

PAC 07, Albuquerque, NM, June 27, 2007

Operational Experience with HERA

Joachim Keil / DESY

On behalf of the HERA team





Contents

- Introduction
- HERA II Luminosity Production
- Experiences with HERA
 - Persistent current effects
 - Luminosity
 - Beam dynamics limitations
 - Lepton polarization
 - Operational efficiency
- Summary

Layout of HERA

Hadron Electron Ring Accelerator

- Double ring e[±]/p collider, circumference 6.336 km
- 920 GeV protons
- 27.5 GeV electrons/positrons
- Polarized e[±] beam
- Experiments:
 - H1, ZEUS: protons on electrons in two IPs
 - HERMES: electrons on internal polarized gas target
 - HERA-B: protons on internal wire target (until 2003)



HERA e/p Interaction Region



Polarized Lepton Beam

- HERA experiments need longitudinal polarization at the IPs
- Equilibrium polarization in vertical direction in the arcs is balance of
 - Radiative self-polarization
 - Spin diffusion (non flat machine, uncompensated solenoids of experiments,...)
- Pairs of spin rotators turn vertical spins into longitudinal before the IPs and back







HERA Parameters

| Parameter | Unit | Positrons | Protons | |
|---|---|-----------|-----------|---|
| Energy <i>E</i> | GeV | 27.5 | 920 | Limitations: |
| Max. current / | mA | 44 | 112 | For e ⁺ : RF power For p : pre-accelerators |
| Number of (colliding) bunches <i>n</i> _b | | 180 (173) | 180 (173) | |
| Horizontal emittance ε_x | π·nm·rad | 22 | 3.8 | |
| Vertical emittance ε_y | π·nm·rad | 3.0 | 3.8 | |
| Horizontal beta function at IP β_{x}^{*} | m | 0.63 | 2.45 | For e ⁺ : without dynamic |
| Vertical beta function at IP β_y^* | m | 0.26 | 0.18 | beam-beam beta-beating |
| Bunch length $\sigma_{\rm p}$ | cm | 1.03 | 13 | |
| Hourglass factor R | | 0.95 | | |
| Specific luminosity <i>L</i> _s | mA ⁻² ·cm ⁻² ·s ⁻¹ | 1.6 – 2.1 | | Design: 1.82 |
| Peak Luminosity <i>L</i> | 10 ³¹ cm ⁻² ·s ⁻¹ | 5.1 | | r |
| Beam lifetime in collisions $	au$ | h | 10-15 | 200 | |
| Polarization P | | 40-50% | | |

HERA II Luminosity Production

- The HERA II run has delivered 600 pb⁻¹ to the experiments
- The integrated luminosity was equally split between e⁺/e⁻ operation
- The luminosity production has increased during the years
 - 2002/03: current limitations by background conditions
 - Make use of dynamic beta beating effect
 - Higher availability
- At the request of the experiments HERA has been operated in the last 3 months with reduced proton energies
 - E_{p} =460 GeV for two months
 - E_{p} =575 GeV for one month



Critical Issues of HERA Operation

- HERA-p:
 - Sextupole field distortions at injection (persistent current in s.c. magnets)
 small dynamic aperture at 40 GeV; head-tail instability
 - Ground vibrations and power supply ripple ⇒ proton background
 - Longitudinal multi bunch instability ⇒ proton bunch lengthening
 - Matched beam sizes ⇒ otherwise low p-lifetime
 - Vacuum conditions in the IR are critical
 - Luminosity limited by p-beam brightness (injectors, BB-limit of leptons)

• HERA-e:

- Synchro-betatron resonances limit space in tune diagram
- Good orbit control (global + local at IP) necessary (synchrotron radiation)
- Lifetime disruptions for e⁻-operation ("dust")
- Beam-beam interaction has strong influence on polarization
- Luminosity limited by RF power

Persistent Current Effects

- Sextupole field distortions during proton acceleration
 - persistent currents induced in 400 s.c. magnets
 - First part of ramp: fast change of chromaticity
 - If $\xi < 0$: Head tail instability
 - If $\xi > 5$: Dynamic aperture small
- To correct this effect HERA uses
 - 1. Measured field in two reference magnets
 - 2. Additional empirical correction (ramp table)
 - 3. Optimization 'by hand' using the tune spectrum







Persistent current sextupole field error

Synchro-Betratron Resonances of HERA-e

Operating tunes for HERA-e:

Injection and Ramp

- Sufficient dynamic aperture
- No polarization

Luminosity Run

- Resonance free region to accommodate beam-beam tune shift (ΔQ_x ≤ 0.04, ΔQ_y≤0.10 with 2 IPs)
- Space limited by strong 2nd and 3rd order synchro-betatron resonances, coupling resonance Q_x-Q_y and 4Q_y resonance
- Small betatron tunes are necessary to maximize distance between intrinsic depolarizing resonances



Cure for 2Q_s resonance: Orbit and dispersion control, orbit feedback

Cure for 3Q_s resonance:

Optics with intrinsic compensation of nonlinear chromaticity contributions from the 2 IPs

Peak and Specific Luminosity



- Since e⁻ operation in 2005: Take advantage of beam-beam beta beating to get smaller beta functions at the IPs: standard tunes for e⁻, mirror tunes for e⁺
- Max. specific Luminosity: $L_s=2.2\cdot10^{30}$ cm⁻²mA⁻²s⁻¹ (with e⁻)
- Peak luminosity achieved: L=5.1.10³¹ cm⁻²s⁻¹

Control of e-Orbit Oscillations at IPs

- Orbit oscillations of the electron beam relative to the p-beam can increase
 - the proton beam emittance
 - the proton halo production
- The HERA orbit spectrum is dominated by frequencies between 0-20 Hz + harmonics of 50 Hz
- A local IP feedback was implemented in short time using local symmetric bumps (16 new air coils) and 8 BPMs (new electronics) to stabilize the e-orbit positions x_{IP} & y_{IP} at the IPs between 0-20 Hz
- The sampling frequency is 800 Hz
- The bandwidth is limited by eddy currents in the HERA vacuum chamber to B < 35 Hz







4 hor.+4 ver. air coils at each IP



4 BPMs at each IP

Control of Proton Bunch Length

- P-bunches get longer during acceleration due to longitudinal multi-bunch instability
 Reduction in luminosity
- Cure: feedback system
- System is running routinely and provides initial proton bunch lengths corresponding to the design value
- Poster: J. Randhahn et al., MOPANI018







Polarization with e⁺/p Collisions



- Strong influence of beam-beam effect on polarization observed
- Polarization grows slowly during run
- Reason: proton emittance growth
 decaying beam-beam tune shift



After optimization of energy, vertical orbit, dispersion functions and harmonic bumps:

- Polarization of non-colliding bunches: 50-60%
- Polarization of colliding bunches:
 - HER (920 GeV): 40% (e⁻), 45% (e⁺)
 - LER (460 GeV) & MER (575 GeV): 50% (e⁺)

HERA Operational Efficiency

- HERA availability is a major issue
- HERA is a slow ramping machine
 - P-injection + p-ramp: 1 h
 - E-injection + e-ramp: 0.5 h
 - Magnet cycling + setup of luminosity: 0.5 h
 - ⇒ at least 2 hours lost if a beam loss happens during a luminosity run
- HERA availability has increased to ~80% in 2006/07 due to
 - Preventive maintenance (all power components)
 - More fault diagnostics (transient recorder)
 - Improved controls (tune controller using wavelets analysis, etc.)
 - Organizational measures (on-call service, operator training)
- Main technical problems
 - RF transmitters
 - Power supplies
 - Vacuum leaks





Summary

- The operation of HERA will end on June 30, 2007.
- The HERA II run has delivered an integrated luminosity of 600 pb⁻¹ in 6 years (HERA I: 200 pb⁻¹) equally split between e⁺/e⁻ operation.
- An average luminosity production of 1 pb⁻¹/day has been achieved for HERA II.
- The peak luminosity of the HERA II run was 5.1.10³¹ cm⁻²s⁻¹
- A polarization of the lepton beam between 40-50% has been achieved.
- For the last three months HERA has been running for a dedicated experiment with reduced proton energy of 460 and 575 GeV to measure the longitudinal structure function F_L
- The rich physics program of HERA gave a deep insight in the structure of the proton and the polarized gluon contents.

Many thanks to

M. Bieler, F. Brinker, M. Hoffmann, B. Holzer, A. Kling, E. Kot, G. Kube, M. Lomperski, B. Nagorny, E. Negodin, M. Vogt, R. Wagner, R. Wanzenberg, F. Willeke, ...

... and of course to the HERA technical groups!