



Fast Automatic Beam-Based Alignment of the LHC Collimation System

Gianluca Valentino

with contributions from:

R. W. Assmann, R. Bruce, S. Jackson, S. Redaelli,

B. Salvachua, N. Sammut, D. Wollmann, C. Zamantzas

Work supported by:

EUCARD

ICALEPCS'13 - San Francisco, USA, 10 October 2013



Outline



- LHC Collimation System
- Collimator Beam-Based Alignment
- Alignment Algorithms
 - BLM feedback loop
 - Parallel collimator alignment
 - BLM spike recognition
 - BPM-guided coarse alignment
- Operational Results
- Summary



The Large Hadron Collider







The Large Hadron Collider



LHC Machine Parameters

Jura mountains

- Beam Energy: 7 TeV (4 TeV)
- Bunch Intensity: 1.15E11 p
- # Bunches: 2808 (1380)
- Collisions / sec: 600 million

Gianluca Valentino

Geneva Airport





- Particles have a natural tendency to drift to the beam halo over time.
- Collimators passively scatter and intercept beam halo particles to:
 - Prevent quenches of the super-conducting magnets.
 - Limit irradiation of sensitive devices.
 - Reduce signal background in the experiment detectors.







- Particles have a natural tendency to drift to the beam halo over time.
- Collimators passively scatter and intercept beam halo particles to:
 - Prevent quenches of the super-conducting magnets.
 - Limit irradiation of sensitive devices.
 - Reduce signal background in the experiment detectors.



Shower



360 MJ proton beam





- Particles have a natural tendency to drift to the beam halo over time.
- Collimators passively scatter and intercept beam halo particles to:
 - Prevent quenches of the super-conducting magnets.
 - Limit irradiation of sensitive devices.
 - Reduce signal background in the experiment detectors.



Secondary

halo

Horizontal





360 MJ proton beam





- Particles have a natural tendency to drift to the beam halo over time.
- Collimators passively scatter and intercept beam halo particles to:
 - Prevent quenches of the super-conducting magnets.
 - Limit irradiation of sensitive devices.
 - Reduce signal background in the experiment detectors.



Shower

Secondary

halo







- Particles have a natural tendency to drift to the beam halo over time.
- Collimators passively scatter and intercept beam halo particles to:
 - Prevent quenches of the super-conducting magnets.
 - Limit irradiation of sensitive devices.
 - Reduce signal background in the experiment detectors.



Shower

Secondary

halo



360 MJ proton beam









• The LHC is protected by a **collimation system** with 86 collimators (+ 14 transfer line).







• The LHC is protected by a **collimation system** with 86 collimators (+ 14 transfer line).







- The LHC is protected by a **collimation system** with 86 collimators (+ 14 transfer line).
- Each cleaning collimator consists of two moveable 'jaws' made of carbon, tungsten or copper.
- The jaws are positioned symmetrically around the beam for maximum cleaning efficiency.







Collimator Status and Positions Display



Green: OK Red: Interlock/Error

15-09-2011 22:36:23



Gianluca Valentino

6

More details in

TUPPC111



Collimator Status and Positions Display







Collimator Status and Positions Display





The beam centre and beam size at each collimator location must be measured at 4 points in the machine cycle.



LHC Collimation

The beam centre and beam size at each collimator location must be measured at 4 points in the machine cycle.



LHC Collimation

The beam centre and beam size at each collimator location must be measured at 4 points in the machine cycle.



LHC Collimation





Gianluca Valentino

LHC Collimation

Triplet magnet Project

CERN









 Both jaws of the TCP in the appropriate plane (Hor/Ver/Skew) are aligned to the beam.



- Reference Collimator i collimator **BLM**_i **BLM**_{REF} 1 Beam
- Both jaws of the TCP in the appropriate 1. plane (Hor/Ver/Skew) are aligned to the beam.

LHC Collimation

Project

CERN



1. Both jaws of the TCP in the appropriate plane (Hor/Ver/Skew) are aligned to the beam.



LHC Collimation



1. Both jaws of the TCP in the appropriate plane (Hor/Ver/Skew) are aligned to the beam.



LHC Collimation



 Both jaws of the TCP in the appropriate plane (Hor/Ver/Skew) are aligned to the beam.



2. The collimator *i* is aligned to the beam.

LHC Collimation



- 1. Both jaws of the TCP in the appropriate plane (Hor/Ver/Skew) are aligned to the beam.
- 2. The collimator *i* is aligned to the beam.



LHC Collimation



- 1. Both jaws of the TCP in the appropriate plane (Hor/Ver/Skew) are aligned to the beam.
- 2. The collimator *i* is aligned to the beam.



LHC Collimation



- 1. Both jaws of the TCP in the appropriate plane (Hor/Ver/Skew) are aligned to the beam.
- 2. The collimator *i* is aligned to the beam.



LHC Collimation

1.

2.

Alignment Procedure

Reference

collimator

BLM_{REF} showers Both jaws of the TCP in the appropriate 1 plane (Hor/Ver/Skew) are aligned to the Beam beam. The collimator *i* is aligned to the beam. 2 **BLM**_{REF} Beam centre: $\Delta x_i = \frac{x_i^{L,m} + x_i^{R,m}}{2}$ Beam



LHC Collimation

Collimator i

Project

CERN

BLM_i

BLM_i

- 1. Both jaws of the TCP in the appropriate plane (Hor/Ver/Skew) are aligned to the beam.
- 2. The collimator *i* is aligned to the beam.

Beam centre: $\Delta x_i = \frac{x_i^{L,m} + x_i^{R,m}}{2}$

3. The TCP is realigned to determine the beam size at collimator *i*.



LHC Collimation

- Both jaws of the TCP in the appropriate plane (Hor/Ver/Skew) are aligned to the beam.
- 2. The collimator *i* is aligned to the beam.

Beam centre: $\Delta x_i = \frac{x_i^{L,m} + x_i^{R,m}}{2}$

3. The TCP is realigned to determine the beam size at collimator *i*.



LHC Collimation

- Both jaws of the TCP in the appropriate plane (Hor/Ver/Skew) are aligned to the beam.
- 2. The collimator *i* is aligned to the beam.

Beam centre: $\Delta x_i = \frac{x_i^{L,m} + x_i^{R,m}}{2}$

3. The TCP is realigned to determine the beam size at collimator *i*.



LHC Collimation

- Both jaws of the TCP in the appropriate plane (Hor/Ver/Skew) are aligned to the beam.
- 2. The collimator *i* is aligned to the beam.

Beam centre: $\Delta x_i = \frac{x_i^{L,m} + x_i^{R,m}}{2}$

3. The TCP is realigned to determine the beam size at collimator *i*.



LHC Collimation

- 1. Both jaws of the TCP in the appropriate plane (Hor/Ver/Skew) are aligned to the beam.
- 2. The collimator *i* is aligned to the beam.

Beam centre: $\Delta x_i = \frac{x_i^{L,m} + x_i^{R,m}}{2}$

3. The TCP is realigned to determine the beam size at collimator *i*.

Beam size:
$$\sigma_i^m = \frac{x_i^{L,m} - x_i^{R,m}}{(n_1^{k-1} + n_1^{k+1})/2}$$



LHC Collimation

- Both jaws of the TCP in the appropriate 1. plane (Hor/Ver/Skew) are aligned to the beam.
- The collimator *i* is aligned to the beam. 2.

Beam centre: $\Delta x_i = \frac{x_i^{L,m} + x_i^{R,m}}{2}$

The TCP is realigned to determine the 3. beam size at collimator *i*.

Beam size:
$$\sigma_i^m = \frac{x_i^{L,m} - x_i^{R,m}}{(n_1^{k-1} + n_1^{k+1})/2}$$

Collimator *i* is retracted to the new 4. operational settings.



LHC Collimation
Alignment Procedure

- Both jaws of the TCP in the appropriate plane (Hor/Ver/Skew) are aligned to the beam.
- 2. The collimator *i* is aligned to the beam.

Beam centre: $\Delta x_i = \frac{x_i^{L,m} + x_i^{R,m}}{2}$

3. The TCP is realigned to determine the beam size at collimator *i*.

Beam size:
$$\sigma_i^m = \frac{x_i^{L,m} - x_i^{R,m}}{(n_1^{k-1} + n_1^{k+1})/2}$$

4. Collimator *i* is retracted to the new operational settings.



Gianluca Valentino

LHC Collimation

Project



1.

2.

3.

4.

Alignment Procedure

Reference

collimator

BLM_{REF}

showers Both jaws of the TCP in the appropriate 1 plane (Hor/Ver/Skew) are aligned to the Beam beam. The collimator *i* is aligned to the beam. **BLM_{REF}** 2 Beam centre: $\Delta x_i = \frac{x_i^{L,m} + x_i^{R,m}}{2}$ Beam 3 The TCP is realigned to determine the 7 BLM_{REF} beam size at collimator *i*. Beam Beam size: $\sigma_i^m = \frac{x_i^{L,m} - x_i^{R,m}}{(n_i^{k-1} + n_i^{k+1})/2}$ 4 **BLM**_{REF} Collimator *i* is retracted to the new operational settings. Beam $x_i^{L,set} = \Delta x_i + N_i \sigma_i^m \quad x_i^{R,set} = \Delta x_i - N_i \sigma_i^m$

LHC Collimation

Collimator i

Project

CERN

BLM;

BLM_i

BLM:

BLM:









• Manual collimator alignment is time-consuming and has an impact on the LHC physics program.





- Manual collimator alignment is time-consuming and has an impact on the LHC physics program.
- Manual alignment: operator needs to intervene for each jaw movement, decide which collimator jaw to align next, visually examine the loss spike and determine whether the jaw is aligned, ... ~30 hours in the worst-case!





- Manual collimator alignment is time-consuming and has an impact on the LHC physics program.
- Manual alignment: operator needs to intervene for each jaw movement, decide which collimator jaw to align next, visually examine the loss spike and determine whether the jaw is aligned, ... ~30 hours in the worst-case!
- Four alignments are required for different machine modes:- injection at 450 GeV, followed by flat top, squeezed beams and colliding beams at top energy.
- Fast alignments: could provide better operational flexibility
 - ➡ smaller hierarchy margins (narrower beams) + more time for physics = more luminosity.





- Manual collimator alignment is time-consuming and has an impact on the LHC physics program.
- Manual alignment: operator needs to intervene for each jaw movement, decide which collimator jaw to align next, visually examine the loss spike and determine whether the jaw is aligned, ... ~30 hours in the worst-case!
- Four alignments are required for different machine modes:- injection at 450 GeV, followed by flat top, squeezed beams and colliding beams at top energy.
- Fast alignments: could provide better operational flexibility
 - ➡ smaller hierarchy margins (narrower beams) + more time for physics = more luminosity.
- An intelligent automated system would be able to align the collimators in less time and without human errors.



Collimation System Software Architecture







Gianluca Valentino

Project

CERN







BLM Data Acquisition



- Acquired from a data concentrator at **1 Hz, 1.3 s running sum** (2010-2011)...
- ... and via UDP packets at **12.5 Hz, 82 ms running sum** (2012 +).





BLM Data Acquisition



- Acquired from a data concentrator at **1 Hz, 1.3 s running sum** (2010-2011)...
- ... and via UDP packets at **12.5 Hz, 82 ms running sum** (2012 +).





No

Start

Read

 $\Delta x_i^L \Delta x_i^R t_i^s L_i^{Thres}$

Is apply pressed?

Is Δx_i^L && Δx_i^R

Yes

Yes

Display

"Step Size = 0"

BLM Feedback Loop



- A **BLM feedback loop** was implemented as a first step in automating the alignment.
- Input heuristics developed over 2 years of setups (2009 2010) by R. Assmann et al.



L_{i}	Input	Description	Heuristic		
	Δx_i^L	Left jaw step size in µm	5-20		
	Δx_i^R	Right jaw step size in µm	5-20		
	t^s_i	Time interval between each step in seconds	1 – 3		
	$S_i(t)$	BLM signal in Gy/s	5E-7 – 1E-4		
	S_i^{Thres}	Loss stop threshold in Gy/s	1E-6 – 2E-4		
Phys. Rev. ST Accel. Beams, 15 , 051002 (2012).					



Automatic Threshold Selection



- Collimator setup can be automated further if the loss threshold is automatically chosen.
- Samples of the **steady-state BLM signal** in 20 second intervals and the **subsequent threshold** set by operator were collected.



Automatic Threshold Selection



- Collimator setup can be automated further if the loss threshold is automatically chosen.
- Samples of the **steady-state BLM signal** in 20 second intervals and the **subsequent threshold** set by operator were collected.
- The **exponentially weighted moving average** of each sample was determined.
- Larger weights assigned to most recent values.
- The threshold can be calculated in terms of the steady-state BLM signal:

 $S_i^{Thres} = 0.53584e^{0.85916x}$





















- BLM Value





- Iterative algorithm to determine which collimator is at the beam ٠ after BLM signal crosstalk.
- Tested in MD (Machine Development beam study) in July 2011. ٠









• Tested in MD (Machine Development beam study) in July 2011.













• Automatic classification of loss spikes is key to an automated setup procedure.





- Automatic classification of loss spikes is key to an automated setup procedure.
- Support Vector Machines (SVM): supervised-learning classification algorithm.





- Automatic classification of loss spikes is key to an automated setup procedure.
- Support Vector Machines (SVM): supervised-learning classification algorithm.
- A jaw is aligned to the beam when an **optimal spike** is observed.
- If the spike is **non-optimal**, the jaw has to be moved in again.







- Automatic classification of loss spikes is key to an automated setup procedure.
- Support Vector Machines (SVM): supervised-learning classification algorithm.
- A jaw is aligned to the beam when an **optimal spike** is observed.
- If the spike is **non-optimal**, the jaw has to be moved in again.





Feature Selection

3.5<u>× 1</u>0⁻⁵

2.5

Beam Loss (Gy/s) 5.1 5

0.5

01 1



- Six features were selected to distinguish between optimal and non-optimal loss spikes.
- **1. Maximum BLM value** observed after the threshold is exceeded.
- 2. Average of the 3 smallest loss values of the 7 loss values preceding the maximum value.
- **3. Width** of the Gaussian fit applied to the loss spike folded about the maximum value.
- 4. Gaussian fit correlation coefficient.
- 5. Power fit exponent.
- 6. Power fit correlation coefficient.





SVM Training and Results



- **LIBSVM tool** in MATLAB was used for training and testing the SVM model.
- The data were linearly scaled to [-1, +1] to avoid values in larger numeric ranges dominating those in smaller ranges.
- Grid search performed on C (over-fitting vs. under-fitting penalty factor) and γ (width of RBF) using 5-fold cross-validation to determine the optimal values for these parameters.
- 444 samples were used (222 for training and 222 for testing).



SVM Training and Results



- **LIBSVM tool** in MATLAB was used for training and testing the SVM model.
- The data were linearly scaled to [-1, +1] to avoid values in larger numeric ranges dominating those in smaller ranges.
- Grid search performed on C (over-fitting vs. under-fitting penalty factor) and γ (width of RBF) using 5-fold cross-validation to determine the optimal values for these parameters.
- 444 samples were used (222 for training and 222 for testing).

Parameter	Value
Number of Features	6
Number of Classes	2
С	32768
γ	0.125
Kernel	RBF
Training dataset prediction	97.2973 %
Test dataset prediction rate	82.4324 %
Overall prediction rate	89.8649 %



SVM Training and Results



- **LIBSVM tool** in MATLAB was used for training and testing the SVM model.
- The data were linearly scaled to [-1, +1] to avoid values in larger numeric ranges dominating those in smaller ranges.
- Grid search performed on C (over-fitting vs. under-fitting penalty factor) and γ (width of RBF) using 5-fold cross-validation to determine the optimal values for these parameters.
- 444 samples were used (222 for training and 222 for testing).

Parameter	Value
Number of Features	6
Number of Classes	2
С	32768
γ	0.125
Kernel	RBF
Training dataset prediction	97.2973 %
Test dataset prediction rate	82.4324 %
Overall prediction rate	89.8649 %

Some unsuccessful classifications due to TCT alignments!



BPM-guided Coarse Alignment





- An approximation to the beam centers at the collimators can be obtained from an interpolation of the orbit measured by the BPMs.
- This was exploited to speed up the alignment, assuming a **reproducible delta** between measurements and interpolation.
- All collimator jaws can be **moved directly to the tighter settings** at a rate of 2 mm/s instead of 0.01 mm/s.



BPM-guided Coarse Alignment





- An approximation to the beam centers at the collimators can be obtained from an interpolation of the orbit measured by the BPMs.
- This was exploited to speed up the alignment, assuming a **reproducible delta** between measurements and interpolation.
- All collimator jaws can be **moved directly to the tighter settings** at a rate of 2 mm/s instead of 0.01 mm/s.

- $x_i^{int.}$: interpolated beam center at collimator *i*.
- N_{TCP} : half-gap of IR7 TCP in units of sigma.
- N_{margin} : further margin over and above the IR7 TCP cut.
- σ_i^n : the nominal 1-sigma beam size.
- $\sigma_i^{m,int.}$: the standard error between the interpolated and the measured center.



BPM-guided Coarse Alignment





 $\sigma_i^{m,int.}$: the standard error between the interpolated and the measured center.

BLM-based alignment software





Alignment Results

25

T_{setup} [hours]



$$T_{setup} = T_{beam} + d imes T_{turnaround}$$
 $T_{average} = rac{T_{beam}}{C}$

- No costly beam dumps due to high losses from • 2011 onwards.
- Use of smaller jaw step size (better accuracy) ٠ made easier by automatic alignment.



Setups





Alignment Results

• Total setup time depends on the beam time consumed, the number of beam dumps *d* and the No Automation turnaround time:

$$T_{setup} = T_{beam} + d \times T_{turnaround}$$
 $T_{average} = \frac{T_{beam}}{C}$

- No costly beam dumps due to high losses from 2011 onwards.
- Use of smaller jaw step size (better accuracy) made easier by automatic alignment.



Setups





BPM
Alignment Results

• Total setup time depends on the beam time consumed, the number of beam dumps *d* and the **No Automation** turnaround time:

$$T_{setup} = T_{beam} + d imes T_{turnaround}$$
 $T_{average} = rac{T_{beam}}{C}$

- No costly beam dumps due to high losses from 2011 onwards.
- Use of smaller jaw step size (better accuracy) made easier by automatic alignment.





BPM









Operational Results







Operational Results







Summary



- LHC collimation system cleaning efficiency is highly dependent on correct collimator positions.
- The jaw positions are determined from beam-based alignment, which can last > 20 hours when done manually.
- The BLM signals are used in a **feedback loop to automatically stop the jaw** once the losses exceed a pre-defined threshold, an indication that the jaw has possibly touched the beam halo.
- The **threshold is automatically set depending on the steady-state BLM signal** based on an empirical data analysis.
- **SVM-based loss spike classification** allows the setup software to move in the jaw further to obtain a sharper spike and ensure that the automatic alignment is reliable.
- The **BPM-interpolated orbit** allows for a coarse alignment of the jaws around the beam center with a safety margin to gain time.
- Automatic alignment algorithms have so far reduced the total setup time from 28 hours to 4 hours (factor 7 improvement) and minimized the possibility of human error.
- The **robustness of the loss spike classification algorithm** needs to be improved to counter noise in the BLM signal and provide a fully automatic collimator alignment software tool.





Thank you for your attention!

Any questions?

<u>gianluca.valentino@cern.ch</u>



RESERVE SLIDES













• Nominal to Measured Beam Size Ratio (B1) at 3.5/4 TeV:





• Nominal to Measured Beam Size Ratio (B1) at 3.5/4 TeV:







• Nominal to Measured Beam Size Ratio (B1) at 3.5/4 TeV:







Nominal to Measured Beam Size Ratio (B1) at 3.5/4 TeV:



Alignment in 2011

10000

time (seconds)

15000

5000

6ù 0

6¹0

in 2010

5000

10000

time (seconds)

15000





TCT collimator alignment results







Gianluca Valentino

LHC Collimation







local cleaning inefficiency

Collimation System Qualification



betatron losses B1 4000GeV hor norm F (2012.04.02, 23:20:09)













betatron losses B1 4000GeV hor norm IR7 (2012.04.02, 23:20:09)







betatron losses B1 4000GeV hor norm IR7 (2012.04.02, 23:20:09)







betatron losses B1 4000GeV hor norm IR7 (2012.04.02, 23:20:09)







betatron losses B1 4000GeV hor norm IR7 (2012.04.02, 23:20:09)







betatron losses B1 4000GeV hor norm IR7 (2012.04.02, 23:20:09)







betatron losses B1 4000GeV hor norm IR7 (2012.04.02, 23:20:09)

