Beam Current Measurements The State of Art



D. Belohrad CERN, Geneva, Switzerland

May 18, 2011

PART I What is the beam current/charge What is its origin

- · Movement of electrically charged particles generates a current
- \cdot Beam = charged particles which move around the accelerator
 - Beam intensity / Beam charge:
 - either an equivalent DC current
 - or number of charged particles in a 'region of interest'
 - Region of interest (ROI):

specific time interval corresponding to a measured structure

 \rightarrow next slide

The number of charges:

$$NP = \frac{Q}{e} = \frac{\int_{ROI} i_{beam}(t)dt}{e} \approx \frac{I \cdot t}{e} \tag{1}$$

Q is a measured charge, $e = 1.602 \times 10^{-19}$ C.

*i*_{beam} is the beam current intercepted by measurement device

Region of interest



- LINACs: equivalent DC current in a batch
- electron machines/fine structure: bunch charge
- circulating beam: bunch charge / DC beam current
- other, e.g. total charge over a revolution period

Additional information, e.g. amount of debunched beam

Region of interest



- LINACs: equivalent DC current in a batch
- electron machines/fine structure: bunch charge
- circulating beam: bunch charge / DC beam current
- other, e.g. total charge over a revolution period

Additional information, e.g. amount of debunched beam

PART II What measurement methods are available?

What can give **precise** information about the charge?

- a measurement instrument:
 - provide deterministic absolute measurement value
 - must be calibrated
 - direct calibration not many devices available
 - indirect calibration uses directly calibrated devices
 - uncertainty of the measurement and calibration standards
 - dynamic range and noise levels are of utmost importance
- Can provide: instant current, average current, bunch charge, turn charge etc.
- used for machine protection (e.g. Reeg, H. et al., EPAC2006)

non-intercepting - inductive/capacitive coupling to the beam

- $\rightarrow\,$ insignificant impact on the beam
- \rightarrow couple to EM \rightsquigarrow image current 'treatment'
- inductive: FBCTs, DCCTs, WCMs, striplines (EM coupling)
- capacitive: BPMs, all sorts of button-style pick-ups
- magnetic sensors: SQUIDs, Magneto-resistive sensors, CCCs, nDCCT

non-intercepting - inductive/capacitive coupling to the beam

- $\rightarrow\,$ insignificant impact on the beam
- \rightarrow couple to EM \rightsquigarrow image current 'treatment'
 - inductive: FBCTs, DCCTs, WCMs, striplines (EM coupling)
 - capacitive: BPMs, all sorts of button-style pick-ups
 - magnetic sensors: SQUIDs, Magneto-resistive sensors, CCCs, nDCCT
- intercepting direct interaction with the beam
 - ightarrow absorption of significant part of the energy
 - Faraday cups (beam stoppers), SEM grids, screens, Ionisation chambers
 - even Wire scanners can provide intensity

non-intercepting - inductive/capacitive coupling to the beam

- $\rightarrow\,$ insignificant impact on the beam
- \rightarrow couple to EM \rightsquigarrow image current 'treatment'
 - inductive: FBCTs, DCCTs, WCMs, striplines (EM coupling)
 - capacitive: BPMs, all sorts of button-style pick-ups
 - magnetic sensors: SQUIDs, Magneto-resistive sensors, CCCs, nDCCT
- intercepting direct interaction with the beam
 - $\rightarrow\,$ absorption of significant part of the energy
 - Faraday cups (beam stoppers), SEM grids, screens, Ionisation chambers
 - even Wire scanners can provide intensity

PART II What measurement methods are available?

PART II

What measurement methods are available?

A) Non-DC beam current measurements

What is needed to get the beam signal? How to process the beam signal? Calibration?

PART II

What measurement methods are available?

A) Non-DC beam current measurements

What is needed to get the beam signal? How to process the beam signal? Calibration?

B) DC beam current measurements DCCT and its calibration

MR sensors

A) Non-DC beam current measurements

We might use these to get the beam signal:

Faraday cups BPMs and capacitive pick-ups WCMs FBCTs

Note:

Faraday cups measure down to DC as well, but ...

A) Non-DC beam current measurements

We might use these to get the beam signal:

Faraday cups BPMs and capacitive pick-ups WCMs FBCTs

Note:

Faraday cups measure down to DC as well, but ...

Faraday cups

Measurement of low to mA currents



Provides pA resolution with excellent absolute accuracy

Faraday cups

Generated secondary particles can escape the cup



Install longer cup, or ...

Faraday cups

Generated secondary particles can escape the cup



deflect them back using HV higher than mean SE energy

Implementations





SE deflection using electrostatic shielding

- USR/FLAIR anti-proton storage
- mainly for proton beams
- p⁻ measurements limited due to 100 MeV+ p-p⁻ annihilation
- $\blacktriangleright~1~\mu\text{A}$ down to fA, res. <5~fA

Harasimowicz, J. et al., BIW2010

Extremely accurate measurements down to pA levels, used as absolute calibration standard

- cup heat-load with intense beams require active cooling
- emission of secondary particles
- may have problems with attraction of particles flying around (e.g. electron showers)

Signal transmission bandwidth in hundreds of MHz. For electron machines/fine beam structures appropriate HIBW connection to the current meter must be provided to tens of GHz

 \rightarrow Fast Faraday Cups (FFC), e.g. ELETTRA

FFC principle of operation



Ferianis., M. et al. DIPAC 2003 Up to 40 GHz transmission bandwidth

Implementations



C. Deibele, Fast Faraday Cup, SNS

We might use these to get the beam signal:

Faraday cups BPMs and capacitive pick-ups WCMs FBCTs

Wall image current

Any moving charged particle is accompanied with EM field:



E field looks as a pillbox for relativistic speeds and causes a charge deposit on the inner wall of the vacuum chamber. Deposited charge moves with particle and generates current = Wall Image Current (WIC). **B field** gets attenuated

Non-intercepting & Wall image current

As beam signal is "the source" of the information about the beam charge, we need to intercept it

couple to EM field produced by the beam current

- diverge the wall image current
- install the detector in the vacuum chamber

Non-intercepting & Wall image current

As beam signal is "the source" of the information about the beam charge, we need to intercept it

couple to EM field produced by the beam current

- diverge the wall image current
- install the detector in the vacuum chamber

► Why?

Non-intercepting & Wall image current

As beam signal is "the source" of the information about the beam charge, we need to intercept it

couple to EM field produced by the beam current

- diverge the wall image current
- install the detector in the vacuum chamber
- ► Why?
 - Electric field: cancelled
 - Magnetic field: Attenuation by $\approx 8.7 \ dB$ by one skin-depth length: non-magnetic conductor $\rightarrow \delta = \frac{\sqrt{10} \cdot 10^3}{2\pi} \sqrt{\frac{\rho}{f}}$:

	1 kHz	10 kHz	100 kHz	1 MHz	10 MHz
Copper [mm]	2.1	0.66	0.21	0.066	0.021

- Smm copper tube: A≈50 dB @ 10MHz
- ► radio with ≈30dB dynamic range to intercept weakest beam signal attenuated by 50 dB?



- \blacktriangleright conductive electrode subjected to charge deposit \rightarrow charge flows through R
- RC filter limits the BW (C includes cables if electrode impedance not matched!)
- split the electrodes and you get capacitive BPM

Capacitive pick-up

 \blacktriangleright sensitivity ≈ 10 nV/nA, resolution down to 20 pA/e

• Intensity measurement using sum signal: $\int v(t)dt = Q \cdot rac{Z}{2} \cdot rac{\Phi}{2\pi}$

- sum suppresses first order position dependence
- using math third and fifth order can be eliminated as well, but:



▶ Pickups/BPMs are many. Averaging over a ring increases resolution (e.g. ESFR storage ring 224 BPMs → factor of 15, Scheidt, MOPD64)

Button pick-up



KEK ATF damping ring BPM (Hinode F. el al, PAC95)







SLAC PEP-II button pickup (Kurita, N. et al., PAC95) Inductive PU, CERN (Gasior, M., DIPAC 2003)

Google knows

Possible realisations

30

We might use these to get the beam signal:

Faraday cups BPMs and capacitive pick-ups WCMs FBCTs

To measure intensity using WCMs



- Direct measurement of a wall current
- Bandwidth from few kHz to tens GHz range (e.g. RHIC 3 kHz to 6 GHz, Cameron, PAC99)

Practical implementation ...



- ► BW≈100kHz-20GHz
- Ferrite to diverge LF via external bypass
- signal from 8 feedthroughs combined using resistive combiners

33

Practical implementation ...



- ▶ 2.5Ω ceramics resistance (Alumina+carbon powder)
- ▶ 4 pick-up electrodes + combiner
- up to 2.5GHz BW

We might use these to get the beam signal:

Faraday cups BPMs and capacitive pick-ups WCMs FBCTs

To measure intensity using FBCTs



- Bandwidth from few Hz to GHz range
- typ. resolution 2-5 pC, but sub pC optimisations ongoing (< 1 pC see Werner, MOPD65)
- measurement winding optimised to match cable on HF
- Not always High- μ material (ex. Reeg, H., GSI, FCT using Ferroxcube 3E25, $\tau \approx 1 \ \mu$ s)

To measure intensity using FBCTs



Works as RLC circuit, can be used in two measurement modes:

- ▶ $\frac{R^2}{4L_s^2} \frac{1}{L_sC} > 0$: time constant $\tau = L_s/R$, $I_s = I_b/n \rightarrow \text{integrated signal related to charge}$
- R²/4L²_s ¹/_{L_sC} < 0: C charged during pulse, then resonant discharge→ discharge amplitude proportional to # of charges (e.g. Clarke-Gayther, EPAC96)</p>

Practical implementation ...

Resonant-mode FBCT:



Reeg, H., Resonant mode BCT for GSI HEBT lines

- peak detector to detect the voltage over capacitor
- 4 dynamic ranges down to 10 pC resolution
 - offset/zero value fluctuations due to noise rectification of the peak detector circuit
 - precision of calibration due to uncertain coupling efficiency of single turn cal. winding

38

Non-DC: What is needed to get the beam signal

We have used one of these to get the beam signal:

Faraday cups BPMs and capacitive pick-ups WCMs FBCTs

and we get this ...



and then we have to process it by the electronics ...

Non-DC: processing electronics



Note: BLR = Base Line RestorerThis method work only if sampling rate is appropriate.

Non-DC: processing electronics



Base line restorer must be based on temporal properties of the beam: one must know, where the beam cannot be. However, working with integrated value makes restoration more difficult \rightarrow maybe doing that before integration is a good idea ...

BTW: this is the LHC FBCT system

Non-DC: processing electronics



 $\mathsf{BLR}\ \&\ \mathsf{integrator}\ \mathsf{are}\ \mathsf{analogue}\ \mathsf{processing}\ \mathsf{blocks},\ \mathsf{difficult}\ \mathsf{to}\ \mathsf{tune}$

42

. . . .

Non-DC: analogue BLR example



Schottky diode based analogue BLR



Non-DC: analogue integrator example



Well, very complex for such small thing as integrator

Devices calibration

Faraday cups	electronics chain calibration by DC current
Pickups	compared to DCCTs or FBCTs, or to Faraday cup
WCMs	compared to DCCTs or FBCTs, or to Faraday cup
FBCTs	absolute calibration using current or charge source

Matching the measurement result with known current/charge permits to calculate gain. DC current sources of no-use for FBCTs \rightarrow require pulsed source,

calibration signal discharged into calibration turn.



45

Absolute accuracy of 1 to 5 % of the measurement full scale.

for those interested, e.g.:



46

Calibration by charge

for those interested:



47

There are of course other methods how to obtain the charge information from the beam signal acquired by discussed devices, e.g.:

- observation of initial amplitude of resonant-mode transformer
- observation of specific harmonic frequency of the beam
- integrating current transformer (e.g. Vos., SL/94-18, CERN)
- dark current monitor (see today's talk of D. Lipka, WEOC03)

See the references section at the end of this presentation ...



PART II

What measurement methods are available?

A) Non-DC beam current measurements

What is needed to get the beam signal? How to process the beam signal?

B) DC beam current measurements How DCCTs and Magneto-resistance sensors work

DCCT - principle of operation



50

DCCT: principle of operation

DCCT design issues

- Modulation current/voltage:
 - what signal: triangular, sine, square
 - high voltage/current amplitude to drive cores into saturation
 - generator signal purity (minimise even components of f_{mod}) when non-linear load
- Choice of modulation frequency limits the modulator BW:
 - crystalline material (NiFe): few hundreds Hz
 - amorphous/nanocrystalline material (Fe, Co-based): few kHz
- Magnetic material used:
 - ▶ $\mu_r \ge 50000$
 - Iow BH curve area
 - low coercive field $H_c \approx 1 \ A/m$
 - wound using combination of mg. material + Mylar (typ. 20/5 μ ratio) to minimise eddy current losses
 - Iow magnetostriction

small magnetic domains to minimise Barkhausen noise



51

DCCTs usage & limitations

To be used for precise DC current measurements

- measures everything: debunched, ghost and satellites, circulating beams
- due to feedback linear over 6 decades
- ▶ $FS = \langle 10mA; 100A \rangle$, resolution down to 1 µA, BW≤50 kHz

Calibration mostly using commercial DC current sources:

- \blacktriangleright Yokogawa GS200: accuracy $\pm 0.03~\%$ of setting $+~5~\mu A$
- Keithley 224: accuracy ± 0.05 % of setting + 10 μ A on 20 mA Development heading to resolve:

52

- ▶ offset suppression & temp. dependence (\approx 5 μ A/K)
- material procurement

DCCT - material procurement is fancy stuff



Vitrovac ordered with the same specification at different time. (VacuumSchmelze production technology didn't change!)

Usage of magneto-resistance (GMR, AMR) and magneto-impedance sensors to get the beam info: GSI novel-DCCT



Beam currents in hundreds-A range, clip-on configuration

54

Invite you to see next talk by Wolfgang Vodel Overview on Cryogenic Current Comparators for Beam Diagnostics

THANK YOU FOR YOUR ATTENTION

This presentation would not be possible without kind participation of many people around the world - thanks a lot:

P. Cameron, M. Heron, K. Scheidt, H. Reeg, D. Lipka, P. Forck, M. Wendt,
A. Peters, M. A. Ibrahim, M. Wilinski, W. Vodel, P. Odier, S. Smith,
M. Ferianis, E. C. Deibele, M. Werner, K. Wittenburg

PART III References used

- Yu. Antipov et al.: "THE COMPACT FARADAY CUP FOR RADIOBIOLOGICAL RESEARCHES IN IHEP ACCELERATORS BEAMS", Institute for High Energy Physics, Protvino, Moscow region, Russia
- J. Harasimowicz, C. P. Welsch: "FARADAY CUP FOR LOW-ENERGY, LOW-INTENSITY BEAM MEASUREMENTS AT THE USR", Cockcroft Institute, Warrington WA4 4AD, UK, and Department of Physics, University of Liverpool, Liverpool L69 7ZE, UK.
- T. Houck et al.: "FARADAY CUP MEASUREMENTS OF THE PLASMA PLUME PRODUCED AT AN X-RAY CONVERTER", Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory, Livermore, California 94550 USA
- A.F.D. Morgan: "DESIGN OF THE FARADAY CUPS IN DIAMOND", Diamond Light Source, UK

Wall Current Monitors

- T. Suwada et al.: "RECALIBRATION OF A WALL-CURRENT MONITOR USING A BEAM-INDUCED FIELD FOR THE KEKB INJECTOR LINAC", KEK, 1-1 Oho, Tsukuba-shi, Ibaraki-ken, 305, Japan
- A. D'Elia et al: "HIGH BANDWIDTH WALL CURRENT MONITOR FOR CTF3", CERN, Geneva, Switzerland
- P. R. Cameron et al.: "THE RHIC WALL CURRENT MONITOR SYSTEM", BNL, FNAL, USA
- A. D'Elia et at: "High Bandwidth Wall Current Monitor", EUROTEV report, September 1, 2008
- C. D. Moore et al.: "Single Bunch Intensity Monitoring System Using an Improved Wall Current Monitor", FERMI, USA

Fast Beam Current Transformers

- A. Burns et al.: "NOISE REDUCTION ON THE LEP BUNCH CURRENT MEASUREMENT SYSTEM", CERN, CH-1211 Geneva 23, Switzerland
- Michael A. Clarke-Gayther: "A HIGH STABILITY INTENSITY MONITORING SYSTEM FOR THE ISIS EXTRACTED PROTON BEAM", RAL, Didcot, United Kingdom
- A. Higashiya et al.: "DEVELOPMENT OF A BEAM CURRENT TRANSFORMER FOR THE X-FEL PROJECT IN SPRING-8", SPring-8, JAPAN
- H. Reeg et al.: "CURRENT TRANSFORMERS FOR GSI'S KEV/U TO GEV/U ION BEAMS AN OVERVIEW", GSI, Germany
- M. Kesselman et al.: "BEAM CURRENT MONITOR CALIBRATOR FOR THE SPALLATION NEUTRON SOURCE", Brookhaven National Laboratory, Upton NY 11973, USA

CARE-N3 EU sponsored workshop on DC current transformers, in particular:

P. Odier: "DCCT TECHNOLOGY REVIEW", CARE Workshop, Lyon, France

- Robert C. Webber: "Tutorial on Beam Current Monitoring"
- F. Gougnaud et al.: "THE FIRST STEPS OF THE BEAM INTENSITY MEASUREMENT OF THE SPIRAL2 INJECTOR", CEA, IRFU, SIS / Saclay, France

Other Intensity Measurements

- C. R. Rose et al.: "DESCRIPTION AND OPERATION OF THE LEDA BEAM-POSITION / INTENSITY MEASUREMENT MODULE", Los Alamos National Laboratory, Los Alamos, NM 87545
- A.G.Afonin et al.: "WIDE RANGE EXTRACTED BEAM INTENSITY MEASUREMENT AT THE IHEP", IHEP, Protvino, Russia
- R. Thirman-Keup et al.: "MEASUREMENT OF THE INTENSITY OF THE BEAM IN THE ABORT GAP AT THE TEVATRON UTILIZING SYNCHROTRON LIGHT", FNAL, Batavia, IL 60510, USA
- P.-A. Duperrex et al.: "CURRENT AND TRANSMISSION MEASUREMENT CHALLENGES FOR HIGH INTENSITY BEAMS", PSI, Villigen, Switzerland
- T.J. Ma et al.: "BUNCH-BY-BUNCH BEAM CURRENT MONITOR FOR HLS", NSRL, School of Nuclear Science and Technology, University of Science and Technology of China, Hefei 230029, P. R. China
- M. Dehler et al.: "STRIPLINE DEVICES FOR FLASH AND EUROPEAN XFEL", PSI, Switzerland, DESY, Hamburg, Germany
- P. Moritz et al.: "Diamond Detectors with Subnanosecond Time Resolution for Heavy Ion Spill Diagnostics", GSI, Germany

Squids / MR sensors





Intensity measurements during LHC orbit bump



pprox 1 %/mm bunch position dependency of the FBCT toroid