21st E<mark>CRIS</mark> 2014 ECRIS-2014 Nizhny Novgorod, Russia 24-28 August 2014

THE 21ST INTERNATIONAL Workshop on EGR ION Sources

# ECR ion source developments at INFN-LNS

L. Celona on behalf the INFN-LNS team

Istituto Nazionale di Fisica Nucleare Laboratori Nazionali del Sud

# Outline

- Sources under construction
  - AISHa and relative LEBT
  - ESS high intensity proton source and relative LEBT
- Upgrading of existing sources for K-800 LNS cyclotron and daily operation
  - SERSE
  - CAESAR
- R&D activities
  - Modelling and full wave computations
  - Plasma diagnostic
    - Advances in X-ray spectroscopy
    - Development of microwave interferometer for ECRIS
  - Flexible Plasma Trap completion





#### AISHa

#### Advanced Ion Source for Hadrontherapy

The AISHa project is supported by the incentives in favour of Research Development and Innovation Art.5 Regional law 16/12/2008 – Intervention line 4.1.1.1 POR FERS Sicilia 2007–13 devoted to small and medium companies integrated with Research Institutions (Partners: INFN–LNS, HITEC2000 srl, UNICO srl, C3SL)







**Regione Siciliana** 



#### **AISHa** Advanced Ion Source for Hadrontherapy

LABORATORI NAZIONALI DEL SUD

A



Radial field	1.3 T
Axial field	2.7 T - 0.4 T - 1.6 T
Operating frequencies	18 GHz (TFH)
Max operating power	1.5 kW + 1.5 kW
Extraction voltage	40 kV
Chamber diameter / length	Ø 92 mm / 360 mm
LHe	Free
Warm bore diameter	274 mm



#### **AISHa** Advanced Ion Source for Hadrontherapy

LABORATORI NAZIONALI DEL SUD



AISHA is a hybrid ECRIS: the radial confining field is obtained by means of a permanent magnet hexapole, while the axial field is obtained with a **Helium-free superconducting system.** 

The **operating frequency of 18 GHz will permit** to maximize the plasma density by employing commercial microwave tubes meeting the **needs of the installation in hospital** environments.

Radial field	1.3 T
Axial field	2.7 T - 0.4 T - 1.6 T
Operating frequencies	18 GHz (TFH)
Max operating power	1.5 kW + 1.5 kW
Extraction voltage	40 kV
Chamber diameter / length	Ø 92 mm / 360 mm
LHe	Free
Warm bore diameter	274 mm

#### **AISHA render view**



#### AISHA axial magnetic confinement







#### **Demagnetisation induced by SC coils**



#### **Demagnetisation induced by SC coils**



#### **Demagnetization using normal VAC 745 HR**



#### **Hexapole configuration**



Further optimization: only 2 materials needed with the grain boundary diffusion (Tb) process.

#### AISHA radial magnetic confinement (plasma chamber wall)



De

ALTH

#### **Integration of hexapole: forces conteinement**



Due to simmetry reasons there is a high cancellation of the forces acting on the whole structure.



#### **AISHa injection system**



#### AISHa room @LNS



#### AISHa AISHa 2 important dates

- AISHa site ready for January 2015
- Magnetic system delivery for second half of 2015
- RF system and ancillaries ready for June 2015
- Mechanics ready for March 2015
- Solenoid+Dipole ready for April 2015
- Diagnostics ready for March
  CNAO 2015

- AISHa2 site @ CNAO to be confirmed
- Magnetic system call for tenders to be released in October 2014
- RF system and ancillaries ready for June 2015
- Mechanics ready for October 2015
- CNAO

#### **O3: CNAO installation**









Name	Expectation	Consequences
Beam energy	75 keV	New isolation column + New HV platform + New HV electronics
Maximum proton current	62.5 mA	High proton fraction + High total current up to 80 mA
Minimum proton current	6.3 mA	Movable iris inside the LEBT + High precision iris movement + High power dissipation on the iris + Space charged transport of different beams
Proton current step	6.3 mA	
Proton current precision	1.6 mA	
Beam pulse frequency	From single pulse to 14 Hz	Pulsed ion source + Chopper inside the LEBT + Fast chopper electronics + Fast recovery of LEBT space charge compensation + High power dissipation on the LEBT collimator + test of LEBT chopping strategy before construction
Beam pulse modulation	From 5 us to 2.86 ms	
Beam pulse rise and fall time	< 100 ns	
Bem emittance	$0.25 \ \pi \ mm \ mrad$	Low emittance source + Test new plasma heating methods + LEBT solenoids with reduced fringing field + High precision alignment of IS and LEBT to reduce steerer use + High interaction with ESS Beam Instrumentation group and RFQ team to reduce LEBT length
Twiss parameter $\alpha$	1.02	
Twiss parameter $\beta$	0.11	
Twiss parameter $\alpha$ mismatch	±10 %	
Twiss parameter β mismatch	±5 %	
Center displacement	±0.2 mm	
Center angle	±2 mrad	
Current beam stability	±5 %	Study of RF–Plasma coupling + New RF injection system + Test new plasma heating methods
Emittance stability	±5 %	
High reliability	> 99 %	New source assembly design + Definition of maintenance procedure
Fast IS and LEBT recovery time	Hours	
Second ion source		Upgrading study + double delivery time + double commissioning time













































## MW coupling on TRIPS, VIS

I N F N



## **ESS refinement**





Optimization of all geometrical parameters of the matching transformer:

Step width, height, length, number

# Cavity frequency domain study with real dimensions an real materials:

Copper chamber cylinder Two Boro Nitride insulators disks Aluminum waveguide Extraction hole



- <u>Classical</u> MDIS flat profile (B-flat)
- <u>Simple-mirror</u> (B-min) for the prolongation of  $H_2^+$  molecule lifetime, thus increasing ionization efficiency and proton fraction
  - Magnetic beach (B-asymmetric) making possible <u>Bernstein Waves</u> (BWs) formation through inner-plasma conversion of the input electromagnetic waves.





Classical MDIS flat profile (B-flat)

<u>Simple-mirror</u> (B-min) for the prolongation of  $H_2^+$  molecule lifetime, thus increasing ionization efficiency and proton fraction

Magnetic beach (B-asymmetric) making possible <u>Bernstein Waves</u> (BWs) formation through inner-plasma conversion of the input electromagnetic waves.





Classical MDIS flat profile (B-flat)

<u>Simple-mirror</u> (B-min) for the prolongation of  $H_2^+$  molecule lifetime, thus increasing ionization efficiency and proton fraction

Magnetic beach (B-asymmetric) making possible <u>Bernstein Waves</u> (BWs) formation through inner-plasma conversion of the input electromagnetic waves.





Classical MDIS flat profile (B-flat)

<u>Simple-mirror</u> (B-min) for the prolongation of  $H_2^+$  molecule lifetime, thus increasing ionization efficiency and proton fraction

Magnetic beach (B-asymmetric) making possible <u>Bernstein Waves</u> (BWs) formation through inner-plasma conversion of the input electromagnetic waves.
#### Ion beam extraction











TRIPS Five-electrodes topology • on-line optimisation of the extracted beam

wide range of operations (10-60 mA) VIS Four-electrodes topology optimized for a 40 mA beam (90% proton, 10% H<sub>2</sub><sup>+</sup>)







- Improved ground shielding
- Single alumina
- All the electrodes are cooled, also repeller by using AIN insulator
- New triple point design
- (metal-vacuum-alumina)





- Improved ground shielding
  - Single alumina
- All the electrodes are cooled,
  also repeller by using AlN
  insulator
- New triple point design
- (metal-vacuum-alumina)





- Improved ground shielding
  - Single alumina
- All the electrodes are cooled, also repeller by using AlN insulator
- New triple point design
  (metal-vacuum-alumina)





- Improved ground shielding
  - Single alumina
- All the electrodes are cooled, also repeller by using AlN insulator
- New triple point design
  (metal-vacuum-alumina)

## Simulation of extraction

A multi-parametric optimization of the geometry was done using AXCEL 2D axial symmetric simulation



Own code for the conversion to a 3D beam distribution that take care about the representativeness the axial symmetry

#### 3D beam distribution for TraceWin calculation



#### Beam transport optimization

The strength of the magnetic field of the two solenoid can be optimized to obtain the twiss parameters needed for the RFQ...



#### Beam transport optimization

The strength of the magnetic field of the two solenoid can be optimized to obtain the twiss parameters needed for the RFQ...



... not only for the full beam, but also when the iris is used: same twiss parameters can be obtained from 100 % to 10 % of selected beam. It is obvious that the emittance decrease a lot proportionally to the cut. Experimental check is needed to measure the effect of a not ideal iris geometry.

#### PS-ESS#1 + LEBT



Picture to update with the new length:

- 270 mm : extraction system (starting from the plasma electrode)
- 100 mm : cable from extraction system ; beam-current-transformer
- 400 mm : first solenoid ; bellow
- 60 mm : gate-valve
- 300 mm : iris
- 350 mm : chopper
- 400 mm : diagnostic box
- 400 mm : second solenoid ; bellow
- 320 mm : gate-valve ; beam-current-transformer ; collimator ; repeller electrode with cable ;

## <u>Movable iris:</u> from 0 to 40 mm radius, 600 W, 300 mm length



100 % of beam => 30 mm radius 10 % of beam => 5 mm radius

> To increase the axial symmetry of the selected beam the shape of the six blades was chosen merging the minimum circumference of 5 mm radius and a dodecagonal shape





# Chopper and collimator test at CEA-Saclay (12-2013 & 4-2014)







HV chopper electronics

#### Chopper

#### Collimator







## **Defocusing chopper**





×10<sup>5</sup>

24 2.2

2

1.8

1.6 1.4

1.2

0.8

0.6

PlotWin - CEA/DSM/Irfu/SACM

20





#### Experimental test done at CEA with our prototype



#### Beam pulse rise time



## Beam pulse fall time



Ch4: beem Stop ( $R\tau = 5,5$  ohm; C = 183 pf;  $R\tau * C = 1$  ns) Ch2: Collimate. ( $P\tau = 5,5$  ohm; C = 534 pf;  $R\tau * C = 2,9$  ns)





























PlotWin - CEA/DSM/Irfu/SACM




























































#### ESS and FPT-VIS sites preparation



#### Time schedule





A. Ponton, June 26th 2013

#### Future developments



- PS-ESS#2 will be designed following the results that will be achieved on PS-ESS#1
- Layout semplification will be pursed trying to avoid instrumentation at potential (only body source) and simplify the controls and the ancillary equipment needed.



#### **R&D** activities

- Modelling and full wave computations
- Plasma diagnostic
  - Advances in X-ray spectroscopy
  - Development of microwave interferometer for ECRIS
- Flexible Plasma Trap completion

In the cold approximation, the magnetostatic field is always represented with a vector along the z-axis. NOT APPLIABLE FOR ECRIS!!!

Non-symmetric 3D magnetostatic field Equations

$$\hat{I} = B_1 xz + 2 S_{ex} xy$$

$$\hat{I} = B_1 yz + S_{ex} (x^2 - y^2)$$

$$\hat{I} = B_2 = B_0 + B_1 z^2$$

In the cold approximation, the magnetostatic field is always represented with a vector along the z-axis. NOT APPLIABLE FOR ECRIS!!!

Non-symmetric 3D magnetostatic field Equations

$$\hat{I} = B_1 xz + 2S_{ex} xy$$

$$\hat{I} = B_1 yz + S_{ex} (x^2 - y^2)$$

$$\hat{I} = B_2 = B_0 + B_1 z^2$$

In the cold approximation, the magnetostatic field is always represented with a vector along the z-axis. NOT APPLIABLE FOR ECRIS!!!

Non-symmetric 3D magnetostatic field Equations

$$\hat{B}_{x} = B_{1}xz + 2S_{ex}xy$$

$$\hat{B}_{y} = -B_{1}yz + S_{ex}(x^{2} - y^{2})$$

$$\hat{B}_{z} = B_{0} + B_{1}z^{2}$$

$$\begin{split} \overline{\overline{\epsilon}} &= \epsilon_0 \overline{\overline{\epsilon}}_r = \epsilon_0 \left( \overline{\overline{\mathbf{I}}} + \frac{\mathrm{i}\overline{\overline{\sigma}}}{\omega\epsilon_0} \right) = \epsilon_0 \left( \overline{\overline{\mathbf{I}}} + \frac{\mathrm{i}\omega_p^2 \overline{\mathbf{T}}^{-1}}{\omega} \right) = \\ &= \epsilon_0 \left[ \begin{array}{c} 1 + \frac{\mathrm{i}\omega_p^2}{\omega} \frac{(-\mathrm{i}\omega + \omega_{\mathrm{eff}})^2 + B_{0x}^2(\frac{q}{m})^2}{\Delta} & \frac{\mathrm{i}\omega_p^2}{\omega} \frac{B_{0z} \frac{q}{m}(-\mathrm{i}\omega + \omega_{\mathrm{eff}}) + B_{0x}B_{0y}(\frac{q}{m})^2}{\Delta} \\ \frac{\mathrm{i}\omega_p^2}{\omega} \frac{-B_{0z} \frac{q}{m}(-\mathrm{i}\omega + \omega_{\mathrm{eff}}) + B_{0x}B_{0y}(\frac{q}{m})^2}{\Delta} & 1 + \frac{\mathrm{i}\omega_p^2}{\omega} \frac{(-\mathrm{i}\omega + \omega_{\mathrm{eff}})^2 + B_{0y}^2(\frac{q}{m})^2}{\Delta} & \frac{\mathrm{i}\omega_p^2}{\omega} \frac{B_{0z} \frac{q}{m}(-\mathrm{i}\omega + \omega_{\mathrm{eff}}) + B_{0z}B_{0y}(\frac{q}{m})^2}{\Delta} \\ \frac{\mathrm{i}\omega_p^2}{\omega} \frac{B_{0y} \frac{q}{m}(-\mathrm{i}\omega + \omega_{\mathrm{eff}}) + B_{0x}B_{0z}(\frac{q}{m})^2}{\Delta} & \frac{\mathrm{i}\omega_p^2}{\omega} \frac{-B_{0x} \frac{q}{m}(-\mathrm{i}\omega + \omega_{\mathrm{eff}}) + B_{0z}B_{0y}(\frac{q}{m})^2}{\Delta} & 1 + \frac{\mathrm{i}\omega_p^2}{\omega} \frac{(-\mathrm{i}\omega + \omega_{\mathrm{eff}}) + B_{0z}B_{0y}(\frac{q}{m})^2}{\Delta} \\ &= \epsilon_0 \omega_p^2 \overline{\overline{\mathbf{T}}}^{-1} \end{split}$$

In the cold approximation, the magnetostatic field is always represented with a vector along the z-axis. NOT APPLIABLE FOR ECRIS!!!

Non-symmetric 3D magnetostatic field Equations

$$\hat{B}_{x} = B_{1}xz + 2S_{ex}xy$$

$$\hat{B}_{y} = -B_{1}yz + S_{ex}(x^{2} - y^{2})$$

$$\hat{B}_{z} = B_{0} + B_{1}z^{2}$$

$$\begin{split} \overline{\overline{\epsilon}} &= \epsilon_0 \overline{\overline{\epsilon}}_r = \epsilon_0 \left( \overline{\overline{\mathbf{I}}} + \frac{\mathrm{i}\overline{\sigma}}{\omega\epsilon_0} \right) = \epsilon_0 \left( \overline{\overline{\mathbf{I}}} + \frac{\mathrm{i}\omega_p^2 \overline{\overline{\mathbf{T}}}^{-1}}{\omega} \right) = \\ &= \epsilon_0 \begin{bmatrix} 1 + \frac{\mathrm{i}\omega_p^2 (-\mathrm{i}\omega + \omega_{\mathrm{eff}})^2 + B_{0x}^2 (\frac{q}{m})^2}{\Delta} & \frac{\mathrm{i}\omega_p^2 B_{0z} \frac{q}{m} (-\mathrm{i}\omega + \omega_{\mathrm{eff}}) + B_{0x} B_{0y} (\frac{q}{m})^2}{\Delta} & \frac{\mathrm{i}\omega_p^2 B_{0z} \frac{q}{m} (-\mathrm{i}\omega + \omega_{\mathrm{eff}}) + B_{0x} B_{0y} (\frac{q}{m})^2}{\Delta} & \frac{\mathrm{i}\omega_p^2 B_{0z} \frac{q}{m} (-\mathrm{i}\omega + \omega_{\mathrm{eff}})^2 + B_{0y}^2 (\frac{q}{m})^2}{\Delta} & \frac{\mathrm{i}\omega_p^2 B_{0z} \frac{q}{m} (-\mathrm{i}\omega + \omega_{\mathrm{eff}})^2 + B_{0y}^2 (\frac{q}{m})^2}{\Delta} & \frac{\mathrm{i}\omega_p^2 B_{0z} \frac{q}{m} (-\mathrm{i}\omega + \omega_{\mathrm{eff}}) + B_{0y} B_{0z} (\frac{q}{m})^2}{\Delta} \\ & \underbrace{\mathrm{i}\omega_p^2 B_{0y} \frac{q}{m} (-\mathrm{i}\omega + \omega_{\mathrm{eff}}) + B_{0x} B_{0z} (\frac{q}{m})^2}{\Delta} & \frac{\mathrm{i}\omega_p^2 B_{0x} \frac{q}{m} (-\mathrm{i}\omega + \omega_{\mathrm{eff}}) + B_{0z} B_{0y} (\frac{q}{m})^2}{\Delta} & 1 + \frac{\mathrm{i}\omega_p^2 (-\mathrm{i}\omega + \omega_{\mathrm{eff}})^2 + B_{0z}^2 (\frac{q}{m})^2}{\Delta} \\ & \overline{\sigma} = \epsilon_0 \omega_p^2 \overline{\overline{\mathbf{T}}}^{-1} \end{split}$$

In the cold approximation, the magnetostatic field is always represented with a vector along the z-axis. NOT APPLIABLE FOR ECRIS!!!

Non-symmetric 3D magnetostatic field Equations

$$\hat{B}_{x} = B_{1}xz + 2S_{ex}xy$$

$$\hat{B}_{y} = -B_{1}yz + S_{ex}(x^{2} - y^{2})$$

$$\hat{B}_{z} = B_{0} + B_{1}z^{2}$$

$$\begin{split} \overline{\epsilon} &= \epsilon_0 \overline{\epsilon}_r = \epsilon_0 \left( \overline{\overline{\mathbf{I}}} + \frac{\mathbf{i}\overline{\sigma}}{\omega\epsilon_0} \right) = \epsilon_0 \left( \overline{\overline{\mathbf{I}}} + \frac{\mathbf{i}\omega_p^2 \overline{\mathbf{T}}^{-1}}{\omega} \right) = \\ &= \epsilon_0 \left[ \begin{array}{c} 1 + \frac{\mathbf{i}\omega_p^2}{\omega} \frac{(-\mathbf{i}\omega + \omega_{\mathrm{eff}})^2 + B_{0x}^2(\frac{q}{m})^2}{\Delta} & \frac{\mathbf{i}\omega_p^2}{\omega} \frac{B_{0z} \frac{q}{m}(-\mathbf{i}\omega + \omega_{\mathrm{eff}}) + B_{0x}B_{0y}(\frac{q}{m})^2}{\Delta} \\ \frac{\mathbf{i}\omega_p^2 - B_{0z} \frac{q}{m}(-\mathbf{i}\omega + \omega_{\mathrm{eff}}) + B_{0x}B_{0y}(\frac{q}{m})^2}{\Delta} & 1 + \frac{\mathbf{i}\omega_p^2 (-\mathbf{i}\omega + \omega_{\mathrm{eff}})^2 + B_{0y}^2(\frac{q}{m})^2}{\Delta} & \frac{\mathbf{i}\omega_p^2}{\omega} \frac{B_{0z} \frac{q}{m}(-\mathbf{i}\omega + \omega_{\mathrm{eff}}) + B_{0x}B_{0y}(\frac{q}{m})^2}{\Delta} \\ \frac{\mathbf{i}\omega_p^2 - B_{0z} \frac{q}{m}(-\mathbf{i}\omega + \omega_{\mathrm{eff}}) + B_{0x}B_{0z}(\frac{q}{m})^2}{\Delta} & 1 + \frac{\mathbf{i}\omega_p^2 (-\mathbf{i}\omega + \omega_{\mathrm{eff}})^2 + B_{0z}^2(\frac{q}{m})^2}{\Delta} & 1 + \frac{\mathbf{i}\omega_p^2 (-\mathbf{i}\omega + \omega_{\mathrm{eff}})^2 + B_{0z}^2(\frac{q}{m})^2}{\Delta} \\ \overline{\sigma} &= \epsilon_0 \omega_p^2 \overline{\overline{\mathbf{T}}}^{-1} \end{split}$$

In the cold approximation, the magnetostatic field is always represented with a vector along the z-axis. NOT APPLIABLE FOR ECRIS!!!

Non-symmetric 3D magnetostatic field Equations

$$\hat{B}_{x} = B_{1}xz + 2S_{ex}xy$$

$$\hat{B}_{y} = -B_{1}yz + S_{ex}(x^{2} - y^{2})$$

$$\hat{B}_{z} = B_{0} + B_{1}z^{2}$$

$$\begin{split} \overline{\epsilon} &= \epsilon_0 \overline{\overline{\epsilon}}_r = \epsilon_0 \left( \overline{\overline{\mathbf{I}}} + \frac{\mathrm{i}\overline{\sigma}}{\omega\epsilon_0} \right) = \epsilon_0 \left( \overline{\overline{\mathbf{I}}} + \frac{\mathrm{i}\omega_p^2 \overline{\overline{\mathbf{T}}}^{-1}}{\omega} \right) = \\ &= \epsilon_0 \left[ \begin{array}{c} 1 + \frac{\mathrm{i}\omega_p^2}{\omega} \frac{(-\mathrm{i}\omega + \omega_{\mathrm{eff}})^2 + B_{0x}^2(\frac{q}{m})^2}{\Delta} & \frac{\mathrm{i}\omega_p^2}{\omega} \frac{B_{0z} \frac{q}{m}(-\mathrm{i}\omega + \omega_{\mathrm{eff}}) + B_{0x}B_{0y}(\frac{q}{m})^2}{\Delta} \\ \frac{\mathrm{i}\omega_p^2 - B_{0z} \frac{q}{m}(-\mathrm{i}\omega + \omega_{\mathrm{eff}}) + B_{0x}B_{0y}(\frac{q}{m})^2}{\Delta} & 1 + \frac{\mathrm{i}\omega_p^2 (-\mathrm{i}\omega + \omega_{\mathrm{eff}})^2 + B_{0y}^2(\frac{q}{m})^2}{\Delta} \\ \frac{\mathrm{i}\omega_p^2 - B_{0y} \frac{q}{m}(-\mathrm{i}\omega + \omega_{\mathrm{eff}}) + B_{0x}B_{0z}(\frac{q}{m})^2}{\Delta} & \frac{\mathrm{i}\omega_p^2 - B_{0x} \frac{q}{m}(-\mathrm{i}\omega + \omega_{\mathrm{eff}}) + B_{0z}B_{0y}(\frac{q}{m})^2}{\Delta} \\ \overline{\sigma} &= \epsilon_0 \omega_p^2 \overline{\overline{\mathbf{T}}}^{-1} \end{split} \right] \end{split}$$

In the cold approximation, the magnetostatic field is always represented with a vector along the z-axis. NOT APPLIABLE FOR ECRIS!!!

Non-symmetric 3D magnetostatic field Equations

$$\hat{B}_{x} = B_{1}xz + 2S_{ex}xy$$

$$\hat{B}_{y} = -B_{1}yz + S_{ex}(x^{2} - y^{2})$$

$$\hat{B}_{z} = B_{0} + B_{1}z^{2}$$

$$\begin{split} \overline{\epsilon} &= \epsilon_0 \overline{\overline{\epsilon}}_r = \epsilon_0 \left( \overline{\overline{\mathbf{I}}} + \frac{\mathbf{i}\overline{\sigma}}{\omega\epsilon_0} \right) = \epsilon_0 \left( \overline{\overline{\mathbf{I}}} + \frac{\mathbf{i}\omega_p^2 \overline{\mathbf{T}}^{-1}}{\omega} \right) = \\ &= \epsilon_0 \left[ \begin{array}{c} 1 + \frac{\mathbf{i}\omega_p^2}{\omega} \frac{(-\mathbf{i}\omega + \omega_{\mathrm{eff}})^2 + B_{0x}^2(\frac{q}{m})^2}{\Delta} & \frac{\mathbf{i}\omega_p^2}{\omega} \frac{B_{0z} \frac{q}{m}(-\mathbf{i}\omega + \omega_{\mathrm{eff}}) + B_{0x}B_{0y}(\frac{q}{m})^2}{\Delta} \\ \frac{\mathbf{i}\omega_p^2 - B_{0z} \frac{q}{m}(-\mathbf{i}\omega + \omega_{\mathrm{eff}}) + B_{0x}B_{0y}(\frac{q}{m})^2}{\omega} & 1 + \frac{\mathbf{i}\omega_p^2 (-\mathbf{i}\omega + \omega_{\mathrm{eff}})^2 + B_{0y}^2(\frac{q}{m})^2}{\Delta} \\ \frac{\mathbf{i}\omega_p^2 - B_{0y} \frac{q}{m}(-\mathbf{i}\omega + \omega_{\mathrm{eff}}) + B_{0x}B_{0y}(\frac{q}{m})^2}{\omega} & 1 + \frac{\mathbf{i}\omega_p^2 (-\mathbf{i}\omega + \omega_{\mathrm{eff}})^2 + B_{0y}^2(\frac{q}{m})^2}{\omega} \\ \frac{\mathbf{i}\omega_p^2 - B_{0x} \frac{q}{m}(-\mathbf{i}\omega + \omega_{\mathrm{eff}}) + B_{0z}B_{0y}(\frac{q}{m})^2}{\omega} & 1 + \frac{\mathbf{i}\omega_p^2 (-\mathbf{i}\omega + \omega_{\mathrm{eff}}) + B_{0z}B_{0y}(\frac{q}{m})^2}{\omega} \\ \frac{\mathbf{i}\omega_p^2 - B_{0x} \frac{q}{m}(-\mathbf{i}\omega + \omega_{\mathrm{eff}}) + B_{0z}B_{0y}(\frac{q}{m})^2}{\omega} \\ \overline{\sigma} &= \epsilon_0 \omega_p^2 \overline{\mathbf{T}}^{-1} \end{split}$$

In the cold approximation, the magnetostatic field is always represented with a vector along the z-axis. NOT APPLIABLE FOR ECRIS!!!

Non-symmetric 3D magnetostatic field Equations

$$\hat{B}_{x} = B_{1}xz + 2S_{ex}xy$$

$$\hat{B}_{y} = -B_{1}yz + S_{ex}(x^{2} - y^{2})$$

$$\hat{B}_{z} = B_{0} + B_{1}z^{2}$$

Assuming a non uniform magnetostatic field the **dielectric tensor** is:

$$\begin{split} \overline{\epsilon} &= \epsilon_0 \overline{\overline{\epsilon}}_r = \epsilon_0 \left( \overline{\overline{\mathbf{I}}} + \frac{\mathrm{i}\overline{\sigma}}{\omega\epsilon_0} \right) = \epsilon_0 \left( \overline{\overline{\mathbf{I}}} + \frac{\mathrm{i}\omega_p^2 \overline{\mathbf{T}}^{-1}}{\omega} \right) = \\ &= \epsilon_0 \left[ \begin{array}{c} 1 + \frac{\mathrm{i}\omega_p^2}{\omega} \frac{(-\mathrm{i}\omega + \omega_{\mathrm{eff}})^2 + B_{0x}^2(\frac{q}{m})^2}{\Delta} & \frac{\mathrm{i}\omega_p^2}{\omega} \frac{B_{0z} \frac{q}{m}(-\mathrm{i}\omega + \omega_{\mathrm{eff}}) + B_{0x} B_{0y}(\frac{q}{m})^2}{\Delta} \\ \frac{\mathrm{i}\omega_p^2 - B_{0z} \frac{q}{m}(-\mathrm{i}\omega + \omega_{\mathrm{eff}}) + B_{0x} B_{0y}(\frac{q}{m})^2}{\omega} & 1 + \frac{\mathrm{i}\omega_p^2 (-\mathrm{i}\omega + \omega_{\mathrm{eff}})^2 + B_{0y}^2(\frac{q}{m})^2}{\Delta} \\ \frac{\mathrm{i}\omega_p^2 - B_{0y} \frac{q}{m}(-\mathrm{i}\omega + \omega_{\mathrm{eff}}) + B_{0x} B_{0z}(\frac{q}{m})^2}{\omega} & 1 + \frac{\mathrm{i}\omega_p^2 (-\mathrm{i}\omega + \omega_{\mathrm{eff}})^2 + B_{0y}^2(\frac{q}{m})^2}{\Delta} \\ \frac{\mathrm{i}\omega_p^2 - B_{0y} \frac{q}{m}(-\mathrm{i}\omega + \omega_{\mathrm{eff}}) + B_{0x} B_{0z}(\frac{q}{m})^2}{\omega} & 1 + \frac{\mathrm{i}\omega_p^2 (-\mathrm{i}\omega + \omega_{\mathrm{eff}})^2 + B_{0z}^2(\frac{q}{m})^2}{\Delta} \\ \frac{\mathrm{i}\omega_p^2 - B_{0x} \frac{q}{m}(-\mathrm{i}\omega + \omega_{\mathrm{eff}}) + B_{0z} B_{0y}(\frac{q}{m})^2}{\omega} & 1 + \frac{\mathrm{i}\omega_p^2 (-\mathrm{i}\omega + \omega_{\mathrm{eff}})^2 + B_{0z}^2(\frac{q}{m})^2}{\omega} \\ \overline{\sigma} &= \epsilon_0 \omega_p^2 \overline{\mathbf{T}}^{-1} \end{split}$$

Off-diagonal Elements due to 3D Magnetic field

#### Cold plasma simulations in COMSOL and Matlab

Journal of Electromagnetic Waves and Applications, 2014 Vol. 28, No. 9, 1085–1099, http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/09205071.2014.905245

I N F N

LNS



#### Full-wave FEM simulations of electromagnetic waves in strongly magnetized non-homogeneous plasma

G. Torrisi<sup>a, b\*</sup>, D. Mascali<sup>a</sup>, G. Sorbello<sup>a, c</sup>, L. Neri<sup>a</sup>, L. Celona<sup>a</sup>, G. Castro<sup>a</sup>, T. Isernia<sup>b</sup> and S. Gammino<sup>a</sup>



Conventional PDE which can be solved by COMSOL

#### **Full-wave computation:** 8 GHz microwaves into the plasma filled chamber




### **Simulations and modelling:** Further Steps towards self-consistency





# Plasma Diagnostics

 Advancements in X-ray spectroscopy (including space-resolved spectroscopy)

• Development of a new, compact microwave interferometer



# Advanced techniques of plasma diagnostics have been already implemented: the X-ray pin-hole camera



X-ray imaging can be performed with a pin-hole camera technique

The pin-hole is mounted between the plasma and a X-ray sensitive CCD camera having 1024x1024 pixels in the 0.5-15 keV energy domain





X-ray sensitive CCD - camera

D. Mascali – *Geller Prize Ceremony,* Sydney (Australia), September 26, 2012

#### **Plasma Diagnostics**



### X-ray imaging: detection of the Hot Electron Layer



A high brightness strip appears due to electrons impinging on the chamber walls (bremsstrahlung through the stainless steel walls)

gas:Argon pressure:3\*10-4 mbar RF power:100W 100 frames -1sec exposure for each one Images in the optical window, taken through an offaxis DN40 flange, evidence the generation of a high-brightness annulus surrounding a dark hole.

X-ray imaging evidences that the pumping power is deposited in the annulus, where the energetic electrons are generated Transversal reconstruction of the plasma structure in X-ray domain (1-30 keV).

> Plasma chamber





### **Plama Diagnostics:** sophisticated tools for covering the entire EM spectrum

RF	IR	Visible & UV	EUV	Soft-Xray		Hard-Xray	
(3 kHz-300 GHz)	(300 GHz-430	(1,6-12 eV)	(10-120 eV)	(0,12-12	keV)	(10-100 keV)	-
I HZ)		<b>Optical plasma</b>	X-ray Pinhol	le Camer <mark>a</mark>			
		Observation	Imaging & 2D-		SDD - HpGe		
		Spectroscopy 1D/2D	Spectroscopy		X-ray detectors		
		density-temp.	2D energy distribution and		Spectroscopy		
		measurement	(relative)	density			
	N/I	icrowaye Interfero	motory mossur	ring nlasma	donsit	v	

We need a tool able to measure density of electrons with an externally injected "probing" radiation (no perturbation since P<sub>probing</sub>/P<sub>exciting</sub><1%)

 $\rightarrow$  Density measurement technique no-longer based on plasma emission but on "response-on-transmission" of microwaves through the plasma



# Interferometry for plasmas



Classical Scheme of Interferometer

Microwave interferometry measures plasma density through a measurement of phase shift.

In plasmas the phase variation depends on the "natural plasma frequency"

The plasma frequency depends on the density

### **Criticality: multi-paths introduce spurious signals**



#### SIMULATION HORN TO HORN TO UNDERSTAND HOW TO DISCRIMINATE CAVITY WALL EFFECTS IN PROGRESS

#### **Flexible Plasma Trap:** a new tool for fundamental plasma physics studies



### Trap assebly is ongoing...



Diagnostcs box as a prechamber for hosting high sensitive/space resolved X-ray spectrometers



#### Installation to be completed within September. First plasma late September.

## Thank You for Your Attention !!!

