



PID auto-tuning

UNICOS

MOC3O02, Feedback systems & Tuning

Enrique Blanco (CERN, EN/ICE)
on behalf of the UNICOS team



Outline

1. Introduction
2. CERN installations (UNICOS)
3. PID auto-tuning: Solution and methods
4. Experimental results
5. Conclusions




Introduction

- The **PID** feedback control algorithm dates back to early nineteen's
- The **right tuning** of those controllers is essential to increase plant availability and maximize profits in many processes
- Operators and control engineers spend a considerable **time** in tuning those controllers
- **GOAL:** (1) Provide automatic methods to tune the PID controllers and (2) make them usable by plant operators



PID control basics

- **Basics**



- PID are usually tuned by **operators** (or control engineers).
- The majority of the controllers in industry are **PIs**



Introduction



CERN Installations



PID Auto-tuning



Experimental results



Conclusions



CERN installations



- Industrial facilities for the accelerator complex and the associated experiments
- Continuous process control: temperature, pressure, levels...
- Large and/or complex dynamics



LHC 4.5 K Cryogenics refrigerators



TPC gas system



LHC cooling towers



CO₂ Cooling (MARCO)



CERN installations



Enormous **number** of PID based controllers: > **8000**

- LHC cryogenic control system: ~ **5000** PID controllers
- Cooling and Ventilation: ~ **870** PID controllers

PIDs tuned extremely **conservative** or initial parameters untouched

- Fine tuning systematically avoided

Implementation:

- **PLCs** (Programmable Logic Controller): > 400
- **UNICOS** (**Unified Industrial Control System**) framework.



Introduction



CERN Installations



PID Auto-tuning



Experimental results



Conclusions




PID auto-tuning

Create a tool to automatically find the PID parameters and tune the control loops always initiated deliberately by operators and/or control engineers.

Classification (one) on how the data is extracted from the plant

- **Open loop:** e.g. turn off PID and excite the process by changing the MV
- **Close loop:** e.g. tune online while the PID is working (SP is changed)



Open loop

Close loop



Introduction ● ● CERN Installations ● ● **PID Auto-tuning** ● ○ ○ ○ ○ ○ Experimental results ○ ○ ○ ○ Conclusions ○

ICALEPCS'15 - Oct/15

E. Blanco - UNICOS Team (CERN)

7

PID auto-tuning

Tuning methods

- Trial & Error
- Experimental based
- Model based analytical
- Automatic tuning: Auto-tuning methods
 - Relay Method
 - SIMC (*Skogestad Internal Model Control*)
 - IFC (Iterative Feedback Tuning)

The choice is not straight forward and depends mostly on the process knowledge



Introduction



CERN Installations



PID Auto-tuning



O O O O

Experimental results



O O O Conclusions



PID auto-tuning: [1] Relay

Astrom and Hagglund (1995)

The process is brought to oscillation by replacing the PID controller with a relay function. The ultimate Gain (K_{cu}) and the ultimate period (T_u) are determined

User parameters


- Maximal deviation of the control effort: MV
- Number of cycles to detect the ultimate condition

Advantages

- Single action (vs. trial and error)
- Little a priori knowledge of the process

Disadvantages

- Preferably to execute it under stable conditions
- Process must be controllable with a P-controller.



	K_c	T_i	T_d
P	0.5 K_u		
PI	0.4 K_u	0.8 T_u	
PID	0.6 K_u	0.5 T_u	0.125 T_u



PID auto-tuning:[2] SIMC

Method based on an internal model (*Skogestad IMC*)

- Two phases: Process identification (first or second order) and application of tuning rules

Parameterization


- Desired performance: *Tight vs. smooth* control

Advantages

- Simplicity of parameterization

Disadvantages

- Applicable to processes without complex dynamics
- Stable processes: open loop test



$$K_c = \frac{1}{k'} \cdot \frac{1}{(\theta + \tau_c)}$$
$$\tau_I = \min(\tau_1, 4(\tau_c + \theta))$$

$k' = k/\tau_1$ = initial slope step response
 $\tau_c \geq 0$: desired closed-loop response time (**tuning parameter**)
For robustness select : τ_c, θ (gives $K_c \leq K_{c,\max}$)
For disturbance rejection select : $K_c \geq K_{c,\min} = u_{d0}/y_{\max}$



Introduction



CERN Installations



PID Auto-tuning



Experimental results



Conclusions



PID auto-tuning: [3] IFT

Iterative Feedback Tuning (IFT): inspired in the iterative parametric optimization approach. Makes random perturbations on the SP. Minimize the current value of the measured value and a desired first order response.

Parameterization

- Just safeguards (thresholds)
- Desired 1st order response shape

Advantages

- close loop method with minimal disturbances
- model free

Disadvantages

- A local minima could be found

$$J(\rho) = \frac{1}{2N} \left[a \sum_{t=1}^N (L_y \cdot \tilde{y}_t(\rho))^2 + l \sum_{t=1}^N (L_u \cdot u_t(\rho))^2 \right]$$
$$\rho^* = \underset{\rho}{\operatorname{argmin}} J(\rho)$$
$$\tilde{y}_t = y_t - y_d$$



Introduction



CERN Installations



PID Auto-tuning



Experimental results





Conclusions



UNICOS Implementation


- Algorithms in the *WinCC OA* SCADA: Scripting language
- The **PLC** maintains the PID algorithm untouched
- HMI inside the PID controller faceplate



Experimental results (Ghe Flow control)

Use case: Cryogenics flow control: PI control
[Simulation]

Gas helium circulating to maintain the thermal shielding of the LHC superconducting magnets at 80 K.



Introduction



CERN Installations



PID Auto-tuning



Experimental results




Conclusions



GHe Flow control

- Found too sluggish with oscillations and overshoots when disturb by pressure changes
- Three auto-tuning methods tested:
Relay, SIMC, IFT

Tuning	K_c	T_i	Overshoot	Oscillation
Original	1	200	22 %	6 %
Relay	9	12	0.5 %	1 %
SIMC	4.5	7	1 %	1.3 %
IFT	13	11	0.5 %	0.5 %



Introduction



CERN Installations



PID Auto-tuning



Experimental results



Conclusions




ICALEPCS'15 - Oct/15

E. Blanco - UNICOS Team (CERN)


14

Experimental results (HVAC process)

- Use case: chilled water production unit providing water at 5° C for LHC
- Maintain at 25° C the condenser output temperature of a chiller
- PI controller: desired temperature deviation within 1° C



Introduction



CERN Installations



PID Auto-tuning



Experimental results



Conclusions




HVAC control

Operation team reported instabilities on a regulation loop action on a control valve

Results: Relay vs. IFT

Tuning	K_c	T_i	$ \Delta y $	$ \Delta u $
Original	8	0.5	2 °C	100 %
Relay	8.3	311	1.3 °C	10 %
IFT	50.9	5666	0.5 °C	20 %



Conclusions

- PID auto-tuning is not a dream. **Feasible** to implement
- Fully-integrated implementation: UNICOS
- Flexible solution: Open to new methods
- Improvement of plant availability and engineering time
- **Operator acceptance**



Introduction



CERN Installations



PID Auto-tuning



Experimental results



Conclusions



Acknowledgements

Collaboration agreement: CERN – UVA



Industrial Controls group
Engineering Department
CERN, Geneva (Switzerland)



Automatic control and systems engineering
University of Valladolid.
UVA, Valladolid (Spain)





www.cern.ch

Unified
Industrial Control
System

UNICOS

UNICOS (UNified Industrial Control System) is a CERN-made framework to develop industrial control applications
<http://www.cern.ch/unicos>



Enrique Blanco: automation engineer, PhD in systems and process engineering. Head of the process control section (industrial controls group) in the engineering dpt. at CERN

Native integration advantages

Easy integration

- Avoid data extraction & third party tools (off line analysis)
- External connections
- Customized to our environment (event driven data, Customized scaling)

Ease operation

- Same philosophy & look and feel
- Ease parameterization
- Fully control and safe operation (boundaries)

Flexible and evolutive

- Easy integration of new algorithms

