

EPICS-based Control System for a Radiation Therapy Machine

Jonathan Jacky

University of Washington Medical Center
Department of Radiation Oncology
jon@uw.edu, <http://staff.washington.edu/jon/>

UWMC Cyclotron Engineering Group

- Stefani Banerian
- Eric Dorman
- Robert Emery
- Steven Steininger
- Tobin Weber

UWMC Clinical Neutron Therapy System (1)

Hospital-based cyclotron and neutron radiation therapy



UWMC Clinical Neutron Therapy System (2)

Thirty year history:

- NCI funded
- Began treating 1984
- Neutron clinical trials in the 1980s
- Currently the only neutron treatment center in the USA
- *Hospital-based* engineering group (no vendor)

Exotic particles (for medicine):

- Cyclotron
- Neutron beam

But otherwise like a typical hospital radiation therapy machine:

- Isocentric gantry
- Multileaf collimator
- Computer control

CNTS Control System: History

Thirty years, three generations of control systems, including two developed by us:

- 1984 Scanditronix PDP11/RSX/Fortran
with UWMC custom RTP via DECNET
Ahead of its time
- 1999 UWMC VME(68k)/VxWorks/C
with UWMC custom Prism RTP via NFS
new functional spec, workflow, UI, hw, sw
State of the art
- 2013 UWMC X86/Linux/EPICS
with commercial Pinnacle RTP via DICOM
new hw, sw *but* very similar functional spec etc.
Time tested

We are using EPICS for the latest version.

EPICS: Experimental Physics and Industrial Control System

Libraries, utility programs, and tools for developing control systems

20+ years of success at large accelerators etc.

Features:

- Input/Output Controllers (IOCs) and clients on a network
- Communicate using Channel Access (CA) protocol on UDP and TCP
- Program each IOC by defining a “database”:
Process Variables (PVs) and data flow program
- Many convenient development tools

EPICS Pros and Cons

Advantages (for us):

- Successful at large accelerators etc. for 20+ years
- Successful in our hands recently for non-therapy functions
- Functionality is a good fit to our application
- More rapid and convenient development than just C
- Standardize on a single collection of components and tools

Disadvantages – ?

- Larger, more complex toolchain and runtime
(than our previous platform, just C on VxWorks)

Less reliable? Behavior harder to understand and predict?

EPICS developers counsel *against* using it for safety-critical applications:

2008 : “(EPICS) code is not rigorously audited to the standards ... that would be needed (for medical applications). Here at (laboratory) the general rule is that EPICS software plays no part in implementing personnel safety. ...”

epics/tech-talk/2008/msg00803.php

2012 : “EPICS should never be relied on for safety-critical operations, although it is used at many sites to monitor such equipment ...”

epics/tech-talk/2012/msg01836.php

CNTS Control System: Components and Functions

Includes nonprogrammable, programmable, and human elements

Use the simplest, most mature technology that can do each function

Relay : Hardwired Safety Interlock System (HSIS)

PLC : Interlocks, combinational logic, simple sequencing

uC ROM : Low-level device control via PIO, DAC, ADC

HLL Software : Prescription-specific, data-intensive computation

People : Select prescription, position patient, avoid collisions

More people : Ongoing QA of treatment process including prescriptions, control system

Each layer can independently achieve and maintain a safe state of the components under its direct control.

Dose Terminator Example (1): Components and Functions

Dose termination: turn off the beam when the accumulated dose reaches the prescribed value.

Relay : Open a switch in the hard-wired safety trace to turn off the beam

TTL : Compare accumulating dose register A to prescribed dose register P, when A equals or exceeds P, de-energize relay

DMC (μ C ROM) : Load register P with scaled integer prescribed dose

HLL program : Retrieve prescribed dose from prescription database, scale by calibration factors, send to DMC

People : Select appropriate prescription from database, command beam to turn on (may be vetoed by any lower layer)

More people : Measure and enter calibration factors

Dose Terminator Example (2): Redundancy etc.

We use *redundancy*, *crash protection*, and *consensus* so the system remains safe if components halt, delay, or perform erratically.

Redundancy : Two independent hardware dose terminators, two relays

Also, backup timer turns off beam is estimated treatment time is exceeded

Crash protection : Hardware dose terminators ensure correct prescribed dose is delivered even if DMC or HLL programs crash or stall during a treatment.

Electromechanical dose counters record delivered dose even if power lost during a treatment

Consensus : Initiating or continuing potentially hazardous activities (like beam on) requires ongoing active cooperation of several programmable and nonprogrammable components.

Dose Terminator Example (3): Signal Path

We do use HLL programs on safety-critical signal paths, for example the dose terminators:

Radiation Therapy Planning (RTP) System →

→ DICOM (Common Lisp) → Prescriptions (PostgreSQL) →

→ psycopg2 + pyepics EPICS CA (Python) →

→ EPICS IOC ai, bi, ... → IOC calc, ... → IOC ao, bo, ... →

→ IOC asyn → IOC streamDevice, dmc.proto →

→ DMC (Dose Monitor Controller, uC with ROM)

→ dose terminator hardware

HLL programs include EPICS but also others. All – EPICS or not
— require intensive analysis, testing, and monitoring.

Assurance activities

Development

- Requirements, functional specification
 - Identify all inputs, outputs, state variables, state transitions
 - Explicit safety requirements (invariants)
 - Analysis checks each state transition preserves safety invariants
- Design, code
 - Use minimal set of EPICS constructs
- Static analysis (inspection)
 - Tool generates data flow diagrams from EPICS databases
- Dynamic analysis (testing)
 - Python unittest, use EPICS CA to set and read PVs
 - Load test, simulate extended operations in short time
 - System test end-to-end in production configuration

Operations

- Logs and treatment records
- Run-time checking of PVs

EPICS components and coding style

Use simple configuration and minimal set of EPICS constructs:

- Therapy control program runs on one soft IOC
- IOC is the only application running on its computer
- IOC does not require any clients to maintain safe state
- Only database records, StreamDevice .proto files, st.cmd
- No custom device support, no subroutine records
- Database DB links only, no CA links, so no SNL
- Data flow is all “push”: SCAN PASSIVE, OUT PP, FLNK

Only these record types: acalcout, ai, ao, asyn, bi, bo, calc, calcout, fanout, longin, longout, mbbo, scalcout, stringin, stringout, seq

Status and Conclusions

Status (Oct 2013)

- Most functionality working
- Not yet complete
- Not yet in clinical use

Enough is working to make some provisional conclusions:

- EPICS database records and .proto files are sufficient
- Sole therapy control IOC uses about 1 percent of processor
- Development straightforward, no unexpected problems
- No apparent obstacles to clinical use

EPICS-based Control System for a Radiation Therapy Machine

Jonathan Jacky

University of Washington Medical Center
Department of Radiation Oncology
jon@uw.edu, <http://staff.washington.edu/jon/>