THE PRELIMINARY LONG-TERM SLOW DRIFT CALIBRATION STUDY **IN LOW-LEVEL RF SYSTEM**

Z.Y. Lin¹, Y. C. Du¹, W.H. Huang^{1†}, J.H. Tang¹, C.X. Tang¹, Key Laboratory of Particle & Radiation Imaging, Tsinghua University, Bejing, China G. Huang^{2*}, Y.L Xu², Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory, Berkeley, USA D. Zhang³, Z.C. Sun³, HZCY Technologies Co., Ltd., Beijing 100084, China ¹also at Department of Engineering Physics, Tsinghua University, Beijing, China

Abstract

The phase drift of the RF signal in the low-level radio frequency (LLRF) system is observed in the long-term operation, which limits the performance and stability of the LLRF system. The long-term drift was reproduced in the lab. Its effect and sources of error were explored in the simple LLRF46 board and the simplest LLRF system. It is founded that the temperature will significantly lead to the phase distortion of the two signal channels, although with the same electron device. The distortion will finally cause is applied to deal with the calibration signal (CAL signal) is applied to deal with the signal channels difference. The preliminary tests were conducted and the results were analysed.

INTRODUCTION

The low-level radio frequency (LLRF) system controls and stabilizes the cavity RF in the accelerator system. The phase distribution system sends accurate phase information From the far-end to each LLRF client. The LLRF system performs digital phase discrimination, filtering, and phase Solocking on the obtained reference phase information and $\frac{1}{2}$ the microwave used for particle acceleration. A large slow O drift was observed in the LLRF system, which will limit g the future experiments on the accelerators [1]. There is still no clear analysis of the internal mechanism of the slow drift, the degree of its influence, and how the environmental temperature affects the system. This paper mainly ex-System, as well as the mechanism and the degree of influence of the slow drift.





[†] huangwh@mail.tsinghua.edu.cn GHuang@lbl.gov

THPRB046 3918

REPRODUCTION OF LONG-TERM SLOW DRIFT EXPERIMENT OF LLRF SYSTEM

The Tsinghua timing and synchronization system was adopted in the photo-injector of Xi'an gamma-ray light source. The similar long-term slow drift was also found in the deployment and test. The mutual monitoring and detecting of two LLRF subsystems experiment (dual-machine test) was also applied [2-3], the test result of the monitoring LLRF system is shown in Figure 1.

In the 24-hour mutual monitoring and detecting experiment of Xi'an gamma-ray light source, the reference (REF) signal of the LLRF system varied about 12ps for about one day. A slow phase drift about 600fs p-p was observed by the monitoring LLRF system, which further verified the slow drift results obtained in the Tsinghua Thomson scattering X-ray (TTX) dual-machine test at Tsinghua University [1]. Therefore, in the actual system operation process, it is difficult to meet the experimental requirements for the system to have ~ps level/day slow drift. Therefore, it is essential to achieve the long-term stability of the LLRF system by suppressing the slow drift of the system.

THE SIMPLEST BOARD EXPERIMENT **RESEARCH BASED ON LLRF46 BOARD**

We need to have a more comprehensive understanding of the system slow drift. Firstly, whether there would be a similar slow drift phenomenon of the system was explored in the simplest system from the simplest board experiment study based on LLRF46 boards.



Study of the Differences Between ADC Channels of the Single Board



must maintain attribution to the author(s), title of the work, publisher, and DOI

work

this

used

è

may

Content from this work



Figure 2: The simplest board double channel difference test configuration and result.

LLRF46 board had 4-route ADC channel and 2-route DAC channel [4-5]. There were 2 channels of ADC to collect the REF signal and the RF signal, respectively, and 2 channels of DAC to output RF signals respectively in Tsinghua timing and synchronization system. In the simplest two-channel experiment, RF signals output from LLRF46 board respectively enter into the two-channel ADC channel through the power divider to explore the differences between the two ADC channels on the board. It was important to note that the RF signal was operating at 24MHz. As seen from the experimental results, the phase error of the signals of the two ADCs had a slow drift directly related to temperature, indicating that the two ADC circuits showed differences in temperature sensitivity (from SMA (SubMiniature version A) port to ADC chip). Thus, the influence of such differences should be carefully considered in the subsequent design and experiment.

System Correction by Adding Calibration Signals

In order to correct the difference in temperature characteristics of different ADC circuits of LLRF46 board, the idea of adding a correction signal for signal phase deviation correction was put forward:

 $Error = (RF1 - RF2) - (RF1_CAL - RF2_CAL)$

The LLRF46 board output a calibration signal (CAL for short) with fixed amplitude and phase, which was combined with two RF signals to enter their respective ADC channels. The phase error of RF signals on two paths could be reversely deduced for correction by calculating the change of phase error of CAL signals on two paths, and the signal noise difference error could be eliminated.



Figure 3: The test configuration and result of slow drift correction by adding calibration signals.

We controlled the board temperature and detected the phase error of the signals. As shown in Figure 3, after the addition of calibration signals, the slow drift of the signal phase difference between the two ADC channels disappeared and did not change with the change of temperature.

Mutual Monitoring and Detecting Test of the Simplest Board LLRF System



Figure 4: Mutual test experiment of single LLRF46 board.

In order to reproduce the phenomenon of slow drift, the mutual monitoring and detecting test experiment of the single board was built, as shown in Fig. 4. Short SMA connectors (~1cm) were adopted for the outer part of the control loop. The temperature change of the board was artificially changed, and the phase change of the monitoring system and the control system was detected, as shown in Fig. 5.



must maintain attribution to the author(s), title of the work, publisher, and DOI work Figure 5: The signals phase and the result of the monitoring system in the single board mutual test.

of this As shown in the above Figure 5, 24MHz RF signal changed 2°C, ~5ps phase error peak-peak. There was a change inding slow di rected. At th slow drift about 30fs, which is small enough after cor-

At the same temperature difference Δ T, the slow drift of sphase error on the monitoring machine was recorded, and the relationship between the slow drift at fixed Δ T and line $\dot{\underline{\beta}}$ length difference of the out of control loop part ΔL was $\ddot{\underline{\beta}}$ obtained:



under the terms of the CC BY 3.0 licence (\odot) Figure 6: The relationship diagram between slow drift at fixed Δ T and Δ L line length.

The Figure 6 presented that correlation immunity order constrains nonlinearity. Based on the results of the repeated experiments, there was no obvious regularity between system slow drift and the length difference ΔL part. The slow mav drift is largely due to the LLRF system itself.

CONCLUSION

from this work The artificial circuit part of LLRF46 board was obviously affected by the temperature, and there were differences between different ADC channels, which needed to be fully considered during system design and operation. The

Content THPRB046 difference in a signal variation on different channels could be corrected by using a fixed phase calibration signal (CAL signal) and target RF signal superposition. The mutual monitoring and detecting test result also approve the effective of the introduction of the CAL signal for phase drift calibration. The line length difference of the out of control loop parts takes up a small amount of influence on system slow drift.

REFERENCES

- [1] Lin Z, Du Y, Yang J, et al. "Development of sub-100 femtosecond timing and synchronization system" [J]. Review of Scientific Instruments, 2018, 89(1):014701.
- [2] J. Yang et al., "Synchronization System for Tsinghua Thomson Scattering X-ray Source", in Proc. 7th Int. Particle Accelerator Conf. (IPAC'16), Busan, Korea, May 2016, pp. 4237-4239. doi:10.18429/JACoW-IPAC2016-THPOY05
- [3] Z. Y. Lin et al., "The Preliminary Performance of the Timing and Synchronization System at Tsinghua University", in Proc. 8th Int. Particle Accelerator Conf. (IPAC'17), Copenhagen. Denmark. Mav 2017. 4565-4568. pp. doi:10.18429/JACoW-IPAC2017-THPVA055
- [4] Xu Y, Huang G, Doolittle L, et al. "High-precision phase detection in femtosecond timing and synchronization system for TXGLS" [J]. Measurement Science and Technology, 2018, 29(6): 065011.
- [5] L. Doolittle, LLRF4 board. http://recycle.lbl.gov/llrf4