application: Microwave devices, Plasma sources, Beam optics, Laser/beam plasma interaction, Accelerators.

MKS Units Distance -- meter Time -- second E-field -- V/m H-field – A/m Energy -- Joule

Parameters of Study

Beam:

```
Shape: Gaussian
Particle: muons (\mu^{-}, \mu^{+})
N_{\rm b} = number of beam particles = 1 x 10<sup>12</sup> per bunch
\mathbf{r}_{\rm h} = bunch radius = 3 mm
L_{b} = bunch Length = 40 mm
\mathbf{P} = reference momentum = 200 MeV/c
m_{\rm m} = rest mass of muon = 105.7 MeV/c<sup>2</sup>
\beta = 0.88
\mathbf{Y} = 2.1
\tau_{p} = pulse length = 151 ps
\dot{E}_{tot} = 226 MeV
Q_{\rm h} = total charge = 160 nC
n_{\rm b} = peak beam density ~ 10<sup>18</sup> m<sup>-3</sup>
\omega_{pb} = plasma frequency of beam ~ 10<sup>9</sup> rad/s (\sqrt{(ne^2/\epsilon_0 m)})
```

Plasma: Li

Density varies in the simulations $(10^{16} - 10^{22} \text{ m}^{-3})$

Simulation Setup

2D Cylindrical Symmetry



XOOPIC Simulation Setup at Time t = 0

- Muon beam enters the cold lithium plasma.
- Plasma ions are modeled as a stationary uniform background.
- Plasma electrons are modeled with uniformly distributed particles with zero initial velocity.

$$n_b \sim 10^{18} \, m^{-3}$$
, $n_{pe} \sim 10^{18} \, m^{-3}$



Plasma Wakefield Excitation by μ⁻ & μ⁺ Beam

Time snapshot, pulse head @ 80 mm





Muon Beam



Evolution of µ⁻ - µ⁺ beam in e⁻ Plasma



d - 22 mm





wavelength of plasma wave = 32 mm for n_e = 10¹⁸ m⁻³ ¹³ Plasma wave is excited, however, not dangerous

3-D Wake E-field Structures for µ⁻

Snapshot @t = 210 ps (~ 55.4 mm) Beam-length = 40 mm



Bunch head generates strong negative wakefield -- acceleration & compression

Total Energy of µ⁻ and µ⁺ Beam



Collective Effects for µ⁻ Beam in Plasma

Effects of Plasma Density for n_b=10¹⁸

Plasma Wiped out

Strong Wakefield



Evolution of μ^{-} beam in Under and Over Dense Plasma



Under dense plasma Wiped out, over dense plasma unaffected¹⁸

E_{tot} of Beam in Different Plasma Density



Effects of Magnetic field (B_z) -- Movie

For µ collider, cooling channel is placed in a strong B-field



Magnetic field suppresses the strength of wakefield

Conclusions

- Particle-in-cell simulations of beam interaction with plasma reveal detailed wakefield structures which depend on beam and plasma densities, applied field strength, polarity of beam particle, etc
- Plasma wakefield excitation important when peak density of beam is comparable with plasma density, consistent with the other plasma wakefield accelerator simulations --- polarization of medium and wakefield does not stop beam
- Negatively charged beam experiences net acceleration. However, acceleration is weak for positively charged beam. These results are consistent with SLAC and Max Planck Institute wakefield accelerator simulation results. Wakefield due to µ⁺ is weaker than µ⁻
- External magnetic field can suppress wakefield which in turn may prevent longitudinal emittance increase
- Present simulations reveal that collective effects are not important for the present design parameters

Thanks for your Attention