ERL2015 Workshop, BNL-STONY BROOK UNIVERSITY, 7-12 June 2015

Beam and spin dynamics studies in eRHIC ERL

François Méot, BNL C-AD

Contents

1	Basic principles 1.1 Particle dynamics 1.2 Spin	3 3 6
2	eRHIC FFAG optics	9
3	Dynamical effects of SR in eRHIC FFAG-II ERL3.1Working conditions3.2Orders of magnitude of the effects3.2.1Longitudinal phase space3.2.2Transverse phase space3.3End-to-end bunch tracking, cumulated effects (initial $\epsilon_x \approx \epsilon_y = 0$ and dp/p=0)3.4End-to-end 9-D tracking, nominal initial transverse emittances $50\pi\mu m$	12 12 13 13 15 16 18
4	Dispersion suppressors	20
5	Dynamical acceptance of eRHIC FFAG lattice	22
6	Injecting alignment defects6.1Horizontal misalignment6.2Vertical misalignment6.3Investigating alignment error correction of a 11-beam set	27 27 29 30



1 Basic principles

1.1 Particle dynamics

• Electrons circulating in eRHIC FFAG arcs loose energy by synchrotron radiation (SR)

Over a trajectory arc $\Delta \theta$, with constant curvature $1/\rho$, relative average energy loss :



Energy loss at top energy, starting with E=21.16 GeV :



* Ex. : at E=21.16 GeV, loss over a 6-arc ring, 2138 m distance, is $\Delta E \approx 100$ MeV.

• SR is a stochastic process, photons fluctuate in number and energy, thus inducing

- energy spread,

$$\frac{\sigma_E}{E} = 3.794 \times 10^{-14} \frac{\gamma^{5/2}}{\rho} \sqrt{\Delta\theta}$$

* Ex. : $\sigma_E = 5.2$ MeV after 11 passes in eRHIC from 7.94 to 21.16 GeV.

- and bunch lengthening [*],

$\sigma_l = \left(\frac{\sigma_E}{E}\right) \left[\frac{1}{L_{\text{bend}}} \int_s^{s_f} \left(D_x(s)T_{51}(s_f \leftarrow s) + D'_x(s)T_{52}(s_f \leftarrow s) - T_{56}\right)^2 ds\right]^{1/2}$

(the integral is taken over the bends).

* Ex. : bunch lengthening is $\sigma_l \approx 5 \,\mu \mathbf{m}$ at 21.16 GeV in a 6-arc ring.

[*] G. Leleux et al., Synchrotron Radiation Perturbations in Long Transport Lines, PAC 91.

Energy spread σ_E after 11 passes in eRHIC from 7.944 to 21.16 GeV :



• The energy loss causes a spiraling of the beam centroid.

Over a distance $[s_i, s_f]$: $\begin{bmatrix} \overline{x(s_f)} \\ \overline{x'(s_f)} \end{bmatrix} = T(s_f \leftarrow s_i) \times \begin{bmatrix} \overline{x(s_i)} \\ \overline{x'(s_i)} + \frac{\sigma_E}{E} \begin{cases} < U > \\ < V > \end{cases} \end{bmatrix}$ $\begin{bmatrix} U(s_e) \\ V(s_e) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} D_x(s_i) - \int_{s_i}^{s_e} \frac{T_{12}(s \leftarrow s_i)}{\rho(s)} ds \\ D'_x(s_i) + \int_{s_i}^{s_e} \frac{T_{11}(s \leftarrow s_i)}{\rho(s)} ds \end{bmatrix}$

Inward spiraling of the electron beam at 21 GeV in a 6-arc ring



* Ex. : $\Delta x = -0.12 \text{ mm}$ at 21.16 GeV, over 6 arcs, 2138 m distance.

• and horizontal emittance growth,

 $\Delta \sigma(s_f) = T(s_f \leftarrow s_i) \times$

$$\left(\frac{\sigma_E}{E}\right)^2 \left[\begin{array}{cc} & \\ & \end{array}\right] \times \tilde{T}(s_f \leftarrow s_i)$$

* Ex. : SR induced emittance is $\beta \gamma \epsilon_x \approx 2 \pi \mu \mathbf{m}$, after 11 passes in eRHIC, from 7.94 to 21.16 GeV.

Horizontal phase space after 21 passes



1.2 Spin

• Averages and second momenta build up upon stochastic SR.

• Spin precession around the vertical dipole fields amounts to

 $\phi = a\gamma\theta$

 $a = 1.1610^{-3}$, anomalous gyromagnetic factor γ is the Lorentz relativistic factor θ is the particle deflection angle.

* Over a turn at E=21.16 GeV, spin undergoes $a\gamma \approx$ 45 precessions around the vertical axis.

• Stochastic SR causes stochastic change in precession rate, hence spin diffusion

Complete ERL ring, arcs+straights+DS. Starting 6-D emittance zero. Spin angle density after acceleration from 7.9 to 21.16 GeV in 11 passes :



• Evolution of the diffusion [*]

In constant magnetic field:
$$\begin{pmatrix} \overline{\Delta E^2} \\ \overline{\Delta E \Delta \phi} \\ \overline{\Delta \phi^2} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ \alpha s & 1 & 0 \\ \alpha^2 s^2 & 2\alpha s & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \overline{\Delta E^2} \\ \overline{\Delta E \Delta \phi} \\ \overline{\Delta \phi^2} \end{pmatrix}_{s=0} + \omega \times \begin{pmatrix} s \\ \alpha s^2/2 \\ \alpha^2 s^3/3 \end{pmatrix}$$

 $\omega = \frac{C}{\rho^3} \lambda_c r_e \gamma^5 E^2 \approx 1.44 \times 10^{-27} \frac{\gamma^5}{\rho^3} E^2 \text{ (}\lambda_c = \hbar/m_e c \text{ electron Compton wavelength, E in GeV),}$ $C = 110\sqrt{3}/144,$ $\alpha = \frac{a}{\rho E_0} \approx \frac{1}{0.4406\rho} \text{ (with } a = 1.16 \times 10^{-3} \text{, electron mass } E_0 = 0.511 \times 10^{-3} \text{ GeV).}$

• Cumulated spread, over a six-arc ring (2138 m circumference), from 7.9 to 21.2 GeV :



[*] cf. V. Ptitsyn, EIC'14

• Ray-tracing

Particle and spin motion



Position and velocity of a particle, pushed from location M_0 to location M_1 in Zgoubi frame.

$$\begin{split} \frac{d(m\vec{v})}{dt} &= q \left(\vec{e} + \vec{v} \times \vec{b} \right), \\ & \frac{d\vec{S}}{dt} = \frac{q}{m} \vec{S} \times \vec{\omega} \\ \text{with } \vec{\omega} &= (1 + \gamma a)\vec{b} + a(1 - \gamma)\vec{b}_{\prime\prime} \end{split}$$

a: gyromagnetic factor, γ : Lorentz relativistic factor, c: velocity of light, q: charge, m:mass.

• Both equations are solved using a truncated Taylor series in the step size Δs ,

$$\vec{a}(M_1) \approx \vec{a}(M_0) + \frac{d\vec{a}}{ds}(M_0)\,\Delta s + \dots + \frac{d^n\vec{a}}{ds^n}(M_0)\,\frac{\Delta s^n}{n!}\tag{1}$$

- Solving particle motion : \vec{a} stands for position \vec{R} or normalized velocity $\vec{u} = \vec{v}/v$,

- Solving spin motion : \vec{a} stands for the spin \vec{S} .

• The local magnetic field and its derivatives fully determine the coefficients $a^{(n)} = d^n a/ds^n$ in this Taylor series.



• While we are here : hard edged magnet models will be used throughout, no such thing as "fringe fields", here.

• Cell : geometry, optics

A continuous $a\gamma$ scan of the high energy FFAG eRHIC cell (7.944 \rightarrow 21.16 GeV) :



• Note in passing : $a\gamma$ is close to an integer at all pass \leftarrow linac kick multiple of mass/a=440.5MeV, for longitudinal alignment of polarization at IP6, IP8.



• Tight comparisons have been performed between codes : SYNCH, MUON1, MADX[-PTC]. The conclusion is : good in general, some discrepancies are observed (*some may be serious*)

- **3** Dynamical effects of SR in eRHIC FFAG-II ERL
- 3.1 Working conditions
- A complete FFAG-II ring (7.944 \rightarrow 21.16 GeV) is considered in the simulations discussed here.
- A ring is built from 6 arcs, 6 long straight sections (LSS), and 12 dispersion suppressors (DS)

$$6 \times \left[\frac{1}{2}LSS - DS - ARC - DS - \frac{1}{2}LSS\right] + Linac$$

It includes one, zero-length, 1.322 GeV energy kick in a straight section (possibly accounting for phase), in order to simulate the linac.

- An arc is a series of FD 138 cells. The deviation is almost $2\pi/6$ ($2\pi/6.74$).
- A DS has 17 arc-type cells, but for vanishing quadrupole axis shift from arc to LSS
- An LSS is made of 70 such cells, with quadrupole axes aligned
- The bunch to be tracked will in general be launched from the center of a long straight section,
 - where theoretical local orbit has the virtue of being zero, whatever the bunch energy

- with initial transverse/longitudinal emittances either zero or nominal, depending on the exercise

- beam centroid is in general (artificially) re-centered on the LSS axis, at each LSS.

- **Orders of magnitude of the effects** 3.2
- 3.2.1 Longitudinal phase space
- Turn-by-turn energy loss and spread :



• Approximation to $\Delta E \propto E^2 B^2 \Delta t$, taking $\overline{\rho_{\rm QF}} = l_{\rm QF}/\theta_{\rm QF}$, $\overline{\rho_{\rm BD}} = l_{\rm BD}/\theta_{\rm BD}$:

$$\overline{\Delta E}[MeV] = \overline{\Delta E_{QF}} + \overline{\Delta E_{BD}} \approx 0.96 \times 10^{-15} \gamma^4 (\frac{\theta_{\rm QF}}{|\overline{\rho_{\rm QF}}|} + \frac{\theta_{\rm BD}}{|\overline{\rho_{\rm BD}}|}) \quad \text{per cell}$$

• Assuming $<(1/\rho)^2>\approx 1/\overline{\rho}^2$, then :

$$\sigma_E \approx \sqrt{\sigma_{E,\text{QF}}^2 + \sigma_{E,\text{BD}}^2} \approx 1.94 \times 10^{-14} \gamma^{7/2} \sqrt{\frac{\theta_{\text{QF}}}{\overline{\rho_{\text{QF}}}^2} + \frac{\theta_{\text{BD}}}{\overline{\rho_{\text{BD}}}^2}} \quad \text{per cell}$$
(3)

(2)

• What is monitored here is energy density,



• Energy loss causes drift of bunch centroid, stochasticity causes horizontal emittance increase.



x-drift along the 6 arcs in eRHIC ring,

Horizontal phase space after the E = 21.164 GeV pass

$$\overline{x_f} = -15 \ \mu \mathbf{m}, \ \sigma_{x_f} = 4.3 \ \mu \mathbf{m}$$

$$\overline{x'_f} = -1.1.\ \mu$$
rad, $\sigma_{x'_f} = 1.8\ \mu$ rad.



• Beam centroid motion :

$$\begin{bmatrix} \overline{x(s_f)} \\ \overline{x'(s_f)} \end{bmatrix} = T(s_f \leftarrow s_i) \times \begin{bmatrix} \left\{ \frac{\overline{x(s_i)}}{x'(s_i)} \right\} + \frac{\sigma_E}{E} \begin{cases} < U > \\ < V > \end{cases} \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{with} \quad \begin{bmatrix} U(s) \\ V(s) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} D_x(s_i) - \int_{s_i}^s \frac{T_{12}(s \leftarrow s_i)}{\rho(s)} \, ds \\ D'_x(s_i) + \int_{s_i}^s \frac{T_{11}(s \leftarrow s_i)}{\rho(s)} \, ds \end{bmatrix}$$

• Perturbation of the beam matrix : $\Delta \sigma(s_f) = T(s_f \leftarrow s_i) 4 \left(\frac{\sigma_E}{E}\right)^2 \begin{bmatrix} \langle U^2 \rangle & \langle UV \rangle \\ \langle UV \rangle & \langle V^2 \rangle \end{bmatrix} \times \tilde{T}(s_f \leftarrow s_i) \quad (4)$

3.3 End-to-end bunch tracking, cumulated effects (initial $\epsilon_x \approx \epsilon_y = 0$ and dp/p=0)



• Evolution of the polarization



Density of horizontal spin component S_x at end of pass 11



 $\sigma_{\phi} = 14.9 \text{ deg.}$

3.4 End-to-end 9-D tracking, nominal initial transverse emittances $50\pi\mu$ m

Case initial dp/p=0



Case initial $dp/p_0 \in [-3, +3] \times 10^{-4}$



Polarization









4 Dispersion suppressors

• The dispersion suppressors are based on a "missing magnet" style scheme, where the relative displacement of the two cell quadrupoles (the origin of the dipole effect in the FFAG cell) is brought to zero over a series of cells.

• From orbit viewpoint, a quadrupole displacement is equivalent to a kick θ_k at entrance and exit.

• Due to the varying displacement of the quads (from their misalignement in the arc to aligned configuration in the straight) the orbit builds along the DS (with origin at upstream arc, end at downstream straight, or reverse) following

$$\begin{cases} \frac{y_{\rm orb}(s)}{\sqrt{\beta(s)}} = \frac{y_{\rm orb}(0)}{\sqrt{\beta(0)}}\cos(\phi) + \frac{\alpha(0)y_{\rm orb}(0) + \beta(0)y_{\rm orb}'(0)}{\sqrt{\beta(0)}}\sin(\phi) + \sum_k \sqrt{\beta(s_k)}\theta_k\sin(\phi - \phi_k) \\ \frac{\alpha(s)y_{\rm orb}(s) + \beta(s)y_{\rm orb}'(s)}{\sqrt{\beta(s)}} = -\frac{y_{\rm orb}(0)}{\sqrt{\beta(0)}}\sin(\phi) + \frac{\alpha(0)y_{\rm orb}(0) + \beta(0)y_{\rm orb}'(0)}{\sqrt{\beta(0)}}\cos(\phi) + \sum_k \sqrt{\beta(s_k)}\theta_k\cos(\phi - \phi_k) \end{cases}$$





• However, the orbit build-up from LLS to arc ends up, at the arc, with (x,x') coordinates which do not *fully* coincide with the periodic orbit of the arc FFAG cell.

• The orbit build-up depends on the phase advance $\phi = \int_0^s \frac{ds}{\beta(s)}$. Thus it depends on the cell tune, and on energy.

Orbit along 5 ring-turns, 7.9, 9.3, 10.6, 11.9GeV and 13.2 GeV.

In each case starting coordinates are (x,x')=(0,0) at the center of an LSS.



The orbit amplification is tune-dependent. For instance, case of pass #4:

Varied energy $\pm 1, 2, 3\%$ in the vicinity of E = 11.9GeV:



5 Dynamical acceptance of eRHIC FFAG lattice

Simulation conditions

- The available aperture at entrance to a pass around the ring is evaluated, independently for each one of the 11 energies in the range 7.944 : 21.164 : 1.322.
- Two types of lattices are simulated, for comparison :

- either a single cell, ≈ 1000 passes, this would be the dynamical acceptance at entrance into a 6-arc ring, 138 cells per arc

- eRHIC ring acceptance window, observed at the center of a LSS.

RING = 6 ×
$$\left[\frac{1}{2}LSS - DS - ARC - DS - \frac{1}{2}LSS\right]$$

• The effects of some field defects are summarily investigated.

• There is no SR in these tracking, just geometrical effects are addressed (apart from all 11 energies being investigated).

SR increases beam emittance and is expected to decrease the dynamical acceptance accordingly.

• 1000-cell DA, hard-edge (left) and soft-edge (right)



Smooth fields experienced by the 11 particles across the two cell quadrupoles



• 1000-cell DA , including dodecapole defect, random (top) and systematic (bottom)





• eRHIC ring, available aperture into the ring as seen at middle of LSS

$$6 \times \left[\frac{1}{2}LSS - DS - ARC - DS - \frac{1}{2}LSS\right]$$



DAs on FFAG2 energies, hard-edge model





6 particles (6 energies) launched at (x=0,y=max.).

eRHIC ring, available aperture into the ring as seen at middle of LSS, with dodecapole defect

$$6 \times \left[\frac{1}{2}LSS - DS - ARC - DS - \frac{1}{2}LSS\right]$$

Defect value retained here, following from prior study of emittance growth over single ring turn : ± 3 Gauss at 1 cm ($\pm 3G/5kG = 6 \times 10^{-4}$ relative).



Hyp. in these two graphs : bunch centroid is re-centered (average position and angle are zero-ed) at entrance to each one of the 6 LSS.



6 Injecting alignment defects

6.1 Horizontal misalignment

• An either 0, or 1, or 10 Gauss dipole defect is considered - random, uniform, applied to all quads around the ring

• Qualitatively, below : 4 particles launched with initial $\epsilon_x = 0$ and dp/p = 0 (subject to increase due to SR) and initial $\epsilon_y = 50\pi\mu$ m norm.







- Beam evolution from 7.9 to 21.1 GeV and back. Starting $\epsilon_x \approx \epsilon_y \approx 50\pi\mu$ m, $dp/p \in \pm 2 \times 10^{-4}$
- db =1 Gauss : Equivalent displacement is $\Delta x = \Delta B/G = 2 \,\mu$ m given G=50 T/m.





6.2 Vertical misalignment

• Beam evolution from 7.9 to 21.1 GeV and back. Starting $\epsilon_x \approx \epsilon_y \approx 50\pi\mu$ m and $dp/p \in \pm 2 \times 10^{-4}$ uniform

• From top to bottom : dipole defect $a_0 \in [-0.1, +0.1], [-1, +1]$ G :





- 6.3 Investigating alignment error correction of a 11-beam set
- Sets of H and/or V dipole errors are generated, in cell quadrupoles, in the 6 arcs
- Error sets are taken from uniform Δb_0 and/or Δa_0 density, over b₀ errors along ring arcs, in BD and QF magnets ± 20 Gauss ($\pm 40 \,\mu$ m equivalent misalignment) 40 30 20 10 Ū q -10 -20 • No errors introduced in LSS neither DS - for simplicity 8000 1000 7000 BD2, QF2 number along ring
- These errors are corrected using brute force FIT procedure, that tweaks b_0 and/or a_0 , in all QF and BD until constraints are fulfilled, namely :
 - position and angle of 11 different energies
 - every 23 cells in the arcs (an arc contains 138 cells, so, there are 6 such sections per arc).
- That allows 23 variables for 22 constraints (x and x' for each one of the 11 energies, in one go).
- Each 23-cell section, in all 6 arcs, is corrected in that manner. This is repeated 36 times to cover the ring.
- SR is on. Each energy is represented bu a 50 particle bunch (hence, $11 \times 50 = 550$ particles tracked in one go).

• Typical convergence of the FIT, toward the 11 theoretical orbits, for one of 36 arc sections :

STATUS OF VARIA	ABLES (Iteration	# 0 / 1	20 max.)	1	THE VARIABLES	3 ARE THE	QF2's b_	0		
LMNT VAR PAR	RAM MINIMUM I	NITIAL 4 518E-05 4	FINAL N 5175080247E-05	MAXIMUM	STEP NA 8 230E-07 MI	AME ILTIPOL	LBL1 OF2	LBL2 MULT		
18 2	4 -1.000E-02 -	5.017E-05 -5.	0172080247E-05	1.000E-02	8.230E-07 MU	JLTIPOL	QF2	MULT		
24 3	4 -1.000E-02	1.340E-04 1.	3397781481E-04	1.000E-02	8.230E-07 MU	JLTIPOL	QF2	MULT		
36 5	4 -1.000E-02	4.745E-05 4.	7446188889E-05	1.000E-02	8.230E-07 MU	JLTIPOL	QF2 QF2	MULT		
42 6	4 -1.000E-02 -	1.474E-05 -1.	4741066667E-05	1.000E-02	8.230E-07 MU	JLTIPOL	QF2	MULT		
48 7 54 8	4 -1.000E-02 - 4 -1.000E-02	2.099E-04 -2. 7.811E-05 7.	0985586296E-04 8105985185E-05	1.000E-02	8.230E-07 MU 8.230E-07 MU	JLTIPOL JLTIPOL	QF2 OF2	MULT		
60 9	4 -1.000E-02 -	2.761E-04 -2.	7612202222E-04	1.000E-02	8.230E-07 MU	JLTIPOL	QF2	MULT		
66 10	4 -1.000E-02	6.330E-05 6.	3297133333E-05	1.000E-02	8.230E-07 MU	JLTIPOL	QF2	MULT		
72 11 78 12	4 -1.000E-02 - 4 -1.000E-02	1.816E-05 1.	8164953086E-05	1.000E-02	8.230E-07 MU	JLTIPOL	QF2 QF2	MULT		
84 13	4 -1.000E-02	1.527E-05 1.	5267744444E-05	1.000E-02	8.230E-07 MU	JLTIPOL	QF2	MULT		
90 14	4 -1.000E-02 -	5.354E-05 -5.	3537733333E-05	1.000E-02	8.230E-07 MU	JLTIPOL	QF2	MULT		
102 16	4 -1.000E-02 -	7.791E-05 -7.	7908722222E-05	1.000E-02	8.230E-07 MU	JLTIPOL	QF2 QF2	MULT		
108 17	4 -1.000E-02	2.431E-04 2.	4311473333E-04	1.000E-02	8.230E-07 MU	JLTIPOL	QF2	MULT		
114 18	4 -1.000E-02 4 -1.000E-02	7.990E-05 7. 8 440E-05 8	9898833333E-05	1.000E-02	8.230E-07 MU 8.230E-07 MU	JLTIPOL	QF2 OF2	MULT		
126 20	4 -1.000E-02	1.636E-04 1.	6362697037E-04	1.000E-02	8.230E-07 MU	JLTIPOL	QF2	MULT		
132 21	4 -1.000E-02	4.122E-05 4.	1218400000E-05	1.000E-02	8.230E-07 MU	JLTIPOL	QF2	MULT		
138 22 144 23	4 -1.000E-02 4 -1.000E-02	5.180E-05 5. 4.227E-05 4.	1796065432E-05 2273506173E-05	1.000E-02	8.230E-07 MU 8.230E-07 MU	JLTIPOL JLTIPOL	QF2 OF2	MULT		
STATUS OF CONS	STRAINTS (Target p	penalty = 1.0	000E-08)	1.0002 02	0.1301 07 18		Q- 2			
TYPE I J I	LMNT# DESIRE	D WE	IGHT REA	ACHED	KI2	NAME	LBL1	LBL2	* Paramet	er(s)
3 -1 2 147	-5.7866111E-01 5.2102765E+00	2.0000E-0 1.0000E+0	0 5.2105759E-	-01 9.981 +00 9.185	7E-04 MARKER	#ECellSe	C * * C * *	2 : 1.0E+ 2 : 1.0E+	00/ 5.0E+01/	Theor. x_orbit for 7.9GeV Theor. x' orbit for 7.9GeV
3 -1 2 147	-5.6123649E-01	2.0000E-0	1 -5.6144094E	-01 1.070	9E-02 MARKER	#ECellSe	- C * *	2 : 5.1E+	01/ 1.0E+02/	Theor. x_orbit for 9.2GeV
3 -1 3 147	4.3105917E+00	1.0000E+0	0 4.3116745E-	+00 1.201	4E-02 MARKER	#ECellSe	c * *	2 : 5.1E+	01/ 1.0E+02/	Theor. x'_orbit for 9.2GeV
3 -1 3 147	3.4780794E+00) 1.0000E+0	0 3.4804963E-	+00 5.986	2E-02 MARKER	#ECellSe	C * *	2 : 1.0E+ 2 : 1.0E+	02/ 1.5E+02/	EIC.
3 -1 2 147	-4.6023083E-01	2.0000E-0	1 -4.6066645E	-01 4.861	7E-02 MARKER	#ECellSe	C * *	2 : 1.5E+	02/ 2.0E+02/	
3 -1 3 147	2.7061058E+00) 1.0000E+0	0 2.7037711E-	+00 5.585	6E-02 MARKER	#ECellSe	C * *	2 : 1.5E+ 2 · 2 0E+	02/ 2.0E+02/	
3 -1 3 147	1.9880861E+00	1.0000E+0	0 1.9901914E-	+00 4.542	1E-02 MARKER	#ECellSe	C * *	2 : 2.0E+ 2 : 2.0E+	02/ 2.5E+02/	
3 -1 2 147	-2.8634189E-01	2.0000E-0	1 -2.8663176E	-01 2.152	6E-02 MARKER	#ECellSe	C * *	2 : 2.5E+	02/ 3.0E+02/	
3 -1 3 147	1.3189515E+00) 1.0000E+0 2.0000E-0	0 1.3184897E-	+00 2.185 -01 2.886	2E-03 MARKER	#ECellSe	C * *	2 : 2.5E+ 2 · 3 0E+	02/ 3.0E+02/	
3 -1 3 147	6.9385348E-01	1.0000E+0	0 6.9173531E	-01 4.597	8E-02 MARKER	#ECellSe	c * *	2 : 3.0E+	02/ 3.5E+02/	
3 -1 2 147	-5.3767999E-02	2.0000E-0	1 -5.3818008E	-02 6.407	1E-04 MARKER	#ECellSe	C * *	2 : 3.5E+	02/ 4.0E+02/	
3 -1 3 147 3 -1 2 147	1.0858879E-01 8.1093355E-02	2.0000E+0	1.1067439E	-01 4.457 -02 1.079	SE-02 MARKER	#ECellSe	C * * C * *	2 : 3.5E+ 2 : 4.0E+	02/ 4.0E+02/ 02/ 4.5E+02/	
3 -1 3 147	-4.4050766E-01	1.0000E+0	0 -4.3872210E	-01 3.267	2E-02 MARKER	#ECellSe	C * *	2 : 4.0E+	02/ 4.5E+02/	
3 -1 2 147	2.2697555E-01	2.0000E-0	1 2.2728052E	-01 2.382	8E-02 MARKER	#ECellSe	c * *	2 : 4.5E+	02/ 5.0E+02/	
3 -1 2 147	3.8260641E-01	2.0000E+0	1 3.8335722E	-01 3.800	2E-01 MARKER	#ECellSe	C * *	2 : 4.5E+ 2 : 5.0E+	02/ 5.5E+02/	
3 -1 3 147	-1.4428212E+00	1.0000E+0	0 -1.4417261E	+00 1.228	8E-02 MARKER	#ECellSe	C * *	2 : 5.0E+	02/ 5.5E+02/	
Fit reached pe	enalty value 9.7	583E-05	M	atching (sections	per arc,	6 arcs,			
			to 1	1 theoret	ical orbits	in 1 go.	Penalt	ies.		
	0.006									
	0.000		I I		1		I	I	1	
	0.007	penal	ties —				- I			
	0.005								_	
	⊳ 0.004	-							. –	
	Ц Ц									l
	ัซ 0.003	–			1					l
	с Д		1							
	Å 0.002	L .								
	0.002									l
	0.001									l
	0.001									
	-									l
	0					••				
		0	5 1	0	15	20	25	30	35	
				0.01	ation n	umbor	~			
				sec	JULION N	umpel	Ĺ			

• Sample results

Horizontal misalignment ($b_0 \in \pm 20$ G, uniform)



AFTER CORRECTION (Note the scales : big effect)







ERL2015 Workshop, BNL-STONY BROOK UNIVERSITY, 7-12 June 2015