Beam Accumulation and Bunching with Cooling

T. Katayama, T. Kikuchi, I. Meshkov, M. Steck and H. Stockhorst

Outline

- 1. RF Stacking & Cooling, ISR and TARN
- 2. Stochastic Stacking, AA & RESR
- 3. Barrier Bucket Accumulation, ESR, HESR & NICA
- 4. Bunching, COSY & NICA
- 5. Summary & Outlook

ISR: Intersecting Storage Ring 1971-1983





1.7 GeV/c Proton Beam, Momentum Stochastic Cooling at ICE (1977-78)



Beam

TARN (Test Accumulation Ring for NUMATRON) 1978-1985 Circumference : 31.7m Ion energy : 7 MeV/u Injector: SF cyclotron Multiturn injection+RF stacking & Stochastic Momentum cooling





Schematic Layout of Tail and Core System at RESR



Fokker-Planck Equation (Longitudinal cooling process) $\frac{\partial \Psi}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial}{\partial E} (F(E)\Psi - D(E,t)\frac{\partial \Psi}{\partial E}) = 0$ $\Psi(E,t) = \frac{dN}{dE}$ Distribution Function of Particles F(E): Cooling Force D(E,t): Diffusion Force

Cooling Force: Function of Band width, Gain, PU and Kicker sensitivity, Delay of signal, Ring slipping factor etc.

Diffusion Force: Function of Particle density, Band width, Gain, PU temperature, IBS diffusion force.

RESR 3 GeV Antiproton Stacked Beam Profile during Stacking



Particle Density (/eV)



Fermi Lab. Accumulator Ring (Calculated)



Stacking of 3 GeV Pbar Beam in HESR with Use of Barrier Bucket and Stochastic Cooling System (RESR is postponed in the modularized start version of FAIR) Three Necessary Conditions for the Barrier Bucket Accumulation

1. Beam momentum spread should be within the momentum acceptance of cooling system.

2. Momentum spread of cooled and stacked beam should be less than the separatrix height of barrier bucket system.

3. Cooled and stacked beam should not be disturbed by the injection kicker field.

B. Franzke invited one of authors T.K in 2005 to GSI and suggested to investigate the possibility of Barrier Bucket Stacking Scheme of Antiproton beam.





Accumulation & Cooling Parameters for HESR

Injected Beam Intensity Injected Momentum Spread Ring Slipping Factor Cooling System Injected Beam Width Revolution Period

Injection Kicker magnet100 nsec (2Rising time)10 secCycle Time10 secBarrier Voltage+/- 2 kVBarrier Voltage Frequency5 MHz (1Barrier Voltage Rising/Falling Time0.2 secBarrier Voltage Moving Time0.5 sec

3 GeV, Antiproton 1e8 5e-4 (rms) 0.03 Notch Filter, 2-4 GHz ~ 500 nsec ~2000 nsec

1000 nsec (250 nsec Falling/

10 sec +/- 2 kV 5 MHz (T=200 nsec) 0.2 sec 0.5 sec

Fokker-Planck Calculation of Coasting Beam Condition at HESR

N=1e8, Gain=120dB, Dp/p=5e-4

N=1e10, Gain=110dB, Dp/p=5e-4



HESR BB parameters

BB Voltage = 2 kV BB frequency= 5 MHz (T1=200 nsec) Ring slipping factor: 0.03

Separatrix Height of BB System



$$\Delta E_{b} = \left(\frac{2\beta^{2}E_{0}\varepsilon eV_{0}T_{1}}{\pi\eta T_{0}}\right)^{1/2}$$

$$\varepsilon = Q/A$$

$$E_{0} = Total \ Energy / nucleon$$

Particle Tracking Code for Momentum Cooling and Synchrotron Motion in Barrier RF System

Synchrotron Motion in $(\tau, \Delta E)$ *Phase Space*

$$\frac{d(\Delta E)}{dt} = \frac{q\omega_0}{2\pi} V(\tau) + F(\Delta E) + \xi_s(\Delta E, t) + \xi_{th}(\Delta E) + \xi_{IBS}(t)$$

$$\frac{d(\tau)}{dt} = -\frac{\eta}{\beta^2 \gamma E_0} \Delta E$$

Random energy kicks due to Diffusion such as Schottky, Thermal Effects (Stochastic cooling case) + IBS growth effects

q: Chrage State of Ion $\eta: Ring Slipping Factor$ $V(\tau): Barrier Voltage$ $F(\Delta E): Cooling Force$

 ξ_s : Schottky Diffusion ξ_{th} : Thermal Diffusion ξ_{IBS} : IBS Diffusion

Random energy kick leads to diffusion in phase space.





HESR Moving Barrier Bucket System, Voltage=2000 Volt

Cycle time= 10 sec



Fixed Barrier 1000 Volt (Phase mapping)



Fixed Barrier Bucket System, Voltage=1000 Volt

Accumulation efficiency =Accumulated Particle Number /Total Injected Particle Number



Celebration of Success of POP Experiment 2010 September 9th, at ESR Control Room GSI, FZJ, JINR & CERN Collaboration

1111

Spokesman of POP Experiment: M. Steck

Parameters of Stochastic Cooling and Barrier Voltage at POP Exepriment at ESR

- 1. Particle: 40Ar18+, 0.4 GeV/u, Gamma=1.426, Beta=0.713
- 2. Ring circumference: 108.36 m, Revolution Period=500 nsec
- 3. Number of injected particles from SIS18: 5e6 ions/shot.
- 4. Injected momentum spread : 5.0e-4 (1 sigma)
- 5. Injected bunch length : 150 nsec (Assumed as uniform)
- 6. Ring slipping factor: 0.309
- 7. Dispersion at PU: 4.0m, Dispersion at Kicker=4.0 m (Palmer stochastic cooling method)
- 8. Band width: 0.9-1.7 GHz
- 9. BB Voltage : 0.12 kV
- 10. BB Frequency: 5 MHz (T=200 nsec) for Fixed barrier Case 10 MHz (T=100 nsec) for Moving barrier case
- 11. Injection Kicker Pulse Width: 200~300 nsec
- 12. Transverse emittance (rms) : 1.25 Pi mm.mrad (constant)

Comparison of Experimental and Simulation Results of Momentum Cooling at ESR

Experimental Results 36Ar18+ 390 MeV/u

Simulation Results with Fokker Planck code



(Ref) F. Nolden et al., EPAC 2000

Fixed Barrier Case Vbb=120 V, Stochastic Cooling Gain=120dB





Phase Space Mapping



Moving Barrier Case, Gain=120dB Vbb=120V, le=0A



Moving Barrier Case, Gain=120 dB Vbb=120V, Cycle time=20 sec, Kicker period=200 nsec, with Electron Cooler le=0.3 A



Accumulation Efficiency (green) Accumulated particle number (red)

Momentum Cooling of Ar18+ 400 MeV/u Beam at ESR at Moving Barrier Case



Moving Barrier Case



Particle distribution along the Ring Circumference





Time=20.0 sec



ESR Moving Barrier Case, Gain=120 dB Vbb=2kV, le=0 A

(2 kV BB system is under construction & Experiment could be in 2014)



Beam Accumulation & Short Bunch Formation at NICA Collider

1. Beam Accumulation

Accumulation of 197Au79+ beam in the Collider from Nuclotronup to N=2.4e10 (24 batchs). Barrier bucket system with stochastic and/or electron cooling is envisaged.

2. Short Bunch Formation

Short bunch formation with RF and beam cooling. The goal of expected bunch length=1ns (rms).

3. Compensation of IBS Diffusion

At the collider mode, the Intra Beam Scattering diffusion effects have to be compensated by beam cooling.







Short Bunch Formation from the Accumulated Coasting Beam at 3.5 GeV/u (First Step Harmonic=24)

Initial beam parameters after Barrier Bucket Accumulation Dp/p (rms)=4e-4 (Gaussian) Bunch shape=Coasting beam (Uniform Random)

1. RF voltage is increased from 0 to 200 kV (harmonic=24) with time constant 5 sec for the adiabatic bunching.

2. Gain of stochastic cooling system is reduced from the initial value 90dB to 75 dB within time constant 250 sec.

Evolution of Rms Bunch Length & Dp/p

Evolution of IBS Heating Term & Dp/p



Short Bunch Formation from the Accumulated Coasting Beam at 3.5 GeV/u (Second Step Harmonic=96)

Initial beam parameters after 1st Step of Short Bunch Formation Dp/p (rms)=4.01e-4 (rms) Bunch length=0.56m (rms)

Gain of stochastic cooling system is kept constant as 80 dB.
 RF voltage is increased from 50 kV to 500 kV (harmonic=96) with time constant 1 sec for the adiabatic bunching.

Final Beam Parameters after 100 sec cooling 1. Bunch length=0.275 m (rms), 0.94 nsec (rms) 2. Dp/p=5.9e-4 (rms)

Vrf=50-500 kV (Adiabatic increase within 1 sec)



Comparison of Results by Lars and Takeshi

Bunch length scale enlarged 0.002 0.002 nittance.dat" u 3:11 nittance.dat" u 3:11 ittance dat" u 3.9 ittance dat" u 35 0.8 coasting beam method, T. Katayama 0.0015 0.0015 Rms Bunch Length (m) Rms Bunch Length (m) 5 Rms Value of Dp/p à **Red: Bunch length** 0.6 5 Value 0.001 0.001 Green: Dp/p (rms) 3 0.4 Coasting beam method, T. Katayama Rms 2 0.0005 0.0005 0.2 1 ° ò 0 100 200 300 400 500 600 100 300 400 500 0 200 Time (sec) Time (sec) 0.002 0,002 length length dp/p dp/p time -domain method, sim. initial gain -0.0022/turn, 3e-09 2e-08 nica init. gain -4.4e-8/turn, 20 000 sim. particles, window +- 8 ns, pc sim. time 28 hrs. 0.0015 0.0015 2.5e-09 Exponentially decreasing gain over first 250 s 1.5e-08 by -15 db, afterwards constant gain bunchlength rms s 2e-09 ounchlength rms dp/p rms d⊳∕p rms small bunch shape oscillations caused 0,001 0,001 time-domain method by the sped up simulation cooling by 1.5e-09 1e-08 factor 5e4. 1e-09 0.0005 0.0005 5e-09 5e-10 Ĥ 0 0 100 400 500 100 200 300 400 500 600 Û 200 300 600 Ó nicatime s nicatime s

L. Thorndahl: Contribution to this workshop

Beam Bunching Experiment with Stochastic Cooling at COSY (Ref.) T. Katayama et al.,IPAC10 in Kyoto



Suppression of Beam Heating due to IBS with Stochastic Cooling (Vrf=500 kV)

3.5 GeV/u 197Au79+ , N=1e9/bunch



Initial increase from 6e-4 to 1.2e-3 is due to the bunching with re-capture of the beam by the 500 kV RF field. Initial reduction from 3e-9 to 1.6e-9 is due to the bunching with re-capture of the beam by the 500 kV RF field.

Space charge electric field and potential

In the Laboratory Reference Frame, the longitudinal electric field due to the space charge is given by

$$E_{z}(z) = -\frac{g}{4\pi\varepsilon_{0}\gamma^{2}}\frac{\partial\rho(z)}{\partial z} \qquad g = 1 + 2.0\ln(b/a)$$

where g is the geometric factor. From this electric field the energy variation of ions per unit time is derived and the synchrotron motion is represented by following equation.

$$\frac{d\Delta E}{dt} = \frac{Z}{A} \frac{V_{rf}}{T_0} - E_{cool} - \frac{Z}{A} \frac{g}{4\pi\varepsilon_0 \gamma^2} \frac{d\rho}{d\tau}$$
$$\frac{d\tau}{dt} = \frac{\eta}{\beta^2} \frac{\Delta E}{E_0}$$

Here the Vrf means the external RF field, Ecool the cooling effects and the 3rd term in the right hand side shows the space charge effects. Z is the charge state of ion and A the mass number. Particle tracking has been done with Particle-In-Cell method.

Space Charge Effects during BB stacking of 1.5 GeV/u 197Au79+ ion in NICA Collider



Space Charge Effects during Short Bunch Formation of 1.5 GeV/u 197Au79+ ion in NICA Collider



Summary & Outlook

1. Beam accumulation with barrier bucket system assisted with stochastic and/or electron cooling is proved to be quite useful way to obtain the required beam intensity in the storage ring and the collider such as HESR and NICA. The results of simulation and POP experiment at GSI ESR are well in agreement.

2. To attain the high luminosity in the collider, the short bunch formation has be attained with the application of high RF voltage and beam cooling, stochastic cooling for high energy and electron cooling for low energy ion. Careful adjustment/reduction of cooling gain is essential to get the short bunch length as well as momentum spread. The onset of instability of the short bunch is found in the Lars simulation. Further study of theory, simulation and experiment is necessary to assure the short bunch formation such as 1 nsec(rms).