Suitability assessment of OPC UA as the backbone of ground-based observatory control systems







Context

# **Mercator Telescope**

- 1.2 m optical telescope with
  - Fiber-fed echelle spectrograph (R~85000)
  - 2K\*6K FT CCD camera
  - 3-channel 2K\*6K FT CCD camera ('12)
- La Palma (Canary Islands, Spain)
- Transputer based legacy TCS is gradually being replaced by a
   Python-based framework providing:
  - common services
  - communication drivers





Context

# **Mercator Telescope**

- I.2 m optical telescope with
  Fiber-fed echelle spectrograph (R~85000)
  - 2K\*6K FT C
  - 3-channel 2K
- La Palma (Ca
- Transputer bagradually beir
   Python-base
   providing:
  - common serv
  - communicati





Motivation OPC UA Assessment

Conclusions

#### However ...

• Even in our own (little) *Mercator* project:

Motivation OPC UA Assessment

Conclusions

#### However ...

• Even in our own (little) Mercator project:

/hile stage.status() == STS\_MOVING:
 try:
 if stage.sensors.home.isActive():
 pos = stage.drive.position()

We need an MSc in software engineering and Linux system administration to control (or troubleshoot) our instrument/dome/...

# **Motivation** OPC UA Assessment Conclusions

#### However ...

• Even in our own (little) Mercator project:



#### Motivation OPC UA Assessment Conclusions

#### However ...

pos = stade

• Even in our own (little) Mercator project:

hile stage.status() == STS\_MOVING:
 try:
 if stage.sensors.home.i
 ctool(

The need and Stand Stand



We spend too much time on developing SCADA software...



#### However ...

• Even in our own (little) *Mercator* project:

and Linux, scem administration to control troubleshoot) our instrument/dome/...



Ve spend to much time on developing

# Motivation OPC UA Assessment Conclusions 9

#### However ...

• Even in our own (little) Mercator project:

and Linux, scem administration to control troubleshoot) our instrument/dome/...

Ve spencioomuch time on developing



We get complaints of other developer teams that want to use the framework services, but feel too constrained by its implementation details



#### However ...

• Even in our own (little) Mercator project:

and Linux, scem administration to control troubleshoot) our instrument/dome/...

<image>

Ve spencioomuch time on developing



We get complaints of other developer ceans that was to A the framework services, b 1 to constrained by its implementation details

Motivation

OPC UA Assessment

Conclusions

# **OPC Unified Architecture**

- "Classic" OPC functionality New concepts and functionality New technology
- Service Oriented Architecture
- Specification documents + code deliverables



#### Assessment

- Scope:
  - Requirements analysis
  - Feasibility analysis
- Approach:
  - Analyze OPC UA specification
  - Analyze COTS implementations: completeness, maturity
    - → Test set-up:



#### Requirements

- Platform independence
- Scalability
- Reusability
- Communication paradigms
- Complex data
- Alarms
- Logging
- Location transparency
- Historical archive
- Dependability
- Lifecycle management
- Performance

#### Requirements

- Platform independence
   Scalability
- Reusability
- Communication paradigms
- Complex data
- Alarms
- Logging
- Location transparency
- Historical archive
- Dependability
- Lifecycle management
- Performance



#### Requirements

- Platform independence
- Scalability
- 📫 Reusability
  - Communication paradigms
- Complex data

- Vertical reusability of industrial products becomes easier
  - SCADA
  - BAS
  - Safety controllers
- Horizontal reusability via standardized type definitions
   → companion standards



#### Requirements

- Platform independence
- Scalability
- Reusability
- Communication paradigms
- Complex data
- Alarms
- Logging
- Location transparency
- Historical archive
- Dependability
- Lifecycle management
- Performance

• Reliability and availability

- Heartbeat in both directions
- Lost connection 💥 lost data
- Redundancy for clients and servers
- ...
- Security
  - Signing
  - Encryption
  - Certificates
- Maintainability
  - Extensible (encodings, transport)
  - No standardized API
    - ➔ dependent on particular SDK

#### More than middleware

CORBA / DDS / ...



**OPC UA** 

# **Commercial support**

- SDKs: all required functionality is supported, but binary only (↔ official C# stack)
- The rest: still very limited
  - Data access is well supported (read, write, monitored items)
  - Alarms and Conditions are poorly supported (Beckhoff PLCs, UaExpert)
  - Historical Data Access is poorly supported (Beckhoff PLCs, UaExpert)
  - Methods are very poorly supported (UaExpert)

#### BUT:

- it's already more functionality out-of-the-box than what can be achieved with simple communication drivers
- support will grow in the future
  - ightarrow new products in the pipeline
  - ightarrow new technologies in the pipeline
    - e.g. 3<sup>rd</sup> edition of IEC61131-3 → OOP (CLASS, METHOD, INTERFACE, ...)

Motivation OPC UA Assessment

Context

Conclusions

# Conclusions and outlook

- OPC UA specification: interesting technology (to say the least)
  - Very detailed, tailored for **dependable heterogeneous control systems**
- OPC UA stacks and SDKs:
  - Useable right now!
  - It will take some effort to create a framework layer on top of an SDK to allow rapid and comfortable application development
    - Server: skeleton code generation (C++, SWIG), address space management, ...
    - Client: stub code generation (C++, SWIG), session/subscription/monitored item handling, ...
    - Infrastructure: managers (~container/component), configuration DB, historical DB, A&E GUI, ...
    - $\rightarrow$  We already developed a lot of "experimental" code
    - → Hope to have basic but functional framework by mid-2012

#### • OPC UA COTS servers and clients (PLC/SCADA/LabVIEW/...):

- Only most basic functionality supported now (read, write, monitored items, historical data access, alarms and events, methods)
   → Additional efforts needed (~ aggregating)
- Backed by a huge organization  $\rightarrow$  support will grow, big potential

# Thanks for your attention!

(and please let's talk to share ideas, experiences, code, ...) wim.pessemier@ster.kuleuven.be