A new Differential And Errant Beam Current Monitor for the SNS* Accelerator

W. Blokland and C. Peters

Spallation Neutron Source Oak Ridge National Laboratory



* ORNL/SNS is managed by UT-Battelle, LLC, for the U.S. Department of Energy under contract DE-AC05-00OR22725







Spallation Neutron Source

- Neutron scattering facility to research properties of materials
- 1 GeV Protons create neutrons through spallation



H⁺

MANAGED BY UT-BATTELLE FOR THE U.S. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Introduction

Errant Beam at SNS; any beam outside the normal operation envelope:

• Too high peak density beam on target



- Current drops or missing mini pulses
- Abrupt beam losses

Many ways to abort errant beam but the main mechanism for aborting on beam loss is the Beam Loss Monitor System but:

- Medium Energy Beam Transport (MEBT): Beam Loss Monitors are not effective because the beam energy is too low. Existing MEBT Differential Current Monitor protects chopper target & scraper
- Super Conducting Linac (SCL): Beam Loss Monitors are designed to abort in about 20 µs BUT now we suspect damage to superconducting cavities to occur with even shorter pulses.



Why is even short errant beam (<15 µs) important?

- Errant beam in SCL leads to accumulating damage [1]
 - Suspected mechanism: beam hitting cavity surface releases gas or particulates followed by ionization or redistribution, creating an environment for arcing. The arcing can damage the cavity surface.
- This degrades SCL cavity performance over time
 - Increased tripping probability with time -> Cavity fields must be lowered
- Recovery all but one cavity but requires warm up and conditioning and/or repair which requires effort and time



Errant Beam Investigation

Acquire data from current monitors, loss monitors, selected RF waveforms and accelerator setup when beam is aborted [2,3].

- Operator controlled scripts to save loss monitor and RF data to file
- Modified several standard beam current monitors to acquire and analyze every beam pulse @60Hz (no abort capability)





Errant Beam Analysis



< 10% of BLM trips were due to the Ion Source/LEBT

 BLM trips occur in the first week of a new source installation
 > 90% of BLM trips were due to Warm Linac RF faults
 RF faults occur at different times during the pulse



The majority of trips originate in the Warm Linac

RF Adjustments: gradient changes, resonant frequency changes, and preventative maintenance on vacuum systems

- → fault frequency reduced by more than a factor of two.
- → SCL downtime was reduced by a factor of six



Errant beam loss from 30 to 15 events a day

BUT still need to reduce impact of remaining errant beam (losses or no losses) \rightarrow differential current and errant beam monitor to abort as fast as possible or about ~5 µs



Implementation of DBCM

• Existing MEBT DBCM:

- Obsolete hardware & No VHDL programmers available
- New platform:
 - PXIe Real-Time Controller with FlexRIO FPGA and 14-bit 2 channel 100MS/s digitizer
 - Programmable in LabVIEW
 - Both the FPGA code and Real-Time code
 - Multiple programmers in our group





Implementation of DBCM

- Existing MEBT DBCM:
 - Obsolete hardware & No VHDL programmers available
- New platform:
 - PXIe Real-Time Controller with FlexRIO FPGA and 14-bit 2 channel 100MS/s digitizer
 - Programmable in LabVIEW
 - Both the FPGA code and Real-Time code
 - Multiple programmers in our group





Layout of DBCM

SNS Linac

- Wideband current transformers:
 - 1 GHz with 1 ms droop time constant
 - Nearest one before and after SCL
 - Long cable lengths (500-1200ft)

HEBT BCM01 Waveform









FPGA Processing

Account for difference in cable lengths, calibration, drifts, and droop.





FPGA processing

Features:

- Send three waveforms
 - Any of the preprocessing stages
 - Differential signals
 - Sliding windows outputs
- Alarm status on each sample
 - Which threshold exceeded
- SNS Timing Library
 - Receive timing link and data link data [4]. We don't need a separate (and custom) timing decoder!



Preprocessing code



Timing Decoding code



Real-Time Processing

- All time-critical µs signal processing including abort is done in FPGA
- Real-time code @60Hz:
 - Storing of errant beam waveforms
 - Calculate statistics
 - Interface with control system using native LabVIEW EPICS [5]
 - automatic creation of PVs and console screens



CSS screen for DBCM



- Example of the errant beam we want to abort
- Analyzed to be due to a Warm Linac RF drop

Waveforms:

- 1. Upstream
- 2. Downstream
- 3. Difference after short sliding window

Alert was given in <1.5 μ s (could be faster with lower thresholds and still avoid false alerts)



Beam Current Waveforms and Difference





Beam Current Waveforms and Difference



- Example of slow drop in current from pulseto-pulse
- Analyzed to be due to RF Fill problem

Waveforms for errant AND previous beam pulse:

- 1. Upstream
- 2. Downstream
- 3. Difference



Beam Current Waveforms and Difference



- Abrupt drop in few mini pulses
- Analyzed to be due to LEBT Chopper

Any loss in beam current can lead to RF feedforward loop issues on the next pulse causing beam loss -> further investigate





Discussion

- With the used threshold settings and signal-to-noise, the DBCM can abort in about 1 μs BUT the existing of signal cable delays (2.5 μs), abort cable delay (1 μs), and beam propagation time (1.5 μs) gives a total delay of about 6 μs. Shorter cables should give us 5 μs
- High performance platform with Timing decoding and EPICS interface
- Near term future:
 - Automatically categorize errant beam types
 - Separate between "interesting" and "abort" and save the "errant after" beam pulse
 - Hook up to front-end abort
- Long term future:
 - Shorter cables (through tunnel) and lower bandwidth transformers with better signal-tonoise and closer locations to further reduce abort time.

- [3] C. S. Peters, "Errant Beam Update," Accelerator Advisory Committee, May 7, 2013, Oak Ridge, TN.
- [4] R. Dickson, "LabVIEW FPGA SNS Timing User Guide," SNS, May 7 2013, Oak Ridge, TN.
- [5] S. Zhukov, "Pure LabVIEW Implementation of EPICS Communication Protocol," Big Physics and Science Summit at NIWeek, August 7-8, 2012. Austin, TX.



REFERENCES

S. Kim et al., "The Status of the Superconducting Linac and SRF Activities at the SNS," 16th International Conference on RF Superconductivity, Sep 23-27, 2013, Paris.
 W. Blokland, "Errant Beam: Tools and Data," SNS Errant Beam Committee, May 2012, Oak Ridge, TN.