ALGORITHM TO IMPROVE THE BETA-FUNCTION MEASUREMENT AND ITS EVALUATION IN STORAGE RINGS LATTICES



A.C. GARCÍA B. –acgarciab@unal.edu.co,ac.garcia412@uniandes.edu.co – POSTGRADUATE STUDENT AT UNIVERSIDAD NACIONAL DE COLOMBIA, SEDE BOGOTÁ

INTRODUCTION

The measurement of the Beta Function in accelerators is an important task during the commissioning, because all the properties of the focusing structure are described and calculated using the Twiss functions or Courant-Snyder parameters. In Storage Rings,

RESULTS

The proposed new algorimth is tested using the standard software MAD-X by a comparison with the traditional way to obtain the beta function measurement. Just a sector of each ring is used. For CLIC lattice with 35 BPMS. For the LHC, 39 BPMs.







- 1. by taking the tune change obtained when varying the intensity of quadrupoles
- 2. by using the matrix response to fit the corresponding parameters
- 3. by shaking the beam to obtain a betatron motion In accelerators like the LHC, the Beta-Function measurement is done from the Phase Advance Measurement using the Transfer Matrix.

CONTRIBUTION

During the measurement of any optical quantity in an accelerator, it is expected to have a correspondence between the model and what is measured at the machine. The proposed new algorithm is to correlate both planes. It implies the following:

Phase Advances, without Phase Advances, without noise and with noise of 2, and with noise for 2, 10 10 and 20° Y Phase. and 20°. Y plane. CLIC. LHC.

Beta Functions for a sector of the CLIC lattice, wou and w noise for 2, 10 and 20°

For each beta measurement a relative error to the ideal beta is obtained. And for the entire segment a global relative error, denoted by *Err*., is asociated to be the average of the errors at the different locations.

Table 1. Beta Fuction *Err*. using **CLIC** lattice, and LHC lattice.

Case.	$Err. < \beta_x >$	$Err. < \beta_y >$	Num.	$Err. < \beta_x >$	$Err. < \beta_y >$
	[%]	[%]	Orb.	[%]	[%]
20-t	14.0064 ± 0.47	21.5973 ± 1.1	10	23.9845 ± 1.6	21.0685 ± 0.53
20-a	11.1688 ± 0.46	11.3702 ± 0.54	10	16.0208 ± 0.94	15.6167 ± 0.70
20-t	14.0898 ± 0.20	21.0753 ± 0.37	100	22.8245 ± 0.53	22.7674 ± 0.37
20-a	10.4034 ± 0.14	10.4941 ± 0.14	100	16.7904 ± 0.45	16.3095 ± 0.37
20-t	14.4705 ± 0.063	20.8487 ± 0.11	1000	22.3585 ± 0.16	22.7038 ± 0.12
20-a	10.5313 ± 0.046	10.6460 ± 0.046	1000	16.9809 ± 0.15	16.5626 ± 0.11
10 - t	6.6708 ± 0.2369	10.1476 ± 0.35	10	10.4422 ± 0.43	11.2105 ± 0.65
10-a	8.6858 ± 0.34	8.9647 ± 0.35	10	7.1806 ± 0.54	7.1872 ± 0.57
10 - t	6.9188 ± 0.093	9.9884 ± 0.14	100	10.3884 ± 0.16	11.0943 ± 0.17
10-a	8.8234 ± 0.10	8.9866 ± 0.10	100	7.2590 ± 0.15	7.2989 ± 0.15
10 - t	6.8664 ± 0.029	10.0190 ± 0.050	1000	10.4041 ± 0.055	10.9358 ± 0.054
10-a	8.7920 ± 0.031	8.9517 ± 0.031	1000	7.5054 ± 0.053	7.4269 ± 0.051
2-t	1.4010 ± 0.062	1.9980 ± 0.11	10	1.9998 ± 0.075	2.1757 ± 0.12
2-a	2.4296 ± 0.097	2.4272 ± 0.098	10	2.2319 ± 0.051	2.2607 ± 0.058
2-t	1.3594 ± 0.018	2.0168 ± 0.031	100	2.0484 ± 0.033	2.1714 ± 0.033
2-a	2.4009 ± 0.040	2.4002 ± 0.040	100	2.2017 ± 0.027	2.2133 ± 0.027
2-t	1.3584 ± 0.0056	1.9917 ± 0.010	1000	2.0329 ± 0.010	2.1555 ± 0.010
2-a	2.3827 ± 0.012	2.3823 ± 0.012	1000	2.2014 ± 0.0092	2.2127 ± 0.0090

$\cot \Delta \Phi_{1,2}^{x,ide} - \cot \Delta \Phi_{1,3}^{x,ide}$	$\cot \Delta \Phi_{1,2}^{x,mea} - \cot \Delta \Phi_{1,3}^{x,mea}$
$\overline{\cot\Delta\Phi_{1,2}^{y,ide} - \cot\Delta\Phi_{1,3}^{y,ide}} -$	$\int \cot \Delta \Phi_{1,2}^{y,mea} - \cot \Delta \Phi_{1,3}^{y,mea}$

There exists an optical function that would be called ρ from where the β function can be measured.

 $= \rho_z [\cot \Delta \Phi_{1,2}^{y,ide} - \cot \Delta \Phi_{1,3}^{y,ide}]$ $\beta^{x,measure}$ $\beta^{y,measure} = \rho_z [\cot \Delta \Phi_{1,2}^{x,ide} - \cot \Delta \Phi_{1,3}^{x,ide}]$ Additionally an optimization can be added

$$\rho_{z} = \beta^{z,ide} \frac{\tau(x,2) \pm \cot \Delta \Phi_{1,2}^{x,mea}}{\tau(y,2) \pm \cot \Delta \Phi_{1,2}^{y,mea}}$$
$$\rho_{z} = \beta^{z,ide} \frac{\tau(x,2) \pm \cot \Delta \Phi_{1,3}^{x,mea}}{\tau(y,2) \pm \cot \Delta \Phi_{1,3}^{y,mea}}$$

or

where $\tau(z,m) = \cot \Delta \Phi_{m-1,m}^{z,mea} - \cot \Delta \Phi_{m-1,m+1}^{z,mea}$ and its depends on how close the measure fraction is close to the ideal fraction.



With 10 noi. CLIC $Err \uparrow by 20\%$ compare for X-plane, and \downarrow for Y-plane by 10%. With 10 noi. LHC *Err*, \downarrow 30%. With 20 noi. \downarrow % of 26 and 49 for CLIC, and 29 to 27 for LHC.

A FUTURE DIRECTION

REFERENCES

[1] P. Castro, Doctoral Thesis, CERN SL/96-70(BI) 1996. [2] Helmut Wiedemann. Particle Accelerator Physics, 2007. [3] S.Y. Lee. Accelerator Physics, 2012. [4] F. Zimmermann. SLAC-PUB-7844, 1998. [5] Michiko G. et.al. Beam Techniques, Beam Control and Manipulation. 1999. [6] M. Aiba et.a.l Proceedings of EPAC08, WEPP025. [8] CERN-BE/ABP.http://mad.web.cern.ch/mad/ [9] Frank Schmidt. CERN-BE/ABP Accelerator Beam Physisc Group. MAD-X example [10] The Python Software Foundation, https://docs.python.org/2/library/random.html Post. based on http://www.cs.unibas.ch/personen/amberg_brian

TRANSFER MATRIX REMARK

 $\begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{\frac{\beta_f}{\beta_i}} (\cos \phi_{fi} + \alpha_i \sin \phi_{fi}) & \sqrt{\beta_f \beta_i} \sin \phi_{fi} \\ -\frac{1 + \alpha_i \alpha_f}{\sqrt{\beta_f \beta_i}} \sin \phi_{fi} + \frac{\alpha_i - \alpha_f}{\sqrt{\beta_f \beta_i}} \cos \phi_{fi} & \sqrt{\frac{\beta_f}{\beta_f}} (\cos \phi_{fi} - \alpha_f \sin \phi_{fi}) \end{pmatrix}$

When applying to three adjacent BPMs, the value of the beta function at the first lattice element can be obtained as a function of the matrix elements, on the first row of the matrices that transfer from the element 1 to 2, and from 1 to 3, usually called m_{11} , m_{12} , n_{11} , and n_{12} , respectively.

The algorithm introduced in this paper allows reducing the noise presented when performing the Beta Function measurement. In applications to the LHC Mad-X lattice, it is found cases where the improvement is close to 30% compare to the traditional one, when the noise is 10° or 20°; using the CLIC Mad-X lattice a reduction close to 25% and 50% are observed with a noise of 20°. Althought further studies are needed to establish the ideal conditions for its application in real machines, this new algorithm could serve as a complement and/or improvement to the traditional technique.