# Self-Consistent Transfer Maps for High Intensity Beams

## Bela Erdelyi

**Department of Physics, Northern Illinois University, and Physics Division, Argonne National Laboratory**

ICAP2012, Warnemünde

08-19-2012

# Outline

- Transfer maps in general
  - Single-particle maps
  - Space charge maps
    - The 3 pillars of potential computation:
      - Differential Algebra
      - Duffy transformation
      - Distribution reconstruction from moments
    - Kick and full maps
    - Examples

# Poisson Equation

$$\Delta \Phi = -\frac{\rho}{\varepsilon}, \quad \text{in } V$$

$$\text{subject to } B\left(\Phi|_{\partial V}, \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial n}\Big|_{\partial V}\right) = 0$$

Decompose: $\Phi = \Phi_1 + \Phi_2$

Free space solution:

$$\Phi_1(\vec{r}) = \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_V \frac{\rho\left(\vec{r'}\right)}{\left\|\vec{r} - \vec{r'}\right\|} dV$$

Laplace equation:

$$\Delta \Phi_2 = 0, \quad \text{in } V$$

$$B_m\left(\Phi|_{\partial V}, \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial n}\Big|_{\partial V}, \Phi_1|_{\partial V}\right) = 0$$

# Free Space Solutions

$$\text{2D free space solution:} \qquad \Phi_1(\vec{r}) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_S \rho\left(\vec{r'}\right) \ln\|\vec{r} - \vec{r'}\| \, \mathrm{d}S$$

$$\text{3D free space solution:} \qquad \Phi_1(\vec{r}) = \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_V \frac{\rho\left(\vec{r'}\right)}{\|\vec{r} - \vec{r'}\|} \, \mathrm{d}V$$

- Singular integrals
- Theory says that the potential should be analytic in V if the charge density distribution is analytic in V
- Evaluation of integrals by standard methods is problematic
- Even if some clever integration method is used that avoids the singularity problem, the convergence radius of the resulting Taylor expansion of the potential tends to zero
- Need to perform integrations in DA
- Need straightforward method to control accuracy
- Must have large convergence region

# Recasting the Integrals

- The multiple integrals are considered as iterated integrals
- Each integral is rewritten as an initial value problem

$$\text{To evaluate } I(a, b; p) = \int_a^b f(y; p)\, dy$$

$$\text{Define } g(x; p) = \int_a^x f(y; p)\, dy$$

$$\text{It follows that } \frac{dg(x; p)}{dx} = f(x; p), \quad g(a; p) = 0$$

$$\text{Hence } I(a, b; p) = g(b; p)$$

- Solve initial value problem using *DA integrators (we use 8th order RK with 7th order automatic step size control)*
- Gives not just the value of the integral, but also the *Taylor expansion of the integral* around an arbitrary parameter value *p* of the function *f*
- Accuracy can be controlled not just for the integrals' values, but also their derivatives w.r.t. parameters (in RK8 can be set a priori)

# Duffy transformation

- A coordinate transformation that removes the singularity through the Jacobian of the transformation; works in both 2D and 3D
- The following steps are performed:
  - Split the integral over the whole domain into sum of integrals over boxes such that the singularity is at the lower left corner of each box
  - Then, split each box into 2 triangles (2D) or 3 pyramids (3D)
  - Apply the special coordinate transformation to each triangle/pyramid that removes the singularity
  - This is done by remapping each triangle/pyramid into a (different) square/cube)
- Resulting integrals can be done by standard methods (Runge-Kutta for example)
- Sum up all boxes
- Do everything in DA; result is the Taylor expansion of the potential around a point (typically the reference particle)

# Duffy Transformation

• Start with a box large enough (of course this also includes the support of the charge distribution function)

• The cross corresponds to the location of the reference particle

• Then, this is the integration region, and the result should be the Taylor expansion of the potential around the cross

• Ideally, the convergence region should be at least as large as the box

$$\int_{c}^{d}\int_{a}^{b} \ln(\sqrt{(x-x_0)^2 + (y-y_0)^2})\,\mathrm{d}x\mathrm{d}y$$

# Duffy Transformation

$$\int_{c}^{y_0}\int_{a}^{x_0} \ln(\sqrt{(x-x_0)^2 + (y-y_0)^2})\,dxdy$$

$$+ \int_{c}^{y_0}\int_{x_0}^{b} \ln(\sqrt{(x-x_0)^2 + (y-y_0)^2})\,dxdy$$

$$+ \int_{y_0}^{d}\int_{a}^{x_0} \ln(\sqrt{(x-x_0)^2 + (y-y_0)^2})\,dxdy$$

$$+ \int_{y_0}^{d}\int_{x_0}^{b} \ln(\sqrt{(x-x_0)^2 + (y-y_0)^2})\,dxdy$$

# Duffy Transformation



$$\int\limits_{y_0}^{d}\int\limits_{x_0}^{b}\ln(\sqrt{(x-x_0)^2+(y-y_0)^2})\,\mathrm{d}x\mathrm{d}y$$

# Duffy Transformation

$$u_1 = \frac{x - x_0}{b - x_0}; u_2 = \frac{y - y_0}{d - y_0};$$

$$\lambda_1 \lambda_2 \int_0^1 \int_0^1 \ln(\sqrt{(\lambda_1 u_1)^2 + (\lambda_2 u_2)^2}) \, \mathrm{d}u_1 \mathrm{d}u_2$$

$$\lambda_1 = b - x_0; \lambda_2 = d - y_0$$

# Duffy Transformation

$$w_1 = u_1 \,;\, w_2 = \frac{u_2}{u_1}$$

$$\lambda_1 \lambda_2 \int\limits_0^1 \int\limits_0^1 w_1 \ln(\lambda_1^2 w_1^2 + \lambda_2^2 w_1^2 w_2^2)\, dw_1 dw_2$$

$$= \lambda_1 \lambda_2 \int\limits_0^1 \int\limits_0^1 w_1 \ln(\lambda_1^2 + \lambda_2^2 w_2^2)\, dw_1 dw_2$$

SINGULARITY REMOVED!

# Duffy Transformation

# Duffy Transformation

• After performing each integration in DA and summing up the values (8 in 2D and 24 in 3D) the Taylor expansion is obtained

• It goes through exactly the same way if the uniform distribution is replaced with any analytic distribution function

• Hence, if the distribution is given analytically, the method produces the Taylor expansion of the potential around the reference orbit

• The reference particle's orbit may or may not coincide with the beam centroid

# 3D Example 1: Uniform Sphere (KV)

```
Computed potential as taylor expansion
   I   COEFFICIENT              ORDER EXPONENTS
                                       x  y  z
   1   0.4996370018951680        0     0  0  0
   2   -.1666657104620803        2     2  0  0
   3   -.1666657104620803        2     0  2  0
   4   -.1666657104620802        2     0  0  2
   5   -.1725493873288436E-03    4     4  0  0
   6   0.5195757808234870E-03    4     2  2  0
   7   -.1725493873286667E-03    4     0  4  0
   8   0.5195757808445904E-03    4     2  0  2
   9   0.5195757808421458E-03    4     0  2  2
  10   -.1725493873298274E-03    4     0  0  4
  11   -.2820031064842127E-04    6     6  0  0
  12   0.2455499056204024E-03    6     4  2  0
  13   0.2457695373273448E-03    6     2  4  0
  14   -.2820031076101128E-04    6     0  6  0
  15   0.2457695389517980E-03    6     4  0  2
  16   -.2824987224553016E-02    6     2  2  2
  17   0.2455499050171186E-03    6     0  4  2
  18   0.2455499067282470E-03    6     2  0  4
  19   0.2457695382008953E-03    6     0  2  4
  20   -.2820031054867415E-04    6     0  0  6
-----------------------------------------
```

$$\rho(x,y,z) = \begin{cases} 1 & (x^2+y^2+z^2) \le 1 \\ 0 & outside \end{cases}$$

$$\rho(x,y,z) \approx \frac{(1 - \tanh(20(x^2+y^2+z^2-1)))}{2}$$

# 3D Example 2: Uniform Box

```
Computed potential as taylor expansion
   I   COEFFICIENT              ORDER EXPONENTS
                                      x y  z
   1   0.7576021548382070         0   0 0  0
   2   -.1666666666209941         2   2 0  0
   3   -.1666666666209941         2   0 2  0
   4   -.1666666666209941         2   0 0  2
   5   -.2041958853924232E-01     4   4 0  0
   6   0.6125876674821989E-01     4   2 2  0
   7   -.2041958853924232E-01     4   0 4  0
   8   0.6125876674821994E-01     4   2 0  2
   9   0.6125876674821994E-01     4   0 2  2
  10   -.2041958853924233E-01     4   0 0  4
  11   -.2836051976365867E-03     6   6 0  0
  12   0.2127042457063774E-02     6   4 2  0
  13   0.2127041022347797E-02     6   2 4  0
  14   -.2836051976365908E-03     6   0 6  0
  15   0.2127041022347805E-02     6   4 0  2
  16   -.2552448368690466E-01     6   2 2  2
  17   0.2127042457063789E-02     6   0 4  2
  18   0.2127042457063780E-02     6   2 0  4
  19   0.2127041022347800E-02     6   0 2  4
  20   -.2836051976365913E-03     6   0 0  6
----------------------------------------
```



$$\rho(x,y,z) = \begin{cases} 1 & x,y,z \in [0,1] \\ 0 & outside \end{cases}$$

# 3D Example 3: Gaussian



```
Computed potential as taylor expansion
   I    COEFFICIENT           ORDER EXPONENTS
                                    x  y  z
   1   0.1899346134538555       0   0  0  0
   2  -.2857213616760714        2   2  0  0
   3  -.2857213616760714        2   0  2  0
   4  -.2857213616760714        2   0  0  2
   5   0.3856272870549544       4   4  0  0
   6   0.7717373303298547       4   2  2  0
   7   0.3856272870549544       4   0  4  0
   8   0.7717373303298548       4   2  0  2
   9   0.7717373303298548       4   0  2  2
  10   0.3856272870549544       4   0  0  4
  11  -.4132844211752644        6   6  0  0
  12  -1.239760030495708        6   4  2  0
  13  -1.239760030851594        6   2  4  0
  14  -.4132844211752643        6   0  6  0
  15  -1.239760030851594        6   4  0  2
  16  -2.480452389375887        6   2  2  2
  17  -1.239760030495708        6   0  4  2
  18  -1.239760030495708        6   2  0  4
  19  -1.239760030851594        6   0  2  4
  20  -.4132844211752643        6   0  0  6
------------------------------------------
```



$$\rho(x, y, z) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{\frac{3}{2}} \sigma_x \sigma_y \sigma_z} \exp\left(-\frac{(x - \mu_x)^2}{2\sigma_x^2} - \frac{(y - \mu_y)^2}{2\sigma_y^2} - \frac{(z - \mu_z)^2}{2\sigma_z^2}\right)$$

$$\sigma_x = \sigma_y = \sigma_z = \frac{1}{3}$$

$$\mu_x = \mu_y = \mu_z = 0$$

$$\rho(x, y, z) = \frac{27}{(2\pi)^{\frac{3}{2}}} \exp\left(-\frac{9}{2}\left(x^2 + y^2 + z^2\right)\right)$$

# Convergence Region

- It can be shown that the region of convergence of the multiple Taylor series of the potential is a box with sides equal to the closest boundaries in each spatial direction

- Therefore, it is advantageous to pick the computational box symmetric w.r.t. the expansion point

- According to theory, by rearranging the Taylor series into a sequence of homogeneous polynomials, the convergence region becomes a star-shaped region that cannot be smaller than the original convergence region

# Moments of the distribution

- If the distribution is not known analytically, can it be reconstructed from something?

- Yes, from the moments of the distribution

- <u>Theorem</u>: smooth distribution functions with compact support (and some with non-compact support, such as the Gaussian) are uniquely determined by their moments

# Moments of the distribution

- What can be said in the case of a finite set of common moments?

- The distributions sharing a finite set of common moments will resemble each other

- What is the convergence like in the limit of large number of same moments?

- Interestingly, the tail probabilities converge faster!

**Theorem 1** *Let any two arbitrary distributions $F(\cdot)$ and $G(\cdot)$ have the same first $2p$ moments: $m_i(F) = m_i(G) = m_i$, $i = 0, 1, 2, \ldots, 2p$ with $m_0 = 1$. Then, for all values of $x$,*

$$|F(x) - G(x)| \leq \frac{1}{\mathbf{V}_p^T(x)\,\mathbf{M}_p^{-1}\mathbf{V}_p(x)},$$

*where $\mathbf{V}_p(x) = \left(1, x, x^2, \ldots, x^p\right)$ and*

$$\mathbf{M}_p = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & m_1 & m_2 & \cdots & m_p \\ m_1 & m_2 & m_3 & \cdots & m_{p+1} \\ m_2 & m_3 & m_4 & \cdots & m_{p+2} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \cdots & \vdots \\ m_p & m_{p+1} & m_{p+2} & \cdots & m_{2p} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Thus, the bound goes to zero at the rate

$$x^{-2p} \text{ as } x \to \infty.$$

# Distribution Reconstruction from Moments

- Two different approaches:
  - Based on generic function approximation: orthogonal polynomials
  - Based on statistics: method of moments
- Orthogonal polynomials:
  - Compact support and Cartesian coordinates: Jacobi polynomials, moment-based approach
  - Take the simplest special case: linear combination of Legendre polynomials
  - Minimizes the mean squared error
- Method of moments:
  - Linear combination of monomials
- Both methods: solve for the coefficients by assuming a finite set of moments known
  - this determines the highest degree of the polynomial

$$\rho(x) = \frac{e^{-\frac{x^2}{2\sigma_x^2} - \frac{y^2}{2\sigma_y^2}}}{2\pi\sigma_x\sigma_y}, \sigma_x = \sigma_y = \frac{1}{3}$$

# Other Distributions



Ideal Potential          Calculated Potential          Difference (absolute)

# Sample moments vs. True Moments

- In practice, not only we don't know the analytical distribution, but also we don't know the true moments

- All we have is a finite number of particles from which we can compute a finite set of sample moments

- Replace the true moments with sample moments everywhere

- Does anything change significantly?

# Sample Moment Convergence

Accuracy for Various Orders and Moments

# Comparison of the Potential of the Uniform Square

### Analytical distribution

| I | COEFFICIENT | ORDER | EXPONENTS | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | -.3680282455232640 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2 | 0.3926990816986540 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| 3 | 0.3926990816986540 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 |
| 4 | 0.2083333333315265E-01 | 4 | 4 | 0 | 0 |
| 5 | -.1250000000010793 | 4 | 2 | 2 | 0 |
| 6 | 0.2083333333315409E-01 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 0 |
| 7 | 0.5703792473055192E-12 | 6 | 6 | 0 | 0 |
| 8 | 0.1065048743642549E-10 | 6 | 4 | 2 | 0 |
| 9 | 0.1058513693363849E-10 | 6 | 2 | 4 | 0 |
| 10 | 0.5579089707580253E-12 | 6 | 0 | 6 | 0 |
| 11 | -.3720238084313425E-03 | 8 | 8 | 0 | 0 |
| 12 | 0.1041666665696570E-01 | 8 | 6 | 2 | 0 |
| 13 | -.2604166672673017E-01 | 8 | 4 | 4 | 0 |
| 14 | 0.1041666665699065E-01 | 8 | 2 | 6 | 0 |
| 15 | -.3720238084418593E-03 | 8 | 0 | 8 | 0 |
| 16 | -.1635264101592423E-11 | 10 | 10 | 0 | 0 |
| 17 | -.4415504420429705E-10 | 10 | 8 | 2 | 0 |
| 18 | 0.5719830858952335E-10 | 10 | 6 | 4 | 0 |
| 19 | 0.5951019538263935E-10 | 10 | 4 | 6 | 0 |
| 20 | -.4259943136089572E-10 | 10 | 2 | 8 | 0 |
| 21 | -.1586439082857348E-11 | 10 | 0 | 10 | 0 |
| 22 | 0.2367423939278635E-04 | 12 | 12 | 0 | 0 |
| 23 | -.1562499976910392E-02 | 12 | 10 | 2 | 0 |
| 24 | 0.1171875029799041E-01 | 12 | 8 | 4 | 0 |
| 25 | -.2187499984374425E-01 | 12 | 6 | 6 | 0 |
| 26 | 0.1171875027765465E-01 | 12 | 4 | 8 | 0 |
| 27 | -.1562499979064944E-02 | 12 | 2 | 10 | 0 |
| 28 | 0.2367423946802499E-04 | 12 | 0 | 12 | 0 |
| 29 | 0.1884027530746303E-11 | 14 | 14 | 0 | 0 |
| 30 | 0.2123499584412705E-09 | 14 | 12 | 2 | 0 |
| 31 | 0.4604175461025983E-10 | 14 | 10 | 4 | 0 |
| 32 | -.2305339343033896E-08 | 14 | 8 | 6 | 0 |
| 33 | -.2219386036061977E-08 | 14 | 6 | 8 | 0 |
| 34 | 0.7866389310773325E-10 | 14 | 4 | 10 | 0 |
| 35 | 0.2065573390499023E-09 | 14 | 2 | 12 | 0 |
| 36 | 0.1793213603120043E-11 | 14 | 0 | 14 | 0 |
| 37 | -.2325142605110877E-05 | 16 | 16 | 0 | 0 |
| 38 | 0.2790177211800625E-03 | 16 | 14 | 2 | 0 |
| 39 | -.4231774321810298E-02 | 16 | 12 | 4 | 0 |
| 40 | 0.1861979370992442E-01 | 16 | 10 | 6 | 0 |
| 41 | -.2992464906071127E-01 | 16 | 8 | 8 | 0 |
| 42 | 0.1861979346345113E-01 | 16 | 6 | 10 | 0 |
| 43 | -.4231774259660980E-02 | 16 | 4 | 12 | 0 |
| 44 | 0.2790177297934522E-03 | 16 | 2 | 14 | 0 |
| 45 | -.2325142616801273E-05 | 16 | 0 | 16 | 0 |

### Reconstructed distribution from truncated true moments

| I | COEFFICIENT | ORDER | EXPONENTS | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | -.3680282500557647 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2 | 0.7436521970546914E-12 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 3 | 0.4565417828641052E-12 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| 4 | 0.3926990219829563 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| 5 | 0.4653951393291854E-11 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| 6 | 0.3926991414047079 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 |
| 7 | -.1291820510685944E-10 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 0 |
| 8 | -.4441153272097779E-11 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 0 |
| 9 | -.1772742601284296E-10 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 0 |
| 10 | -.9365479623203997E-11 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 0 |
| 11 | 0.2083333828328526E-01 | 4 | 4 | 0 | 0 |
| 12 | -.5782452636602210E-10 | 4 | 3 | 1 | 0 |
| 13 | -.1250000295097773 | 4 | 2 | 2 | 0 |
| 14 | -.2351696138351876E-10 | 4 | 1 | 3 | 0 |
| 15 | 0.2083333880668066E-01 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 0 |
| 16 | 0.1125494595861544E-09 | 5 | 5 | 0 | 0 |
| 17 | -.1559985404257721E-10 | 5 | 4 | 1 | 0 |
| 18 | 0.1240522027220417E-09 | 5 | 3 | 2 | 0 |
| 19 | 0.9175256042057715E-10 | 5 | 2 | 3 | 0 |
| 20 | 0.1391846685112622E-09 | 5 | 1 | 4 | 0 |
| 21 | 0.6803624983928038E-10 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 0 |
| 22 | 0.5132983596239506E-08 | 6 | 6 | 0 | 0 |
| 23 | 0.2980230944255719E-10 | 6 | 5 | 1 | 0 |
| 24 | -.3698009892003755E-07 | 6 | 4 | 2 | 0 |
| 25 | 0.7815302749969648E-09 | 6 | 3 | 3 | 0 |
| 26 | 0.3688163979689293E-07 | 6 | 2 | 4 | 0 |
| 27 | -.2582871739949254E-09 | 6 | 1 | 5 | 0 |
| 28 | -.1045016219038321E-07 | 6 | 0 | 6 | 0 |
| 29 | -.5468101217124529E-09 | 7 | 7 | 0 | 0 |
| 30 | 0.2506600403457893E-09 | 7 | 6 | 1 | 0 |
| 31 | -.3410800311184983E-09 | 7 | 5 | 2 | 0 |
| 32 | -.3332583311306043E-09 | 7 | 4 | 3 | 0 |
| 33 | -.6572006096174474E-09 | 7 | 3 | 4 | 0 |
| 34 | -.3885843576505257E-09 | 7 | 2 | 5 | 0 |
| 35 | -.5360194700082811E-09 | 7 | 1 | 6 | 0 |
| 36 | -.2591946912964630E-09 | 7 | 0 | 7 | 0 |
| 37 | -.3720198234107559E-03 | 8 | 8 | 0 | 0 |
| 38 | 0.1322858742292450E-08 | 8 | 7 | 1 | 0 |
| 39 | 0.1041667508367628E-01 | 8 | 6 | 2 | 0 |
| 40 | -.3257984736900039E-08 | 8 | 5 | 3 | 0 |
| 41 | -.2604168309982919E-01 | 8 | 4 | 4 | 0 |
| 42 | -.2267100610759897E-08 | 8 | 3 | 5 | 0 |
| 43 | 0.1041667054940431E-01 | 8 | 2 | 6 | 0 |
| 44 | 0.2282463383865816E-08 | 8 | 1 | 7 | 0 |
| 45 | -.3719997260638551E-03 | 8 | 0 | 8 | 0 |

### Reconstructed distribution from sample moments-1Mp

| I | COEFFICIENT | ORDER | EXPONENTS | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | -.3677357926229946 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2 | -.2858367532197177E-03 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 3 | -.7350520101666331E-03 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| 4 | 0.3922989592684850 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| 5 | 0.1148352103807985E-03 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| 6 | 0.3927646684209024 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 |
| 7 | -.1002590670568869E-02 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 0 |
| 8 | -.1667291462487529E-03 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 0 |
| 9 | -.7679608989720461E-03 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 0 |
| 10 | -.6312538437356915E-03 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 0 |
| 11 | 0.1988300246743771E-01 | 4 | 4 | 0 | 0 |
| 12 | -.3442704934413123E-03 | 4 | 3 | 1 | 0 |
| 13 | -.1258158193876644 | 4 | 2 | 2 | 0 |
| 14 | -.1751174198159774E-02 | 4 | 1 | 3 | 0 |
| 15 | 0.1812030290642173E-01 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 0 |
| 16 | 0.1542755672454012E-02 | 5 | 5 | 0 | 0 |
| 17 | 0.1363708010070532E-02 | 5 | 4 | 1 | 0 |
| 18 | 0.5501413760598372E-02 | 5 | 3 | 2 | 0 |
| 19 | 0.1354509357300812E-02 | 5 | 2 | 3 | 0 |
| 20 | -.1279278936852724E-02 | 5 | 1 | 4 | 0 |
| 21 | 0.2241576733589454E-02 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 0 |
| 22 | 0.3081560645803713E-02 | 6 | 6 | 0 | 0 |
| 23 | -.1450775687574610E-02 | 6 | 5 | 1 | 0 |
| 24 | 0.3075204071731561E-02 | 6 | 4 | 2 | 0 |
| 25 | 0.4351416293783606E-02 | 6 | 3 | 3 | 0 |
| 26 | 0.2120542332487251E-02 | 6 | 2 | 4 | 0 |
| 27 | 0.1161964700890366E-02 | 6 | 1 | 5 | 0 |
| 28 | 0.6845359509134829E-02 | 6 | 0 | 6 | 0 |
| 29 | -.1001629513649090E-02 | 7 | 7 | 0 | 0 |
| 30 | -.1064159487408654E-02 | 7 | 6 | 1 | 0 |
| 31 | -.5739230840026250E-02 | 7 | 5 | 2 | 0 |
| 32 | -.2314572927725304E-02 | 7 | 4 | 3 | 0 |
| 33 | -.2550049039704172E-02 | 7 | 3 | 4 | 0 |
| 34 | -.1251422861649679E-02 | 7 | 2 | 5 | 0 |
| 35 | 0.2411979419326819E-02 | 7 | 1 | 6 | 0 |
| 36 | -.2192737220054387E-02 | 7 | 0 | 7 | 0 |
| 37 | -.3613054206916010E-02 | 8 | 8 | 0 | 0 |
| 38 | 0.2425774566073134E-02 | 8 | 7 | 1 | 0 |
| 39 | 0.8015474224348087E-02 | 8 | 6 | 2 | 0 |
| 40 | -.3628200315062325E-03 | 8 | 5 | 3 | 0 |
| 41 | -.2930206415797402E-01 | 8 | 4 | 4 | 0 |
| 42 | -.6196119333934874E-02 | 8 | 3 | 5 | 0 |
| 43 | 0.8741288621593854E-02 | 8 | 2 | 6 | 0 |
| 44 | 0.1290101015033183E-02 | 8 | 1 | 7 | 0 |
| 45 | -.7227580275047801E-02 | 8 | 0 | 8 | 0 |

# Kick Map

- Once the Taylor expansion of the potential is computed, take derivative to obtain the fields in the beam frame
- Note that this is an elementary operation in DA, so there are no interpolation errors
- Lorentz boost to lab frame
- Substitute into the equations of motion
- Apply splitting and composition techniques
- Obtain space charge kick map from DA integration of the EOM in the same way as in the single-particle case

# Flow Chart



Specify Particle Distribution and Boundary Conditions

↓

Compute Sample Moments

↓

Construct Polynomial Approximation of the Distribution Function

↓

Compute Taylor Series of the Potential

↓

Take Derivatives and Boost to Get Fields
Integrate Equations of the Motion

↓

**Obtain Space Charge Kick Map**

# Map Comparison

Drift Map

```
1.000000      0.000000      0.000000      0.000000      0.000000    100000
1.000000      1.000000      0.000000      0.000000      0.000000    010000
0.000000      0.000000      1.000000      0.000000      0.000000    001000
0.000000      0.000000      1.000000      1.000000      0.000000    000100
0.5000000     0.000000      0.000000      0.000000      0.000000    030000
0.000000      0.000000      0.5000000     0.000000      0.000000    020100
0.5000000     0.000000      0.000000      0.000000      0.000000    010200
0.000000      0.000000      0.5000000     0.000000      0.000000    000300
0.3750000     0.000000      0.000000      0.000000      0.000000    050000
0.000000      0.000000      0.3750000     0.000000      0.000000    040100
0.7500000     0.000000      0.000000      0.000000      0.000000    030200
0.000000      0.000000      0.7500000     0.000000      0.000000    020300
0.3750000     0.000000      0.000000      0.000000      0.000000    010400
0.000000      0.000000      0.3750000     0.000000      0.000000    000500
---------------------------------------------------------------------------
```

Drift map with 1 space charge kick

```
-0.7852727E-05-0.1570545E-04 0.6368614E-07 0.1273723E-06   0.000000    000000
 1.000616       0.1231262E-02-0.6734348E-05-0.1346870E-04   0.000000    100000
 1.000000       1.000000     -0.1000220E-11 0.000000        0.000000    010000
-0.6734348E-05-0.1346870E-04 1.000612       0.1224478E-02   0.000000    001000
-0.1000220E-11 0.000000      1.000000       1.000000        0.000000    000100
-0.5143629E-04-0.1028726E-03-0.7751066E-05-0.1550213E-04   0.000000    200000
-0.2900714E-07 0.000000      0.1841803E-09 0.000000        0.000000    110000
-0.1177909E-04 0.000000      0.3184307E-07 0.000000        0.000000    020000
-0.1550213E-04-0.3100427E-04 0.1912624E-04 0.3825250E-04   0.000000    101000
 0.3952803E-09 0.000000     -0.9616349E-08 0.000000        0.000000    011000
 0.1841803E-09 0.000000     -0.9671336E-08 0.000000        0.000000    100100
 0.6368614E-07 0.000000     -0.7852727E-05 0.000000        0.000000    010100
 0.9563119E-05 0.1912625E-04 0.1205918E-05 0.2411835E-05   0.000000    002000
-0.9616349E-08 0.000000      0.3397128E-09 0.000000        0.000000    001100
-0.3926364E-05 0.000000      0.9552921E-07 0.000000        0.000000    000200
```

# Example



$$\frac{r_m}{r_0} = 1 + 5.87 \times 10^{-5} \frac{I}{(\gamma^2 - 1)^{\frac{3}{2}}} (\frac{z}{r_0})^{2}$$



| Method | Growth |
|---|---|
| Edge Point x | 35.27 % |
| Edge Point y | 35.30 % |
| Map Element x | 31.21 % |
| Map Element y | 31.34 % |

# Tune Fitting

# Summary

- Developed a theory of transfer maps for beams with space charge

- Numerical experiments show excellent results for some standard distributions

- It is general and flexible enough to be useful for a wide variety of beams and accelerators, both current and future

- Implementation into COSY is finished

- Applications to date: UMER and MEIC

- _In summary: as a consequence of the new methods we expect significant advances in space charge related phenomena understanding and mitigation in the near future, enabling progress toward a new **intensity frontier**_