

Low vs High Q Cavity BPMs

Steve Smith

Oxford

20 September 2013



SLAC

NATIONAL
ACCELERATOR
LABORATORY

What do we need from a BPM?

- Application dependent, cannot make completely general statements
- Resolution
- Stability
- Calibrateability

Resolution

- Relatively easy to estimate from specs, theory
- Usually easy to achieve near theoretical resolution
- At least for nominal beam, near center.
- But we need specified resolution over some dynamic range in
 - Position
 - Beam charge
 - Adjacent bunches

Stability

- Stability vs
 - Beam Charge
 - beam phase
 - Temperature
 - Charge, position of previous bunch
- Requires linearity
 - So that signals add cleanly
 - So that we can calibrate (independent of phase, amplitude)

Mode Leakage

- Mode selective pickups reduce leakage of monopole mode into dipole mode.
- But isolation is not perfect.
- Ratio of mono/dipole signal
 - At their respective mode frequencies, at $1\sigma_x = 100\text{nm}$, Xband
 - $\sim 10^5 = 100\text{ dB}$
 - Tail of mono at dipole frequency
 - $\sim 10^5 (F_{110} - F_{010}) / F_{010} / Q \sim 10^5 / 50 = 2 \cdot 10^3$ for $Q=200$
 - So a 40 dB rejection of monopole mode gets a 20 sigma contamination
 - $\sim 10^5 (F_{110} - F_{010}) / F_{010} / Q \sim 10^5 / 500 = 200$ for $Q=2000$
 - \rightarrow 40 dB monopole mode rejection yields 2 sigma contamination
 - Quadrupole mode leakage similar
 - BUT no rejection from coupler(!)
- Low $Q \rightarrow$ greater mode contamination

Signal Aliasing

- Sampling Theorem:
 - If we periodically sample band-limited signal we can fully reconstruct input waveform
- Band-limiting to a single Nyquist band:
 - difficult if we try to use most of Nyquist band (low Q)
 - Easy if signal naturally confined to small part of Nyquist (high Q)
- Achieving 12 effective bits in ADC requires aliased tail energy ~76 dB down from signal.
- Requires very high-order filter if we're using half of Nyquist for signal
 - Lossy
 - Large,
 - Expensive
 - Drifts with temperature
 - Maybe not so bad with multiple downconversion with high 1st IF
 - Careful filtering at 2nd IF before ADC

What Limits Dynamic Range?

- Usually weakest link is the digitizer
- e.g. a good 16 bit ADC @ 125 Msamples/sec has ~12 effective bits
- $\sigma_v/V_{pp}=2^{-12}/\sqrt{12}$ or $\sigma_v/V_p=1/6000$
- If we want 100nm resolution, it sounds like we only need a single sample to cover a position dynamic range of 600 microns.
- But if you are an engineer signing off on a spec that says your system covers “X” micron dynamic range at charge “Q”
- Then you better leave engineering margin
 - on the signal level at charge Q (maybe 3 dB)
 - And on the theoretical system noise (maybe 6 dB)
- Now we’re down to 200 micron dynamic range

Processing gain

- Very inefficient to use the dynamic range limiting component only once when it can take data at 125 Msamples/sec
- Get processing gain $\sim\sqrt{N}$ for N samples of a signal
- Effective bits increased by $\log_2(N)/2$
- → increase Q to get more samples into ADC

Linearity