



THE WONDERLAND OF OPERATING THE ALICE EXPERIMENT

The Challenges of Operating a Large Physics Experiment



OUTLINE



- Introduction and context
- The evolution of the experiment
- The challenges and how to master them
 - How these challenges are different between experiments and accelerators
 - Justify the 'a' and 'e' in ICALEPCS...



André Augustinus CERN European Organization for Nuclear Research



INTRODUCTION





1300 collaborators

• 116 institutes, 33 countries

- Heavy lon experiment
- 20 sub-detectors
- 16 x 16 x 26 m
- 10 000 tons
 - Contracting the second sec second sec

ICALEPCS 2011

André Augustinus CERN European Organization for Nuclear Research



Pb – Pb Event





André Augustinus CERN European Organization for Nuclear Research

Detector Control System



- Control & Monitoring 20 sub-detectors, 2 magnets, various services
 - 1 000 000 *channels*
- Designed as strict hierarchical system
 - Strict separation between sub-detectors
- Behaviour modelled with hierarchy of Finite State Machines
 - Commands going down, states coming up
 - Partitioning feature

Detector Control System

- Implemented with commercial SCADA (PVSSII)
 - With CERN and ALICE specific extensions
- Applications developed by detector teams
- Over 150 controls PCs, 1200 networked devices



Experiment Evolution: From Installation to Routine Operation



- 2006: installation, debugging
- 2008: first collisions
- 2009: cosmics data, restart
- 2010: first full year of operation, first HI
- 2011: 'routine' operation

The Evolution Challenge



- The Detector Control System has to
 - follow the evolution of the experiment equipment
 - follow the evolution of the use of DCS
 - follow the evolution of the users of DCS



The Evolution Challenge Experiment Equipment



- The experiment is a very dynamic object
 - Squeeze in more detectors at each opportunity
 - Devices to control (or the way to access them) is changing
- Likely to be more dynamic than the accelerator environment
 - If only because of 20 different, independent detector groups



The Evolution Challenge Use and Users of DCS



- Evolution of the use of DCS
 - Started off with debugging
 - Moved from local operation to central operation
- Evolution of the users of DCS
 - Started off with developers and experts
 - Evolution to detector experts to non-expert users



From local to central operation



- Reducing number of operators
 - From 25 local, detector, operators to 5 central operators
- DCS was designed with central operation in mind
- More a psychological than a technical issue
 - Convince detectors to transfer control of their baby to a central operator





- The central operator needs dedicated tools
 - All sub-detectors need proper integration in central top level control system
 - Importance of homogeneous development upstream
 - Major coordination challenge
 - Tools to operate groups of detectors
 - Automation wherever possible
 - recurrent actions, actions that need to performed to guarantee safety of detector equipment e.g. on change of LHC beam mode



| $\left(\right)$ | |
|------------------|-------------|
| | RNN |
| | (Λ) |
| | -X |
| | \sim |

| | | Group A | Group B | Group C | Group D | Group E | Group F | Others | |
|---|-----------------|------------|------------|-----------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|--|
| | | IS READY 🔻 | IS READY 🔻 | UNKNOWN - | NOT READY - | NOT READY - | NOT READY - | NOT READY - | |
| Aco | READY | Aco | Aco | Aco | Aco | Aco | Aco | C Aco | |
| Emc | READY | Emc | Emc | Emc | Emc | Emc | Emc | Emc | |
| Fmd | READY | Fmd | Fmd | Fmd | Fmd | Fmd | Fmd | Fmd | |
| Hmp | READY | Hmp | Hmp | Hmp | Hmp | Hmp | Hmp | Hmp | |
| Mch | MOVING_READY | Mch | Mch | Mch | Mch | Mch | Mch | Mch | |
| Mtr | READY | Mtr | Mtr | Mtr | Mtr | Mtr | Mtr | Mtr | |
| Phs | STANDBY | Phs | Phs | Phs | Phs | Phs | Phs | ☐ Phs | |
| Pmd | STBY_CONFIGURED | Pmd | Pmd | Pmd | Pmd | Pmd | Pmd | Pmd | |
| Sdd | READY | Sdd | Sdd | Sdd | Sdd | Sdd | Sdd | □ Sdd | |
| Spd | READY | Spd | Spd | Spd | Spd | Spd | Spd | ☐ Spd | |
| Ssd | READY | Ssd | Ssd | Ssd | Ssd | Ssd | Ssd | ☐ Ssd | |
| T00 | READY | T00 | T00 | T00 | T00 | T00 | T00 | T T00 | |
| Tof | READY | Tof | Tof | Tof | Tof | Tof | Tof | M Tof | |
| Трс | READY | Tpc | Трс | Tpc | Tpc | Трс | Трс | Tpc | |
| Trd | READY | Trd | Trd | Trd | Trd | Trd | Trd | Trd | |
| V00 | READY | V00 | V00 | V00 | V00 | V00 | V00 | ☐ V00 | |
| Zdc | READY | Zdc | Zdc | Zdc | Zdc | Zdc | Zdc | Zdc | |
| V0 rate = 163.22 kHz 400 300 none none 200 none none | | | | | | | | none | |
| enable radioboxes for changing groups/partitions panel by: Ombretta Pinazza | | | | | | | | | |

-DCS aroups

André Augustinus CERN European Organization for Nuclear Research







ICALEPCS 2011

André Augustinus CERN European Organization for Nuclear Research





- All these tools need to be flexible and configurable
 - Cope with changes in operation of the experiment
- Central operator need to react on anomalies in ALL sub-detectors
 - Tools to access procedures (and ensure they are valid)
 - Make sure only relevant messages reach the operator





- High turnaround of operators
 - Very specific to HEP culture
 - Many operators that only do few shifts
 - Not necessarily controls nor detector expert
 - As opposed to accelerator world
 - limited number of operators, that usually are controls or machine experts, that do many shifts
 - ALICE 2011: 926 shifts, more than 80 operators, on average only 11 days of operator shift work





- Requires huge effort for training
 - and administration
- Requires clear, extensive documentation understandable for non-expert, and easily accessible



The Coordination Challenge



- Initial stage, development
 - To overcome cultural differences: Start coordinating early, strict guidelines
- During operation, maintenance and operation
 - Again, due to HEP culture, original developers tend to drift away
 - (apart for a few exceptions) very difficult to ensure continuity for the control systems in the projects
 - In many small detector projects, controls is done only part-time by a single person



Conclusions



- Experiment environment evolves rapidly
 - DCS design: think scalability, flexibility
- Central operation
 - Cope with large number of operators
 - Adequate and flexible operation tools, automation
 - Easily accessible, explicit procedures
- Experiment world is dynamic, volatile
 - Requires a major coordination effort