From HERA to future electron-ion colliders

Vadim Ptitsyn Collider-Accelerator Department BNL

HERA – first lepton-proton collider



Double ring collider (6.3 km) Completing its operation this year 920 GeV (p) X 27.5 GeV (e⁻, e⁺) 320 GeV center0f-mass energy Longitudinal lepton polarization Superconducting proton ring





PAC 2007

06/27/07

HERA – first lepton-proton collider





Double ring collider (6.3 km) Completing its operation this year 920 GeV (p) X 27.5 GeV (e⁻, e⁺) 320 GeV center0f-mass energy Longitudinal lepton polarization Superconducting proton ring

Selection of physics results:

 precise data on details of the proton structure
 the discovery of very high density of sea quarks and gluons present in the proton at low-x
 detailed data on electro-weak electron-quark interactions

> precision tests of QCD (α_s measurements)

06/27/07



Physics scope of lepton-ion colliders after HERA

Different Center-of-Mass Energy -> Different kinematic regions
Higher Luminosity -> Precision data
Polarized beams -> Spin structure of nucleons (still a puzzle!)
Ions up to large A -> Color Glass Condensate (state of extreme gluon densities)

QCD dynamics in much greater details

Also, search for new physics: leptoquarks ... (high CME)



Future collider designs



Parameter table

	HERA		eRHIC ring-ring		eRHIC ERL-based		ELIC		LHeC	
	р	е	р	е	р	е	р	е	р	е
Energy, GeV	920	27.5	250	10	250	10	225	9	7000	70
Bunch frequency, MHz	10.4		14.1		14.1		1500		40	
Bunch intensity, 10 ¹¹	0.72	0.29	1	2.3	2	1.2	0.04	0.075	1.7	0.14
Beam current, A	0.1	0.04	0.21	0.48	0.42	0.26	1	1.8	0.54	0.07
Rms emittance,x/y, nm	5.1/5.1	20/3.4	9.5/9.5	53/9.5	3.8	1.0	5.1/0.2	5.1/0.2	0.5/0.5	7.6/3.8
β*, x/y, cm	245/18	63/26	108/27	19/27	26	100	0.5/0.5	0.5/0.5	180/50	13/7
Beam size at IP, x/y, μm	112/30		100/50		32/32		5/1		31/16	
Max beam-beam parameter per IP	0.0012	0.037	0.015	0.08	0.015	2.3	0.0064	0.086	0.0008	0.05
Bunch length, cm	19	1	20	1.2	20	1	0.5	0.5	7.6	0.7
Polarization, %	0	45	70	80	70	>80	>70	80	0	0
Peak Luminosity, 10 ³³ cm ⁻² s ⁻¹	0.04		0.47		2.6		75		1.1	

06/27/07



IR design



Taking into account HERA (and B-factories) experience to resolve IR design issues: → Strong beam focusing

Fast separation (avoiding parasitic beam-beam)
 Managing synchrotron radiation fan (absorbers, collimators, masks locations; precise orbit control)

IR Vacuum (beam conditioning, adequate pumping, avoiding HOM heating)
 Detector integration



HERA type half quadrupole used in eRHIC and LHeC designs

Lambertson quadrupole is a part of ELIC IR



06/27/07

PAC 2007

Special IR magnet designs

IR design features

	Distance to nearest magnet from IP	Beam separation	y/x beam size ratio	
eRHIC ERL-based	3 m	Detector integrated dipole	1	
eRHIC ring-ring	1 m	Combined field quadrupoles	0.5	
ELIC	3 m	Cross. Angle 30 mrad	0.2	
LHeC	1.2 m	Cross. Angle 2 mrad	0.5	

ELIC and LHeC: Crossing Angle + Crab Crossing

20-25 MV transverse voltage (for protons)
R&D for crab cavities:
Cavity design for high current operation
Phase and amplitude stability tolerances
Evaluation of beam dynamics effects

KEKB Crab Cavity Commissioning this year

06/27/07



Beam-beam interactions

eRHIC and ELIC designs aim at considerably higher beam-beam parameters than achieved at HERA ($\xi_p = 0.0012$, $\xi_e = 0.04$).

 $\xi_{\rm p}$ = 0.012 achieved in RHIC polarized proton operation $\xi_{\rm e}$ > 0.08 in e+e- factories

ELIC e-ring:

large synchrotron tune -> eliminating nonlinear synchro-betatron resonances
 equidistant betatron phase advance between IPs

eRHIC ring-ring: 2-D strong-strong simulation confirmed feasibility of design parameters (J. Shi et al.)



06/27/07



Beam-beam interactions

eRHIC and ELIC designs aim at considerably higher beam-beam parameters than achieved at HERA ($\xi_p = 0.0012$, $\xi_e = 0.04$).

 $\xi_{\rm p}$ = 0.012 achieved in RHIC polarized proton operation $\xi_{\rm e}$ > 0.08 in e+e- factories

ELIC e-ring:
 large synchrotron tune -> eliminating nonlinear synchro-betatron resonances
 equidistant betatron phase advance between <u>IPs</u>

eRHIC ring-ring: 2-D strong-strong simulation confirmed feasibility of design parameters (J. Shi et al.)

eRHIC ERL-based: e-beam disruption, kink instability, e-beam parameter fluctuations have been studied (Y. Hao et al, this conference)

Further beam-beam simulations are planned.



06/27/07



Specific design issues

>Matching beam cross sections at the IP for different collision energies.

eRHIC ERL-based: variable β^* eRHIC ring-ring : variable electron emittance + variable β^* ELIC: variable ion normalized transverse emittance (e-cooling)

Matching bunch frequencies for various ion energies.
Ion revolution frequency varies with the energy.

eRHIC ERL-based: within tuning range of linac RF cavities ($\Delta f/f < 10^{-3}$) eRHIC ring-ring: e-ring circumference lengthening (by 20cm) by mechanically moving arcs ("trombone") ELIC: "clocking" -> variation of ion RF harmonic number; ~1.2cm maximum orbit offset in the arcs.



eRHIC, ion beam

RHIC -> 7 years of operation involving polarized protons, d, Cu and Au ions Only polarized proton collider in the world. 100 GeV operation so far. 250 GeV operation planned in near future.



eRHIC, ion beam

RHIC -> 7 years of operation involving polarized protons, d, Cu and Au ions Only polarized proton collider in the world. 100 GeV operation so far. 250 GeV operation planned in near future.

For eRHIC:

- >Increase of number of bunches: 111 -> 166 -> (332?) (Injector system; e-cloud)
- > Polarized ³He production (EBIS) and acceleration.
- >Possibility of parallel operation with ion-ion and lepton-ion collisions

eRHIC luminosity will benefit from ion beam cooling techniques:
> Longitudinal stochastic -> used in the heavy ion operation this year
> RHIC-II electron cooling facility under design

eRHIC ZDR (2004); "eRHIC Accelerator Design Position Paper" for LRP (2007) BNL and MIT-Bates collaboration

06/27/07



eRHIC ERL-based design

3-10 GeV polarized electrons (with possible upgrade to 20 GeV)
~300m long energy recovery linac
Recirculation passes in the RHIC tunnel
Advantages:

>Much higher electron beam-beam limit

>Multiple working points

Polarization transparency (at all energies)

>No spin rotator needed

>IR design (small emittance, round beam)

>Full advantage of cooling techniques



ERL-based eRHIC R&D

Energy recovery technology for high energy (10 GeV) and high current (0.25A) beams.

Acceleration structure is based on 704 MHz 5-cell SRF cavity, designed for RHIC electron cooling. Energy recovery, beam loss tolerances, cavity protection system, beam recirculation issues. ERL test facility at BNL under construction.

High intensity polarized electron source

Development of large cathode guns with existing current densities \sim 50 mA/cm² and acceptable cathode lifetime. (MIT-Bates)

Positron design options:

compact self-polarized ring
ILC type positron production
recirculating pass as storage ring

Design of recirculation passes:

Small aperture magnets (V.Litvinenko)FFAG type pass (D.Trbojevic, this confer.)





eRHIC ring-ring



5-10 GeV polarized electrons (positrons) Peak L = 4.7 10³² cm⁻² s⁻¹ (10(e) X 250(p) Gev) Peak L = 0.8 10³² cm⁻² s⁻¹ (5(e) X 50(e) GeV)

- > 10 GeV, 0.5 A e-ring with 1/3 of RHIC circumference (1278m)
- > Injector variants: recirculating linac (warm or cold), figure-8 booster.
- Polarized e⁻ (from the source) and e⁺ (self-polarized, 20min at 10 GeV)
- > Polarization is not available at all lepton energies because of spin resonances
- y_{co} rms < 150 μm : closed orbit (and misalignment) tolerances for 80% polarization level</p>

06/27/07



eRHIC ring-ring features

e-ring lattice based on superbends (triplet dipole):

> Improves luminosity at lower electron energies.

➢Polarized positrons down to 5 GeV (self-polarization time ~5min)



Electron emittance variation:

~60 nm for 10(e)x250(p) GeV -- ~160 nm for 5(e)x50(p) GeV. Realized by superbend field variation and FODO cell phase adjustments.

Engineering developments:

≻High-heat load vaccum chamber. (Linear radiation power exceeds 15 kW/m)

- > Electron ring circumference adjustments
- > Design of superbend magnets

BROOKHAVEN ATIONAL LABORATORY

06/27/07

ELIC



ELIC ZDR (Draft) and Y. Zhang's talk

 $E_{p} = 30-225 \text{ GeV}; E_{ions} = 15-100 \text{ GeV/n}$ $E_{e} = 3-9 \text{ GeV}$ $Peak L \sim 7.5 \ 10^{34} \text{ cm}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1} \ (9 \ (e) \ X \ 225 \ (p) \ \text{GeV})$ $Peak L \sim 8. \ 10^{33} \text{ cm}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1} \ (3 \ (e) \ X \ 30 \ (p) \ \text{GeV})$

>"Figure-8" design of ion and lepton storage rings: polarization preservation at II energies.

Snakes, solenoids and control vertical orbit distortions for the manipulation of spin orientation at IPs.

Very high luminosity approach: moderate bunch intensity, short ion bunches, strong focusing and high bunch repetition rate.

>Four interaction regions

> The operation compatible with 12 GeV CEBAF operation for fixed target program.

PAC 2007



06/27/07

ELIC R&D items

High energy electron cooling (for efficient longitudinal and transverse cooling of protons up to 225 GeV)

Detector data acquisition and triggering for high bunch rate (1.5 GHz)

≻Crab Crossing

Stability of intense ion beams



e-cooling design based on circulator ring



LHeC



Luminosity limitation: large RF Power to replenish synchrotron radiation loss 50MW -> 70 mA maximum lepton current >70 Gev (e) X 7 TeV (p) -> 1.4 TeV CME >Peak L = $1.1 \ 10^{33} \text{ cm}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$

>e-ion collisions at IP8 (after completion of LHCB experiment)

Proton (ion) beam parameters -> same as for LHC

Lepton (e-, e+) ring above existing LHC rings

>Injection system identical to LEP

>No major technological developments needed

Conceptual design presented in DESY 06-006, Cockroft 06-05 report by J.B. Dainton, F. Willeke, et al.

06/27/07



Summary

•Several designs of the lepton-ion colliders are under development, including eRHIC at BNL, ELIC at JLab and LHeC at CERN.

•The collider designers are using the experience obtained during years of HERA operation.

• In the same time new ideas and technologies are applied in the accelerator design which should allow to achieve considerably higher luminosities.

•At the end the cost and the importance of the physics that can be explored at a particular collider will be important factors for a success of one or another design.

Acknowledgements to: I. Ben-Zvi, J.B. Dainton, A. Fedotov, J. Keil, Y. Hao, V.N. Litvinenko, L. Merminga, C. Montag, T. Roser, D. Trbojevic, Y. Zhang.

