CHERENKOV RADIATION IN PERIODIC WIRE MEDIUM FORMED BY TRANSVERSELY MODULATED ELECTRON BEAMS

E. Gurnevich¹, A. Halavanau^{2,3}, A. Benediktovitch⁴

Research Institute for Nuclear Problems of Belarusian State University, Minsk 220030, Belarus

² SLAC National Accelerator Laboratory, Stanford University, Menlo Park CA 94025, USA

³University of California, Los Angeles, California 90095, USA

⁴ Center for Free Electron Laser Science, DESY, Hamburg, Germany

Abstract

We investigate the properties of Cherenkov, quasi-Cherenkov (parametric) and diffraction radiation generated in the periodic conducting wire medium by transversely modattribution ulated electron beams. Such beams were recently obtained at Argonne Wakefield Accelerator (AWA) facility using microlens array (MLA) laser shaping technique. We consider naintain in details the case of one dimensional periodic tungsten wire structure and transverse electron beamlets separation of mm scale. We look at possible enhancements of the radiation must 1 field due to transverse periodicity of the electron beam.

INTRODUCTION

of this work Interaction of electron beams with periodic conducting distribution structures has been a topic of great interest, as it provides unique capabilities for longitudinal phase-space dechirping, beam density modulation and radiation generation.

Structures consisting of periodical arrays of conducting wires, or "wire medium", have been found to obey the same mathematical formalism as dynamical diffraction theory in 6. a crystals [1–3]. Thus, "wire medium" with ultra-relativistic electron beam traversing through may serve as a source of Cherenkov, parametric (quasi-Cherenkov) and diffraction radiation. We also note that alternatively "wire-medium" can be considered in terms of dipole antenna vibrator theory $\stackrel{\text{loc}}{\sim}$ can be considered in the similar final $\stackrel{\text{loc}}{\sim}$ magnetic fields [4, 5]. with the similar final expressions for the produced electro-

20 A general requirement for these type of experiments is high beam current with low emittance and energy spread. J The necessary beam quality is often challenging to achieve due to space-charge effects, even at modern photocathode-⁵ based high-brightness sources. Therefore, the final photon yield is significantly decreased compared to theoretical gestimates. One possibility to alleviate this problem is to split the original current into transversely ordered beamsplit the original current into transversely ordered beamlets, lowering the detrimental effects of space-charge per beamlet, while keeping the total longitudinal current. This é stechnique has been extensively studied at the photoinjector of Argonne Wakefield Accelerator (AWA) and used for electron work beam magnitezation and photocathode quantum efficiency measurements, and transverse-to-longitudinal emittance exchange (EEX) experiments [6-9]. In this proceeding, we rom look at the possible effects on the radiation produced by transversely modulated beams in the "wire medium". We Content consider two choices of electron beam energy - 50 MeV and



Figure 1: A schematics of periodic conducting wire array with a charge traversing through.

100 MeV, corresponding to the energies at AWA and FAST facilities.

THEORETICAL OVERVIEW

In our previous work we investigated the properties of transition radiation generated in a single perfectly electrically conducting (PEC) plate by an array of beamlets [9]. In this proceeding, we consider a periodic structure consisting of PEC wires instead of single PEC plate (see Fig. 1). Spectralangular distribution of emitted photons for single electron bunch in this case can be calculated as:

$$\frac{d^2 N^s}{d\Omega d\omega} = \frac{e^2 Q^2}{\hbar c} \cdot \frac{1}{\omega} \cdot |I^s|^2, \tag{1}$$

where superscript *s* stands for (\parallel, \perp) or TM, TE polarizations respectively. Here

$$I^{\parallel} = \frac{i\sin\theta\cos\varphi}{x_1x_2} \cdot \frac{\sinh(kbx_1/\beta)}{\cosh(kbx_1/\beta) - \cos(kb\sin\theta\sin\varphi)} \times \sum_{m=0}^{M-1} e^{ikma/\beta} \left(F_{0m} - F_{1m} \frac{1}{\beta x_2} - iF'_{1m} \frac{x_1}{\beta x_2} \frac{\sin(kb\sin\theta\sin\varphi)}{\sinh(kbx_1/\beta)} \right),$$

$$I^{\perp} = -\frac{1}{x_2} \cdot \frac{\sin(kb\sin\theta\sin\varphi)}{\cosh(kbx_1/\beta) - \cos(kb\sin\theta\sin\varphi)} \times \sum_{m=0}^{M-1} e^{ikma/\beta} \left(F_{0m} - F_{1m} \frac{1}{\beta x_2} - iF'_{1m} \frac{x_1}{\beta x_2} \frac{\sinh(kbx_1/\beta)}{\sin(kb\sin\theta\sin\varphi)} \right),$$

MC2: Photon Sources and Electron Accelerators **A23 Other Linac-Based Photon Sources**



Figure 2: Spectral-angular distributions of radiation from one (top row) and three (bottow row) layers of wires in comparison with transition radiation from PEC plate. Beam energy corresponds to 50 MeV (left column) and 100 MeV (right column).

 $\sqrt{\gamma^{-2} + \beta^2 \sin^2 \theta \cos^2 \varphi}$ where x_1 = x $\sqrt{1 - \sin^2 \theta \cos^2 \varphi}, \quad \beta = v/c, \quad k_z$ = $k\cos\theta$. $k_y = k \sin \theta \sin \varphi, \ k_x = k \sin \theta \cos \varphi, \ k_\rho = \sqrt{k^2 - k_x^2},$ $k = \omega/c$, and θ , φ are polar and azimuthal angles of spherical coordinate system, respectively. Effective amplitudes F_{0m} , F_{1m} , F'_{1m} depend on k, θ , φ and parameters of wire's material (permittivity, conductivity) and can be calculated from Eq. (31) in [10] (when M = 1, they are given by Eq. (19)). The total radiation intensity can be found by numerical integration of above expressions with respect to the angular coordinates and frequency. In the case of N beamlets the expressions for I^s should be multiplied by a form-factor *f*:

$$f = \sum_{n} e^{-i(k_{y}y_{n} + k_{x}x_{n})} e^{ik_{y}v_{y}z_{n}/v_{z}} e^{-i\omega z_{n}/v_{z}}, \qquad (2)$$

where (x_n, y_n, z_n) , n = 1..N are coordinates of *n*-th beamlet at t = 0; Q in this case denotes the charge of a single beamlet. In the examples below, the case of tungsten wire array yields very similar results to PEC, therefore the former is further omitted for simplicity.

RESULTS

We first compare the intensity of radiation on axis generated by array of PEC wires of radius R with the intensity of ordinary transition radiation produced by an infinite PEC plate. Figure 2 provides angular distribution of the TMpolarized radiation for 50 MeV and 100 MeV electron beam. We note the enhancement of intensity for three-fold array of PEC wires compared to a single plate. Similarly to the case of transition radiation, the resulting spectrum is broadband, with the peak frequency defined by the bunch length. In order to relief the conditions on electron beam quality, we consider the case of a square array of electron beamlets represented in Fig. 3. Additionally, we further downselect

MC2: Photon Sources and Electron Accelerators

A23 Other Linac-Based Photon Sources



Figure 3: An example of electron beamlets square array produced by MLA shaped laser beam at the photocathode location. The rectangular frame represents selected line of beamlets.

a vertical line of beamlets for simplification. The relative spacing between the beamlets can be controlled via optical imaging at the photocathode or by quadrupole lenses in the electron beamline. The beamlet formation possesses two sets of Twiss parameters, associated with the beam envelope and individual beamlet, offering additional degree of tunability [11, 12].

Figure 4 shows the spectral content of THz diffraction radiation, generated by an ideal thin Gaussian bunch of 1 nC charge and similar spectrum, produced by N (N = 5, 10, 20) identical transverse beamlets of the same total charge, spaced vertically (perpendicular to the wires). Here the structure consisting of one layer of wires was considered (M = 1), electron velocity v made an angle $\theta_0 = 60^\circ$ with z-axis $(\varphi_0 = 90^\circ)$, and radiation peak in diffraction direction was analysed ($\theta \approx 13^\circ, \varphi \approx -90^\circ$). It can be seen, that, while at 50 MeV beam energy the resulting intensity is decreased by factor of 2, at 100 MeV it becomes almost identical to the case of a single bunch. We also point out that additional coherence effects, associated with the period of transverse modulation, can be included for the case of electron beam incidence at angle α and very short bunch lengths, similarly to the results obtained in [9].

Recently, longitudinal bunching technique at required frequencies at low charge has been experimentally demonstrated at FAST facility [13]. In combination with MLA spatial laser shaping, it may serve as beam preparation method for the proposed radiation generation scheme.

SUMMARY

We have shown, via analytical and numerical calculations, the transverse periodic modulation of the electron beam preserves peculiar features of radiation spectrum in THz range, while maintaining less stringent parameters on the beam quality. This scheme requires a small addition to the existing photoinjector infrastructure in the form of MLA or any other spatial UV-laser shaper with similar capabilities. The applications of the proposed "wire-medium" radiator can be extended to a temporal beam diagnostics technique or broadband THz source. Finally, periodic PEC (tungsten) wire



Figure 4: 50 MeV beam (top), 100 MeV beam (middle) and 200 MeV (bottom) comparison of a single 1 nC bunch vs. $\overrightarrow{e} N$ beamlets of 1/N nC.

array offers higher brightness than a traditional transition radiation obtained with a PEC plate.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

A.H.'s work was supported by the U.S. Department of Energy Contract No. DE-AC02-76SF00515. A.H. would like

to thank S. Antipov (Euclid TechLabs, LLC, USA) for the interest and initial discussions; G. Andonian, J. Rosenzweig (UCLA) for their comments and suggestions. A.H. is indebted to P. Piot (NIU/FNAL) for his suggestions througout this research.

REFERENCES

- V.G. Baryshevsky and A.A. Gurinovich. *Nucl. Instr. Meth. B*, 252(1):92–101, (2006).
- [2] V.G. Baryshevsky and E.A. Gurnevich. *Journal of Nanophotonics*, 6(1):061713, (2012).
- [3] V. G. Baryshevsky and E. A. Gurnevich. *Nucl. Instrum. Meth.*, B402:30–34, (2017).
- [4] S. N. Galyamin, V. V. Vorobev, and A. Benediktovitch. *Phys. Rev. Accel. Beams*, 22:043001, (2019).
- [5] S. Chuchurka, A. Benediktovitch, S. N. Galyamin, V. V. Vorobev, and A. Halavanau. In *Proc. of IPAC 2018, Vancouver, BC Canada*, page THPAK064, (2018).
- [6] A. Halavanau, G. Qiang, G. Ha, E. Wisniewski, P. Piot, J. G. Power, and W. Gai. *Phys. Rev. Accel. Beams*, 20(10):103404, (2017).
- [7] Eric E. Wisniewski et al. *Proc. of IPAC 2018, Vancouver, BC Canada*, page TUPMF020, (2018).
- [8] A. Halavanau, G. Qiang, G. Ha, E. Wisniewski, P. Piot, J. G. Power, and W. Gai. *Proc. of IPAC 2018, Vancouver, BC Canada*, page THPAK060, (2018).
- [9] A. Halavanau, P. Piot, A. V. Tyukhtin, S. N. Galyamin, and A. Benediktovitch. *Proc. of IPAC 2018, Vancouver, BC Canada*, page THPMK023, (2018).
- [10] V.G. Baryshevsky and E.A. Gurnevich. LANL e-print arXiv:1609.05689, (2016).
- [11] R. K. Li, H. To, G. Andonian, J. Feng, A. Polyakov, C. M. Scoby, K. Thompson, W. Wan, H. A. Padmore, and P. Musumeci. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 110:074801, (2013).
- [12] A. Lueangaramwong, D. Mihalcea, G. Andonian, and P. Piot. *Nucl. Instrum. Meth.*, A865:119 – 124, (2017).
- [13] J. Hyun, P. Piot, T. Sen, and J. C. Thangaraj. Nucl. Instrum. Meth., A916:195–203, (2019).