



Wir schaffen Wissen – heute für morgen

Paul Scherrer Institut Lukas STINGELIN for the PSI RF-group High Power RF Systems and Resonators for Sector Cyclotrons

PSI, 7. September 2010





Injector 2





Injection energy: Extraction energy: Accelerator frequency:

870 keV 72 MeV 50.63 MHz



RF system of Injector 2





Resonator 1 & 350 MHzdouble gap resonatorAccelerating voltage 420 kVPower dissipation 150 kW

Resonator 2 & 4 150 MHz resonator Accelerating voltage 30 kV

Power dissipation 3.5 kW





Inj. 2 Power upgrade 2003







Combiner



2 x 25 kW Load



Number of turns:

Beam current 2mA 82 Beam current 3mA ~65

Higher energy gain per turn on outer radius

Replacement of resonator 2 and 4 (150 MHz by 50MHz) including new amplifier chain and low level RF Resonator 2 planned before 2013 Resonator 4 planned before 2014

Later replacement of amplifier chain and low level RF of resonator 1 and 3

Using same system as for resonator 2 and 4



Gap voltage versus Radius of existing resonators





New 50 MHz Resonator 2&4, Injector 2



Specification

Resonance frequency: Accelerating voltage: **Dissipated power:** Tuning range: **Cavity RF-wall:** Structure: Vacuum pressure: **Cooling water flow: Dimension:** Weight:

50.6328 MHz 400 keV 45 kW@400kV 200 kHz **EN AW 1050 EN AW 5083** 1e-6 mbar 15 m3/h 5.6x3.3x3.0 m 7'000 kg







New 50 MHz Resonator 2&4, Injector 2



At SDMS



Status

Delivery of Res. 2 to PSI: Tuner (PSI Workshop):

RF-Power tests:

Manufacturing Res. 4

June 2009 Mid June

fall 2010

13 Month





Simulation and measurement results 50 MHz Resonator 2&4

	Simulation	Measured
Qo	28'159	24'814
Tuning range	190kHz	197.6kHz
Vacuum drift	-59.2kHz	-65.5kHz
Thermal drift	-32.6	-30.4



Temperature distribution, Design case 100kW





Deformation, atmospheric pressure, RF-Power

The Coupling Loop







The RF is blanked for about 100µs in case of spark detected at the coupling loop.

This is enough time for the ions cloud to vanish.



Block diagram of the pulsing startup and interlock control circuitry







Bent RF contacts after test



After successful high power tests, it was discovered that several RF contacts were bent.

Design of RF contacts still has to be improved.

(No problem of rf-current, but mechanical tribology)









Higher harmonic absorber









End of 2007



End of March 2008





- proton bunch length at the exit of Injector 2 is about 6 cm
- increases up to about 20 cm at the end of the 58 m injection line for the ring cyclotron due the energy dispersion and space charge repulsion
- Buncher between Inj. 2 and Ring
- Design studies on 150 MHz and 500 MHz
- 30 kW tetrode amplifier at 500 MHz from LURE





Assembly of buncher

Resonance frequency: Gap voltage: Quality factor: Dissipated power: Hydraulic tuning system range: Cavity wall: 506.328 MHz 218 kV 34'000 10 kW, max 30 kW 2.34 MHz Cu-OFHC







Super-buncher bead-pull measurement



	Simulation	Measured
Qo	34'000	30'340
Tuning range	2.34MHz	2.3MHz
Vacuum drift	-127kHz	-120kHz
Thermal drift	-270kHz @30kW	-260kHz @20kW











RF-station 506 MHz / 30kW



Test vault



Low level measurements

Amplifier tested on dummy load up to 20 kW

Tests on Superbuncher:

a lot of multipactoring

At 2 kW dissipated power

ceramic tulip for RF-pickup broken

At 5 kW dissipated power

circulator broken



Inductive pickup with ceramic tulip





Disk with ferrites

Circulator in repair till April 2008

Outer conductor of circulator

-> Circulator equipped with ARC-detector







Contact fingers After 6 hours @ 10kW (nominal power)

Contact ring Ag plated Contact force increased

Tuner





Contact surface of the plunger had then been plated with hard-gold and contact force slightly reduced.

Since then, no hardware faillure of the Super-buncher system.



590 MeV Ring Cyclotron

- 8	Sector	Magnets	1	Т
-----	--------	---------	---	---

- Injection energy: 72 MeV
- Extraction energy: 590 MeV
- Accelerator frequency: 50.63 MHz
- 4 new Cu-Cavities: 850 kV
- Beam current: 2.2 mA
 - For 3 mA beam current
 - 4 Accelerator Cu-Cavities: ~ 1 MV
 - Number of turns: ~ 160









Cu-

50.6

MHZ

500

kW

1 kHz

560

kHz



4- STAGE POWER AMPLIFIER CHAIN, EMPLOYING POWER TETRODE TUBES



Tube Types:YL 1Cooling Method:force	056 RS 2022 CL d air forced air	RS 2074 HF RS water wa	2074 HF ater	
Setup	Power dissipated	Beam power at	Beam power at	Total Power
	in Cavity	2 mA	3 mA	
	(no Beam)	beam current	beam current	
Alu cavity 202 turns	350 kW	300 kW		650 kW
Cu cavity 202 turns	250 kW	300 kW		550 kW
Cu cavity 160 turns	400 kW		450 kW	850 kW





Limit of RF-power coupler unknown (1MW?)

Water cooling system	for tubes at power lin	nits
now	Inlet 55°C	Outlet 80° C
PA=500kW	Inlet 4550°C	Outlet 80° C
Heavy beam loading no beam 3 mA	P = 400 kW P = 850 kW	Zin ~ 85 Ohm Zin ~ 40 Ohm

Amplifiers pushed to limits

-> reliability

-> lifetime of tubes?

Flattop system is working on the limits Cavity, transmission line, amplifier

With Super-buncher flattop voltage might be reduced



Conclusions:

- ... Upgrade is delayed by at least 3 years.
- ...Power tests of new Resonators for Injector II are promising. RF contacts have to be improved.
- ... RF stray fields should be investigated further. Reduction might lead to improved availability and performance.





Up-down asymmetry:Cavity top wall moved up by 2mm

•Left-right symmetry assumed to reduce model size

 Power input from Cavity side-wall (Port 1) and attenuation recorded at waveguide extension attached to intermediate vacuum chamber (Port 2)
Simulation method produces same fields in cavity, with the advantage to avoid narrow bandwidth simulation.



2.57m x 0.15m long Graphite Absorber



Almost no change in total radiated power, only modepattern at port 2 changes.

No impedance-step from sector magnet taken into account Only limited simulation domain, (Simulation is more accurate if absorber is more efficient...) Simulation in driven frequency domain by HFSS. Graphite with 7000S/m modeled as 0.2mm thick layer with 0.1 micro meter roughness. Graphite plate 2cm thick, ends 2cm below beam plane

^λλ/4 absorbing Resonator: preliminary Results



Comparison of attenuations to case without absorber: \rightarrow S(2:1,1:1) gets 5dB lower \rightarrow Flattop-Voltage could be almost doubled for same radiated power