INTEGRATING THE LORENTZ FORCE LAW FOR HIGHLY-RELATIVISTIC PARTICLE-IN-CELL SIMULATIONS*

A. V. Higuera[†], J.R. Cary, University of Colorado, 80303, Boulder, Colorado, USA

Abstract

itle of the work, publisher, and DOI. Integrating the Relativistic Lorentz Force Law for plasma simulations is an area of current research ([1-3]). In particular, recent research indicates that interaction with highlyauthor(s). relativistic laser fields is particularly problematic for current integration techniques [1]. Here is presented a specialpurpose integrator yielding improved accuracy for highlyrelativistic laser-particle interactions. This integrator has been implemented in the particle-in-cell code VSim [4], and the authors present an accuracy and performance comparison with several particle push methods.

INTRODUCTION

maintain attribution Several current areas of accelerator research involve must highly-relativistic radiation interacting with an underdense plasma, i.e. radiation for which the parameter $m_{c\omega} \approx 1$ for at least one of the species of particles s comprising the plasma. Recent work [1], [5] has highlighted that particle-in-cell simulations of such said standard Boris push [6] can exhibit numerical artifacts at distribution standard resolutions. Many simulations of Laser Wakefield Acceleration (LWFA) do not exhibit these artifacts, because particles do not interact with high- a_0 radiation for long times. ≩ However, there has been significant recent interest in simulations of the pure Direct Laser Acceleration (DLA) regime [7], $\widehat{\mathfrak{A}}$ where a laser pulse much longer than the plasma wavelength 20 propagates through a plasma, and of hybrid LWFA [8, 9], © propa where pulse. 0: stand where beam particles interact significantly with the laser

Particle-in-cell simulations are both necessary to understand the complex non-linear dynamics of these systems, and very computationally expensive. Thus, algorithms for ЗY reducing the computational burden associated with them are desirable. The purpose of this paper is to present a new particle push algorithm for use in particle-in-cell simulations terms of where plasma particles interact with high- a_0 radiation for a substantial portion of the total simulation length.

PLANE-WAVE CASE

under the The highest-field regions of the simulations of interest be used resemble a vacuum plane wave plus some perturbations due to interaction with the plasma and the finite spatial extent S of the laser pulse. This paper's method exploits that charac-Ë teristic of these simulations, and hence it will be referred to the henceforth as the "luminal push." In the current section we derive the algorithm for the case of a linearly-polarized plane this wave travelling in vacuum, polarized along the y axis and

propagating along the x axis. We use a leap-frog method, where the coordinates and momenta are alternately held constant. Advancing the coordinates is trivial; the novel part of the method is in integrating the Lorentz Force Law to determine the change in momenta.

In what follows, γ is the Lorentz factor. The quantity $\Gamma = \gamma - \frac{p_x}{mc}$ is a constant of the motion for the particle, and from this can be derived the following set of equations for $u_y = \frac{p_y}{m}, u_x = \frac{p_x}{m}$, and γ :

$$\gamma = \frac{c^2 (1 + \Gamma^2) + u_y^2}{2\Gamma c^2}$$
(1)

$$u_x = \frac{c^2(1 - \Gamma^2) + u_y^2}{2\Gamma c}$$
(2)

$$\frac{du_y}{dt} = \frac{c\Gamma\Omega}{\gamma} \tag{3}$$

where $\Omega = \frac{qB}{m} = \frac{qE}{mc}$, which are constant because *x* is held constant for this portion of the leap-frog integration. Using the equation for γ yields the following ODE governing the evolution of $u_{\rm v}$,

$$\frac{du_y}{dt} = \frac{2c\Gamma^2\Omega}{1+\Gamma^2 + \frac{1}{c^2}u_y^2} \tag{4}$$

which can be integrated to yield

$$\frac{1}{6c^2\Gamma}(u^3 - u_0^3) + \frac{(1 + \Gamma^2)}{2\Gamma}(u - u_0) = c\Gamma\Omega t$$
 (5)

implicitly defining $u_v(\Delta t)$. The explicit solution to Eq. (5) is

$$u(t) = 2c\sqrt{1 + \Gamma^2} \sinh\left(\frac{1}{3}\right)$$
$$\sinh^{-1}\left(\frac{u_0^3 + 3c^2(1 + \Gamma^2)u_0 + 6c^3\Gamma^2\Omega t}{2c^3(1 + \Gamma^2)^{3/2}}\right)$$
(6)

from which u_x and γ can be obtained using Eqs. (1) and (2).

GENERAL FIELDS

General electromagnetic fields can be written as a sum of fields \vec{E}' and \vec{B}' satisfying $\vec{E}' \times \vec{B}' = \vec{E} \times \vec{B}$, $\vec{E}' \cdot \vec{B}' = 0$ and $E'^2 - c^2 B'^2 = 0$ and residual fields \vec{E}'' and \vec{B}'' . We will refer to fields satisfying the latter two conditions on \vec{E}' and \vec{B}' as "luminal". The residual fields may or may not be luminal themselves, but we aim to split the fields in such a way that they are small.

In what follows, we derive the choice of \vec{E}' and \vec{B}' that minimizes the energy density of the residual fields. If \vec{E} and \vec{B} are (anti-)parallel, then the Poynting vector vanishes and

03 Novel Particle Sources and Acceleration Technologies A15 New Acceleration Techniques (including DLA and THz)

This work is supported by the DOE under Grants No. DE-SC0011617 and DE-SC0012444, by DOE/NSF Grant No. DE-SC0012584.

adam.higuera@colorado.edu

the splitting is trivially complete, so assume that the electric and magnetic fields make an angle $\alpha \neq 0, \pi$ with one another. Then define

$$P^2 = \frac{1}{c} |\vec{E} \times \vec{B}| \tag{7}$$

$$\tan \theta = \frac{E \cdot B}{c(B^2 + P^2)} \tag{8}$$

$$\vec{B}' = \frac{P}{B} \mathbf{R}_{\vec{E} \times \vec{B}}(\theta) \vec{B}$$
(9)

$$\vec{E}' = \frac{\vec{B}' \times (\vec{E} \times \vec{B})}{P^2} \tag{10}$$

$$\vec{B}^{\prime\prime} = \vec{B} - \vec{B}^{\prime} \tag{11}$$

$$\vec{E}^{\prime\prime} = \vec{E} - \vec{E}^{\prime} \tag{12}$$

where $\mathbf{R}_{\vec{E}\times\vec{B}}(\theta)$ is a matrix that rotates about $\vec{E}\times\vec{B}$ by an angle θ .

It should be clear by inspection that the vectors \vec{E}' and \vec{B}' are mutually perpendicular with $\vec{E} \times \vec{B}$ and have magnitudes cP and P, respectively, so they define a luminal field with Poynting Vector equal to $\vec{E} \times \vec{B}$. The energy density of the residual fields \vec{E}'' and \vec{B}'' is proportional to

$$(E'')^{2} + c^{2}(B'')^{2} = |\vec{E} - \vec{E}'|^{2} + c^{2}|\vec{B} - \vec{B}'|^{2}$$
(13)
$$= E^{2} + c^{2}B^{2} + 2c^{2}P^{2} - 2(\vec{E} \cdot \vec{E}' + c^{2}\vec{B} \cdot \vec{B}')$$
(14)
(15)

and the term

$$(\vec{E}\cdot\vec{E}'+c^2\vec{B}\cdot\vec{B}') = cEP\cos\left(\frac{\pi}{2}-\alpha-\theta\right)+c^2BP\cos\theta \quad (16)$$

is maximized when θ is given as in Equation (8), so that choice of θ minimizes the energy density of the residual field.

This is only one possible splitting of the fields. As long as the residual fields are small, the essential idea of the method is valid. In particular, for a linearly-polarized laser pulse, it may be sufficient to simply use luminal fields with a prescribed polarization, scaled to match the magnitude of the Poynting vector. Furthermore, the square root in Eq. (7) for P^2 and the inverse tangent in Eq. (8) for θ do not need to be calculated to perfect accuracy, so long as \vec{E}' and \vec{B}' are, in fact, mutually perpendicular with magnitudes scaled by cand the residuals are calculated correctly.

To integrate these general fields, we use again a leapfrog approach, first pushing the particle for half a time step using the residual fields and any general-purpose particle pusher, then pushing the particle for a whole time step, using the luminal fields \vec{E}' and \vec{B}' and the methods of the previous secion, where that section's *x* is the axis parallel to the Poynting vector and that section's *y* is the axis parallel to \vec{E}' . Finally we push the particle another half time step, again using any general-purpose particle push algorithm.

NUMERICAL RESULTS

This algorithm was implemented and used to integrate the trajectory of a single particle in a plane wave over 1000 laser periods. The plane wave fields were evaluated analytically at the particle's location each time-step using the Boris integrator, Vay's relativistic integrator [2], and the new luminal integrator. The results are presented in Figs. 1 and 2, from which it is evident that the luminal integrator was much better than the alternatives at correctly computing the longitudinal wavelength Λ and secular drift $\delta \bar{y}$ of the particle's orbit.



Figure 1: Fractional error in $\overline{\Lambda}$ for particle in plane wave field for 1000 optical cycles, using Boris push (dash-dotted). Vay push (dashed) and Luminal push (solid), for a_0 values of 5 (black), 15 (cyan) and 25 (blue).



Figure 2: Fractional error in $\delta \bar{y}$ for particle in plane wave field for 1000 optical cycles, using Boris push (dash-dotted). Vay push (dashed) and Luminal push (solid), for a_0 values of 5 (black), 15 (cyan) and 25 (blue).

03 Novel Particle Sources and Acceleration Technologies

A15 New Acceleration Techniques (including DLA and THz)

9th International Particle Accelerator Conference

CONCLUSION This paper presents a special-purpose particle push of use in simulations where charged particles interact with high- a_0 radiation. It was demonstrated to have performance superior to alternatives for the case of an analytically-known plane wave field, and an extension to general fields was provided.

REFERENCES

- [1] A. V. Arefiev, G. E. Cochran, D. W. Schumacher, A. P. Robinson, and G. Chen, "Temporal resolution criterion for correctly simulating relativistic electron motion in a high-intensity laser field," Physics of Plasmas (1994-present), vol. 22, no. 1, p. 013 103, 2015.
- [2] J.-L. Vay, "Simulation of beams or plasmas crossing at relativistic velocity," Physics of Plasmas (1994-present), vol. 15, no. 5, p. 056 701, 2008.
- [3] A. V. Higuera and J. R. Cary, "Structure-preserving secondorder integration of relativistic charged particle trajectories in electromagnetic fields," Physics of Plasmas, vol. 24, no. 5, p. 052 104, 2017.

- [4] C. Nieter and J. R. Cary, "Vorpal: A versatile plasma simulation code," Journal of Computational Physics, vol. 196, no. 2, pp. 448-473, 2004.
- [5] J. Shaw, N. Lemos, K. Marsh, F. Tsung, W. Mori, and C. Joshi, "Estimation of direct laser acceleration in laser wakefield accelerators using particle-in-cell simulations," Plasma Physics and Controlled Fusion, vol. 58, no. 3, p. 034 008, 2016.
- [6] J. Boris, "Relativistic plasma simulation-optimization of a hybrid code," in Proc. Fourth Conf. Num. Sim. Plasmas, Naval Res. Lab, Wash. DC, 1970, pp. 3-67.
- [7] A. Arefiev, A. Robinson, and V. Khudik, "Novel aspects of direct laser acceleration of relativistic electrons," Journal of Plasma Physics, vol. 81, no. 4, 2015.
- [8] J. Shaw et al., "Role of direct laser acceleration in energy gained by electrons in a laser wakefield accelerator with ionization injection," Plasma physics and controlled fusion, vol. 56, no. 8, p. 084 006, 2014.
- [9] X. Zhang, V. N. Khudik, and G. Shvets, "Synergistic laserwakefield and direct-laser acceleration in the plasma-bubble regime," Physical review letters, vol. 114, no. 18, p. 184 801, 2015.