

SELF-CONSISTENT 3D MODELING OF ELECTRON CLOUD DYNAMICS AND BEAM RESPONSE

Miguel A. Furman Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory

PAC07 – TUXAB03 Albuquerque, NM, June 26, 2007



C. M. Celata, M. Kireeff-Covo, K. G. Sonnad, J.-L. Vay, M. Venturini (*Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory*)

R. H. Cohen, A. Friedman, D. P. Grote, A. W. Molvik, (*Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory*)

P. H. Stoltz (Tech-X Corporation)

<u>Disclaimer</u>: most of the work here was done by my collaborators. For questions, contact: modeling and simul.: J.-L. Vay (jlvay@lbl.gov) experimental: A. Molvik (<u>awmolvik@lbl.gov</u>)

TUXAB01, M. Kireeff-Covo et. al.; THPAS049, A. W. Molvik et. al.; TUPMN108, C. Celata et. al. THPMN118, M. Venturini et. al.; FRPMS028, K. G. Sonnad et. al.; THPAS050, W. M. Sharp et. al.





Model and simulations

Benchmarking against experiments

Application to high energy physics storage rings

Lorentz-boosted frame (new computational algorithm)

Summary

Context



The work reported pertains to electron clouds in:

Heavy-ion fusion science

Long pulses, space-charge dominated heavy-ion beams

High-energy physics

Intense bunches, significant electron sources

Focus on 3D effects

- Important for long pulses (encompass many lattice elements)
- In HEP: wigglers

Self-consistency (SC):

- Various degrees of SC
 - Basic: beam-ecloud mutual effects
 - Full SC: residual gas ionization, beam losses and scraping, charge exchange, gas desorption,...

Sources of electrons





Code WARP-POSINST physics modules



- WARP = 3D self-consistent PIC code for beam transport
- POSINST = 2D ecloud build-up code with detailed secondary electron emission models
- Combined WARP-POSINST has:
 - Beam transport through arbitrary lattice (E & M)
 - Arbitrary chamber shape (perfect conductor BC's)
 - Space-charge effects
 - Gas ionization
 - Gas desorption off the walls and gas transport
 - Charge-exchange reactions
 - Primary and secondary electron emission sources
 - Tracking of electrons

WARP code computational features

- 3D self-consistent PIC code
- Adaptive mesh refinement (AMR) --two kinds
- Field solvers: FFT, capacity matrix, multigrid
- New efficient electron mover
- Boundaries: "cut-cell" --- no restriction to "Legos"
- Bends: "warped" coordinates; no reference orbit
- Lattice: general; takes MAD input
 - solenoids, dipoles, quads, sextupoles, ...
 - arbitrary fields, RF acceleration
- Diagnostics: Extensive snapshots and histories
- Parallel: MPI
- Python and Fortran: "steerable," input decks are programs
- Optional simpler modes of operation:
 - 2D (x,y), or (r,z)
 - Build-up mode (BUM) (nondynamical beam), 2D or 3D
 - Quasi-static mode (QSM) --see below



WARP-POSINST has unique features





+ Adaptive Mesh Refinement



+ Novel e⁻ mover

Allows large time step greater than cyclotron period with smooth transition from magnetized to nonmagnetized regions





• ion-induced electron emission and cross-sections from the TxPhysics* module from Tech-X corporation (<u>http://www.txcorp.com/technologies/TxPhysics</u>),



• ion-induced neutral emission developed by J. Verboncoeur (UC-Berkeley).

Experiments at HCX (Berkeley Lab.)





Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory

WARP & experiments on electrons in quadrupole



PAC07-TUXAB03: M. Furman "3D Self-consistent...

Quasi-static mode (QSM)





A 2D slab of electrons (macroparticles) is stepped backward (with small time steps) through the beam field and 2-D electron fields are stacked in a 3-D array, that is used to push the 3-D beam ions (with large time steps) using maps (as in HEADTAIL-CERN) or Leap-Frog (as in QUICKPIC-UCLA/USC).

This beam-ecloud interaction occurs at several discrete "stations" along the ring.

PAC07-TUXAB03: M. Furman "3D Self-consistent..."



Rationale

- we had the building blocks
- we need to reproduce HEP codes results for meaningful comparisons

Comparison WARP-QSM/HEADTAIL on CERN benchmark

http://ab-abp-rlc.web.cern.ch/ab%2Dabp%2Drlc%2Decloud/



WARP/QSM: LHC simulation



- Compute emittance growth per turn
- Dependence on the number of ecloud stations N_{stn}
- $E_b = 450 \text{ GeV}, N_b = 1.1 \times 10^{11}, n_e = 10^{14} \text{ m}^{-3}$
- Continuous focusing, tunes =(64.28, 59.31)
- Conclusion: need N_{stn} =~ several times the tune for convergence

 i.e., resolve λ_β (as expected)



WARP/POSINST applied to LHC FODO cell



• LARP program: simulation of e-cloud in LHC Proof of principle fully self-consistent simulation, peak SEY=2



Other cases in progress



- Fermilab Main Injector at high intensity:
 - WARP/QSM: study emittance growth (K. Sonnad, FRPMS028)
- ILC damping ring:
 - WARP/POSINST in BUM: study of e-cloud in positron damping ring wigglers (C. Celata, TUPMN108)

Boosted frame method



- Fully self-consistent simulations are very expensive
 - Wide range of time and length scales
 -cyclotron period of electrons ---> bunch transit time
 - Recently, observation that an appropriate Lorentz transformation to a frame makes the time and length scales more conmeasurate (if beam is relativistic)
 - Boosted frame γ is 1 < $\gamma < \gamma_{beam}$
 - J.-L. Vay, PRL <u>98</u>, 130405 (2007)
- Brings self-consistent CPU time down to QSM approximation (several orders of magnitude)
- Doesn't help in QSM
- Proof-of-principle: see next slide
- Still need to clarify several issues:
 - Simultaneity of events is shifted (important for Lab diagnostics)
 - Curved trajectories
 - Translate beam phase space from moving frame to Lab frame at any desired time

Boosted frame calculation sample proton bunch through a given e⁻ cloud*





PAC07-TUXAB03: M. Furman "3D Self-consistent...

WARP boosted frame calculation





Zlab = Om

- proton bunch (γ =500, N_b=10¹²) through an ecloud (n_e=10¹⁴ m⁻³ peak)
- B-field: $B_{\theta} = kr$ provides focusing
- $\gamma_{frame} = 512^{1/2}$

Summary



WARP/POSINST code suite developed for HIF e-cloud studies

- Parallel 3D AMR-PIC code for any given accelerator lattice follows beam <u>self-consistently</u> with gas/electron generation and evolution,
- Detailed validation at the HCX facility
 - highly instrumented section dedicated to e-cloud studies
- Successful code-to-code benchmarking
- Being applied to HEP accelerators
 - LHC, ILC damping ring, FNAL main injector, SPS, ...
- Recent Lorentz-boosted frame algorithm:
 - cost of self-consistent calculation is greatly reduced thanks to relativistic contraction/dilation bridging space/time scales disparities,
 - 1000x speedup demonstrated on proof-of-principle case,
 - will apply to LHC, Fermilab MI, ILC
 - some practical issues remain to be clarified, but very promising





- TUXAB01 Kireeff-Covo (Absolute Measurements of Electron Cloud Density)
- THPAS049 Arthur Molvik Gas and Electron Desorption Under Heavy-ion Beam Bombardment,
- TUPMN108, Particle-in-Cell Calculations of the Electron Cloud in the ILC Positron Damping Ring Wigglers, *C. M. Celata*
- THPMN118, Modelling of E-cloud Build-up in Grooved Vacuum Chambers Using POSINST, *Marco Venturini*
- FRPMS028, Simulations of Electron Cloud Effects on the Beam Dynamics for the FNAL Main Injector Upgrade, *Kiran G. Sonnad*,
- THPAS050, Simulating Electron Effects in Heavy-Ion Accelerators with Solenoid Focusing, *William M. Sharp*



Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory

PAC07-TUXAB03: M. Furman "3D Self-consistent...



Quest - nature of oscillations



Progressively removes possible

mechanisms

Not ion-electron two stream

Other mechanisms:

- Virtual cathode oscillations
- δ-Density⇒δ-potential, feedbacks to drift velocity
- Kelvin Helmholtz/Diocotron (plausible, shear in drift velocities)

Fluid velocity vectors (length and color according to magnitude)



The HCX driver for HIF







- Choice α=1/[1+(ω_cΔt/2)²]^{1/2} gives physically correct "gyro" radius at large ω_cΔt and also produces correct drift velocity and parallel dynamics.
- E-cloud produced by injection (at t=0) of T=10 eV electrons uniform out to nominal beam radius (e.g. ionization of neutral gas). Not stationary. Snapshot at fixed time (~50 τ_{bounce}):
- Factor ~25 increase in speed, little degradation of accuracy



Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory



- \Rightarrow brute force integration requires small Δt when $B \neq 0 \Rightarrow$ slow
- Our solution: interpolation between full-particle dynamics (Boris mover) and drift kinetics (motion along B plus drifts).

$$\mathbf{v}_{new} = \mathbf{v}_{old} + \Delta t \left(\frac{d\mathbf{v}}{dt}\right)_{Lorentz} + (1-\alpha) \left(\frac{d\mathbf{v}}{dt}\right)_{\mu\nabla B}$$
$$\mathbf{v}_{eff} = \mathbf{b}(\mathbf{b}\cdot\mathbf{v}) + \alpha\mathbf{v}_{\perp} + (1-\alpha)\mathbf{v}_{d}$$



Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory







Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory