

6D Cooling in Periodic Lattices (Inc. Guggenheim)




R. B. Palmer, J. S. Berg, R. Fernow, D. Stratakis
(BNL)

COOL13 Muerren

6/10/13


- Introduction
- Guggenheim & other RFOFO Lattices
- Helical FOFO Snake
- Design of an early stage Planar Snake
- Design of late stage Planar Snake
- Conclusion

Cooling Scheme



- Guggenheim designs have met these requirements on paper
- Current densities high & forces challenging (may not be possible)
- Motivating search for alternative lattices


Transverse Cooling



$$\epsilon_{\perp} \propto \beta_{\perp} = \frac{k}{B}$$


How to get a low k

Emittance Exchange




Basic Lattices

- FOFO (Focus-Focus)
 - simply periodic
 - phase advance $\pi > \phi$
 - used in **Final 4D cooling**



- SFOFO/RFOFO (Super-Focus-Focus)
 - bi-periodic
 - phase advance $2\pi > \phi > \pi$
 - used in **Guggenheim**




- Higher Tune
 - e.g. FOFO with transverse kicks (Helical FOFO Snake)
 - e.g. RFOFO with transverse kicks (Planar Snake)
 - both use phase advance $3\pi > \phi > 2\pi$

Geometries


1. Ring

- Injection hard




2. Guggenheim

- Simulation and magnetic shielding hard




3. Balbekov Rectilinear RFOFO

- Forces outward & hard
- Only one charge



4. Helical FOFO Snake (Alexahin)


- Axial forces are balanced
- Cools both signs



new


5. Planar SFOFO Snake

- Forces inward
- Cools both signs




Guggenheim & other RFOFO Lattices (1, 2, 3)

eg last stage of Stratakis Guggenheim



- Coils on either side of absorber are bucking
- Current densities (222 A/mm^2) at limit of HTS conductors
- Forces are outward & no space for supports
- Cools only one sign and requires wedge absorbers


#4 Helical FOFO Snake (Alexahin)



$$B = 2.3 \text{ T}$$

$$L = 600 \text{ cm}$$


$$D_x (\text{max}) = 5 \text{ cm}$$



- Because absorbers are at beta maxima ($\approx 70 \text{ cm}$)
- Scaling to needed final beta of 2.4 requires

$$B = \frac{70}{2.4} \times 2.3 = 67(\text{T})$$



Concept #5: RFOFO lattice without bucking



- Coils on either side of absorber are not bucking
- Lower current densities (153 A/mm^2) for a smaller beta (30 cm)
- Forces inward and easy to support
- Without tilts for dipole fields this lattice works well
- But we must add the dipoles to achieve emittance exchange

The difficulty this concept

- Without bending all cells have identical focusing ($\propto B^2$)
- With bending (required for dispersion) the symmetry is broken and a resonance exists in the center of the pass band
- We use the wider space 2π to 3π : giving less momentum acceptance, but seems ok



Simulation method used for study


In order to rapidly explore multiple options:

1. Used 3D fields derived by ICOOL from given fields on the axis (straight or curved)
2. Assumed solenoid fields on that axis to be the same as coils on the axis of a straight lattice without dipoles, or tilts
3. Assumed dipole fields (obtained by tilting the solenoids) to be the same as the dipole fields multiplied by the small tilt angle
4. In both cases (solenoid and dipole) the fields on the axis are assumed to be described by Fourier sums

Note that subsequent simulations with real field maps has confirmed this to be a good approximation

Study of early stage Non-bucking Planar Snake

An early stage using 201 MHz



Dipole fields obtained by tilting all coils by 18 mrad

Parameters


gap	start	dl	rad	dr	tilt	I/A
m	m	m	m	m	rad	A/mm ²
0.500	0.500	0.500	0.770	0.110	0.007	62.22
0.750	1.750	0.500	0.770	0.110	0.018	-65.45
0.500	3.250	0.500	0.770	0.110	0.007	-62.22
0.750	4.500	0.500	0.770	0.110	0.018	65.45

Hydrogen absorber 42.6 cm long, radius 18 cm

Hydrogen window of 0.5 mm aluminum


rf: 6 pillbox cavities, 33 cm long, 201.25 MHz, 17 MV/m, Initial phase 30 degrees (no rf windows)

Betas vs. momentum (Scott)



Acceptance extends far into the 2π resonance at 230 MeV/c


Angular dispersion vs. momentum (Scott)



This is a very non-linear angular dispersion enhanced by the 2π resonance at 230 MeV/c but works well


Acceptance with tilts

With no absorbers or rf, use ICOOL to propagate through 550 m ICOOL using above Fourier description of fields on axis




This is better than many Guggenheims


Details vs length




- Momenta drop in absorbers
- And re-accelerated between them in rf



- B fields large at absorbers
- Because solenoids on either side add




- Betas very small at absorbers (30 cm)
- But large between them (≈ 120 cm)




- x and y dispersions are large (30 cm), but small at absorbers
- But x angular dispersion is large at absorbers and gives emittance exchange with flat absorbers

ICOOOL Simulation of cooling



Good cooling in all 6 dimensions

Simulations with real field maps




Fair agreements: ICOOL + Fourier, ICOOL + map, G4BL + map
Better transmission & transverse cooling, slightly less longitudinal

Designing a Late Stage Planar Snake

- Equilibrium emittance $\propto \beta_{\perp}$ reduced by:
 1. reducing all dimensions while increasing $B \propto 1/L$
 2. concentrate bending near absorber, although this reduces mom acceptance
- Reduce cell length: 275 \rightarrow 38.5 (cm)
- Increase rf frequency: 201 \rightarrow 805 (MHz)
- Shorten rf while increasing its gradient making space for more coils
- Raise axial field: 2.1 \rightarrow 24 (T)
- Judiciously concentrate high field near absorbers to decrease beta at the price of reduced momentum acceptance
- Use largest coil blocks to minimize current densities

Late 6D Cooling Cell Design




Parameters for late 6D cooling stage

gap m	start m	dl m	rad m	dr m	tilt mrad	I/A A/mm ²
0.014	0.014	0.070	0.042	0.119	12.0	176.47
-0.070	0.014	0.154	0.168	0.161	12.0	208.11
0.049	0.217	0.154	0.168	0.161	12.0	-208.11
-0.070	0.301	0.070	0.042	0.119	12.0	-176.47
0.028	0.399	0.070	0.042	0.119	12.0	-176.47
-0.070	0.399	0.154	0.168	0.161	12.0	-208.11
0.049	0.602	0.154	0.168	0.161	12.0	208.11
-0.070	0.686	0.070	0.042	0.119	12.0	176.47



	material	length cm	radius cm	freq. MHz	grad MV/m	phase deg.
Half absorber	Liquid H ₂	2.2	2.5			
Absorber window	Aluminum	0.01	2.5			
Gap	Vacuum	8.04	5			
rf cavity	Vacuum	9.0	14	805	35	15
rf cavity	Vacuum	9.0	14	805	35	15
Gap	Vacuum	8.04	5			
Absorber window	Aluminum	0.01	2.5			
Half absorber	Liquid H ₂	2.2	2.5			

ICOOL Simulation (using Fourier method)



Meets emittance requirements;
transmission should improve with optimization

ICOOOL simulation now with field map



Transverse and transmission in good agreement; longitudinal better

Conclusion

- This lattice was conceived to reduce current densities for late stages, but was tested first in an early 201 MHz stage
- Large dispersions (20-35 cm) are seen with small tilts (0.5 - 1 deg.) from the 2π resonance at the high momentum end
- This gives emittance exchange and 6D cooling of both signs with flat absorbers
- This is similar to Yuri Alexahin's Helical FOFO Snake, but is here planar and uses an RFOFO lattice giving low betas at the absorbers.
- This result has been confirmed using field maps in both ICOOL and G4BeamLine
- A late stage lattice has reached the specified emittances
- With current densities consistent with YBCO HTS conductors
- Forces between coils, being inward, should be manageable