# STUDIES OF ELECTROPOLISHING AND OXYPOLISHING TREATED **DIFFUSION COATED Nb<sub>3</sub>Sn SURFACES\***

U. Pudasaini<sup>1</sup>, G. Eremeev<sup>2</sup>, C. E. Reece<sup>2</sup>, J. Tuggle<sup>3</sup>, M. J. Kelley<sup>1,2,3</sup>

Applied Science Department, The College of William and Mary, Williamsburg, VA 23185, USA

<sup>2</sup>Thomas Jefferson National Accelerator Facility, Newport News, VA 23606, USA

<sup>3</sup>Virginia Polytechnic Institute and State University, Blacksburg, VA 24061, USA

# Abstract

author(s), title of the work, publisher, and DOI. The Nb<sub>3</sub>Sn-coated cavities aim to enhance performance and significantly reduce cost. Their fabrication involves tin vapor diffusion coating of Nb<sub>3</sub>Sn on the interior <sup>by</sup> volves tin vapor unrusted come surface of a Nb cavity. Controlled removal of the first few by layers to obtain a smoother and cleaner surface could be by desirable to improve the high field RF performance. Our first results from the application of electropolishing and the bigs techniques on Nb<sub>3</sub>Sn-coated surfaces indi-E cated reduced surface roughness, and the surface composi-tion appeared nominally unchanged. Systematic studies ma explore the effect of different polishing parameters into the g roughness and composition. We present the latest results from SEM/EDS and AFM studies of Nb<sub>2</sub>Sn-coated samfrom SEM/EDS and AFM studies of Nb<sub>3</sub>Sn-coated samwork ples treated with electropolishing and oxypolishing.

### INTRODUCTION

distribution of this Many decades of R&D efforts are bringing niobium SRF cavity performance close to its ultimate limit, and alternate materials are gaining attention for superior performance and cost reduction. Nb<sub>3</sub>Sn is the leading material among them now. Because of superior superconducting properties  ${\bf \xi}$  (*Tc* ~ 18.3 K, *H<sub>sh</sub>* ~ 425 mT and  $\Delta$  ~3.1 meV), compared to ∞ niobium, it promises better performance and higher operation temperature. Since material properties preclude bulk 201 ◎ application, Nb<sub>3</sub>Sn-coated SRF cavities are produced by depositing a few microns thick Nb<sub>3</sub>Sn layer inside of Nb cavities using the tin vapor diffusion technique [1-3]. It develops a typical roughness on the surface after the coatming deposition. Several material removal techniques (e.g. E electropolishing (EP), buffer chemical polishing (BCP),  $\bigcirc$  oxypolishing etc). are available for niobium cavities. They are successful removing defects and contamination from the surface, and smoothening it for better performance. Similar techniques usable for Nb<sub>3</sub>Sn coated surface have not been established yet. Development of such techniques z can be beneficial to reduce the roughness leading to the cleaner defect-free surfaces, which could improve the cavunder 1 ity performance. Despite the challenges posed by a limited amount of bimetallic material available to process, several techniques applicable to niobium were applied to Nb<sub>3</sub>Sn by 出 limiting the process time [4-5]. Electropolishing and oxy- $\frac{1}{2}$  of Nb<sub>3</sub>Sn material. Following an encouraging result from electropolshing [6], we varied policities studied the resulting surface. Evolution of Nb<sub>3</sub>Sn surfaces after several cycles of successive oxypolishing was studied.

# **EXPERIMENTAL**

### Nb<sub>3</sub>Sn Sample Preparation

A standard set of 10 mm × 10 mm coupons were produced from SRF grade niobium sheet, used for cavity fabrication. Each sample received ~100 µm BCP removal using a solution of 49% HF, 70% HNO3 and 85% H3PO4 in the ratio of 1:1:1 by volume They were subjected to metallographic polishing, also known as nanopolishing (NP), suitable to obtain smoother substrate surfaces. These samples were then coated with Nb<sub>3</sub>Sn following the usual Nb<sub>3</sub>Sn sample coating procedure at Jefferson Lab [7]. The temperature profile included a six-hour deposition step at 1200 °C instead of the usual three hours to make the Nb<sub>3</sub>Sn coating thicker. Two sets of samples coated in two separate runs were used in this study. One of the sets of show some potential tin residue on the surface.

# Electropolishing Treatments

The setup consisted of a polytetrafluoroethylene (PTFE) container as an electrolytic cell, aluminium cathode and the Nb<sub>3</sub>Sn-coated sample as an anode. Niobium rod was used for electrical connection to the sample, which was partly exposed to electrolytic solution during each treatment. Unlike typical electropolishing of niobium with 1:9 or 1:10 volume ratio of the mixture of 49% HF and 98% H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>, the volume ratio was reduced to 1:49, 2:48, 3:47 or 4:46 in electrolytic solution. Since, decreasing of HF volume ratio results in lower anodic current density, it was expected to lower the removal rate, making it suitable to process a thin layer of coating. Expected removal rate for each electrolytic solution was estimated from the anodic current density data reported before for niobium electropolishing for different ratios of acid solution [8]. Nb<sub>3</sub>Sn coated samples were treated electrochemically in each solution for estimated approximate removal of 50 nm - 500 nm. Expected removal rate was ~70, 135, 200 or 242 nm/min with 1:49, 2:48, 3:47 and 4:46 volume ratio of the mixture of 49% HF and 96% H<sub>2</sub>SO4 respectively. Fixed voltage of 6.5 V was used for each treatment. The temperature of electrolyte was within 22-25 °C at the beginning, which altered 1-2 °C at the end of some experiments.

# Oxypolishing

Oxypolishing is one of the processes that has been applied to Nb<sub>3</sub>Sn coated cavities before [9-10]. The oxide

from 1 \* Partially authored by Jefferson Science Associates under contract no. DEAC0506OR23177. Work at College of William & Mary supported by Office of High Energy Physics under grant SC0014475.

Characterization

layer was grown on the Nb<sub>3</sub>Sn coated sample via electrochemical anodization in 15% NH<sub>4</sub>OH solution by applying a fixed cell voltage of 30 V, and subsequently rinsed with HF to remove the oxide layer. It is expected to grow  $\sim 75$ nm thick oxide layer by using thickness-voltage relation spectively. [4]. Nb<sub>3</sub>Sn coated sample was subjected to 2, 8 and 12 cycles of treatments in successive experiments for approximate expected removal of 50nm followed by additional 200 nm, and then 300 nm removal. Local composition and microstructure of as-coated and electrochemically treated samples were examined by an Hitachi 4700 field emission scanning electron microscope (FE-SEM) with energy dispersive x-ray spectroscopy (EDS). The surface topographic measurement was carried out using a Digital Instruments Nanoscope IV (right) atomic force microscope (AFM) in tapping mode using silicon tips with radius < 10 nm, resonant frequency 300 kHz

and force constant 40 N/m. The scan sizes for selected samples were 50  $\mu$ m  $\times$  50  $\mu$ m and 10  $\mu$ m  $\times$  10  $\mu$ m, and 512  $\times$ 512 data points were acquired for each of 4-5 randomly selected areas. Averaged root mean square roughness  $(R_q)$ will be used in this paper for roughness comparison between the samples. Standard deviation is used as an error bar. Note that, roughness varies largely among  $10 \ \mu m \times 10$  $\mu$ m scans compared to 50  $\mu$ m × 50  $\mu$ m scans from different locations of the same sample. This is because only a few grains captured within 10  $\mu$ m × 10  $\mu$ m scan, and the roughness varies with size and number of grains are captured. In the larger scans of 50  $\mu$ m  $\times$  50  $\mu$ m, a sufficient number of grains get captured to represent average roughness, and will be used here to interpret the roughness change following any treatment.

### **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

In the first set of experiment, a set of samples prepared in the same coating run was subjected to electropolishing in 1:49, 2:48, 3:47 EP solution for ~ 50 nm and 200 nm removal. Comparison of roughness before and after treatments is presented in Table 1. It appears that the roughness slightly increased or stayed the same following each treatment. Obtained surfaces after the EP treatment in 1:49 solutions are shown in Figure 1, see (b) and (c). Grains appeared to develop some new features, which may have increased the roughness.

Similar removal was attempted in another set of coated samples, prepared in the same coating run with 4:46 EP solution. The roughness reduced here in each treatment as evident in 50  $\mu$ m × 50  $\mu$ m scans, shown in Table 2 (left). It indicated a surface smoothening. AFM images are shown in Figure 1, see (e), (f) and (g) from these treatments. Note that the suspected tin residue disappeared after 50 nm EP in this case. Similar set of coated samples was also EPed for ~500 nm material removal in each studied acid solutions. The roughness presented in Table 2 (right) shows roughness reduction in each case. Minimum roughness was achieved with 4:46 EP solution.

Table 1: Averaged root mean square roughness (R<sub>q</sub>) of sample after ~ 50nm and 200 nm EP removal. Note that the  $R_{q}$  value of similar untreated sample was (251±39) nm and  $(307\pm15)$  nm for 10  $\mu$ m x 10  $\mu$ m and 50  $\mu$ m x 50  $\mu$ m re-

Volume ratio of	50 nm 1	removal	200nm removal		
HF/H <sub>2</sub> SO4	Rq 10 μm x10 μm (nm)	Rq 50 µm x 50µm (nm)	Rq 10 μm x 10μm (nm)	Rq 50 μm x 50 μm (nm)	
1:49	285±64	326±7	222±25	303±29	
2:48	213±35	312±21	245±23	324±13	
3:47	203±17	296±16	235±27	323±10	

Table 2: Surface roughness following EP treatments in 4:46 EP solution (left). Comparison of roughness following ~500 nm EP removal with different EP solutions

Expected removal with 4:46 EP solution (nm)	Rq 10 μm x10 μm (nm)	Rq 50 μm x 50μm (nm)	Volume ratio of HF/H <sub>2</sub> SO4	500nm Rq 10 μm x 10μm (nm)	removal Rq 50 µm x 50 µm (nm)
Untreated	238±22	328±10	1:49	239±42	292±9
50	213±35	312±21	2:48	265±32	293±7
200	224±20	272±9	3:47	239±42	285±10
500	209±15	263±26	4:46	209±15	263±26

AFM images in Figure 1, (i), (j) and (k) show a Nb<sub>3</sub>Sn coated surfaces following Nb<sub>3</sub>Sn coated samples after sequential oxypolishing. Despite the reduced roughness after first oxypolishing compared to untreated sample, see Table 2, the roughness increased after second and third oxypolishing. Note that, the roughness is still less or very similar to reference as-coated sample.

Table 3: Roughness Evolution in Sequentially Oxypol ished Sample

Oxypolishing	Expected Removal (nm)	Rq 10 μm x10 μm (nm)	Rq 50 μm x 50μm (nm)
30 V x 2	50 nm	213±12	291±7
Plus 30 V x 8	Plus 200 nm	244±47	302±12
Plus 30V x 12	Plus 300 nm	196±17	311±11

Samples were examined with SEM/EDS following each treatment. The composition of the coating was not altered following any treatment. Since the coating was fairly thick compared to removed material in any experiment, resolution of EDS may not capture the surface composition.

Surface degradation by hole formation has been reported before for oxypolishing removal corresponding to total voltages of more than 200 V [11]. No such holes were observed in our study, but irregular etched spots or features that look like residue were noticed, within grains after ~500nm EP or oxypolishing removal, as seen in on Figure 1(k) or 2.

IPAC2018, Vancouver, BC, Canada 9th International Particle Accelerator Conference JACoW Publishing ISBN: 978-3-95450-184-7 doi:10.18429/JACoW-IPAC2018-THPAL131 (© 2018). Any distribution of this work must maintain attribution to the author(s), title of the work, publisher, and DOI (a) (b) (c) (d) × 2.000 pm/df 2.000 pm/di × 2.000 s × 2.001 µs (e) (f) (h) (g) × 2.000 µm × 2.000 µm/d1 × 2.000 LM (i) (j) (k) × 2.000 pm/dfv 7 1000.000 cm/ × 2.000 µm/df × 2.000 µm

licence ( Figure 1: Topography of surfaces after electropolishing and oxypolishing treatment. (a) and (e) are as coated reference Nb<sub>3</sub>Sn surfaces. (a), (b) and (c) were coated together, showed no tin residues. Others were from another coating run showing potential tin residues as seen in (e). (b), (c) and (d) respectively received ~50 nm, 200nm and 500 nm EP removal 3.0] in 1:49 volume ratio mixture of 96% HF and 48% H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>. (f), (g) and (h) subjected to EP for similar removal of ~ 50nm, ВΥ 200 nm and 500nm in 4:46 volume ratio of 96% HF and 48% H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>. (i), (j) and (h) received 2, 8 and 12 cycle of 30 V oxypolishing for similar amount of removal successively.



oxypolishing (~550 nm removal). Note new features, like enclosed inside yellow boxes.

#### SUMMARY AND FUTURE WORKS

Nb<sub>3</sub>Sn coated samples were attempted to oxypolish, or electropolish using different volume ratio of the mixture of 49% HF and 98% H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>. The data indicates conformal removal by both treatments with perhaps light smoothening. Macroroughness appeared to be consistently reduced with material removal with 4:46 EP solution, but further studies are needed to conform. Note that the standard EP solution (1:10 volume ratio) showed similar results before [6]. AFM and SEM images show irregularly etched spots or residueslike features in some sample following electrochemical treatment. Such area needed further investigations. Treated samples will be examined with XPS for possible composition degradation at the surface.

Content from THPAL131 • : (\* 3956

50

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We are very thankful for Olga Trifimova at William and Mary Applied Research Center for her help and support with AFM characterization.

#### REFERENCES

- Eremeev G, Kelley M, Pudasaini U, Reece C, Tuggle J., "Progress With Multi-Cell Nb3Sn Cavity Development Linked With Sample Materials Characterization", in 17th International Conference on RF Superconductivity (SRF2015), Whistler, BC, Canada, Sept. 13-18, 2015 (pp. 505-511).
- [2] S Posen, Merio M, Romanenko A, Trenikhina Y. Fermilab Nb3Sn R&D Program. In17th International Conference on RF Superconductivity (SRF2015), Whistler, BC, Canada, Sept. 13-18, 2015 2015 Dec (pp. 678-680).
- [3] Hall DL, Liepe M, Maniscalco J. RF Measurements on High Performance Nb3Sn Cavities. In7th International Particle Accelerator Conference (IPAC'16), Busan, Korea, May 8-13, 2016 2016 Jun (pp. 2320-2322).
- [4] Pudasaini U, Eremeev G, Kelley M, Reece C, Tuggle J. Post-Processing of Nb3Sn Coated Nb. In8th Int. Particle Accelerator Conf.(IPAC'17), Copenhagen, Denmark, 14â 19 May, 2017 2017 May 1 (pp. 1190-1193).
- [5] Porter R, Furuta F, Hall DL, Liepe M, Maniscalco JT. Effectiveness of Chemical Treatments for Reducing the Surface Roughness of Nb3Sn. In8th Int. Particle Accelerator Conf.(IPAC'17), Copenhagen, Denmark, 14â 19 May, 2017 2017 May 1 (pp. 1145-1148).
- [6] Pudasaini, U., Eremeev, G., Kelley, M., Reece, C. and Tian, H., 2018, January. Electrochemical Finishing Treatment of Nb3Sn Diffusion-coated Niobium. In 18th Int. Conf. on RF Superconductivity (SRF'17), Lanzhou, China, July 17-21, 2017(pp. 900-905).
- [7] Pudasaini U, Eremeev G, Kelley M, Reece C. Local Composition and Topography of Nb3Sn Diffusion Coatings on Niobium. In17th International Conference on RF Superconductivity (SRF2015), Whistler, BC, Canada, Sept. 13-18, 2015 2015 Dec (pp. 703-707).
- [6] Halbritter J, Darlinski A. Angle resolved XPS studies of oxides at Nb-, NbN-, NbC-and Nb3Sn-surfaces. IEEE Transactions on Magnetics. 1987 Mar;23(2):1381-4.
- [7] Hillenbrand B, Martens H, Pfister H, Schnitzke K, Uzel Y. Superconducting Nb3Sn cavities with high microwave qualities. IEEE Transaction on magnetic.1977 Jan13(1):491-5.
- [8] Tian, Hui, et al. "The mechanism of electropolishing of niobium in hydrofluoric-sulfuric acid electrolyte." *Journal of the Electrochemical Society* 155.9 (2008): D563-D568.
- [9] Peiniger, M., Hein, M., Klein, N., Müller, G., Piel, H. and Thuenus, P., 1988. Work on Nb3Sn cavities at Wuppertal (No. ANL-PHY--88-1-VOL.
- [10] Kneisel P, Kupfer H, Schwarz W, Stoltz O, Halbritter J. On properties of superconducting Nb3Sn used as coatings in RF cavities.IEEE Transactionson Magnetics.1977 Jan, 13(1):496-9.
- [11] P. Kneisel. History of Nb3Sn developments for superconducting rf cavities a review. Technical Report JLAB-TN-12-016, TJNAF, 2012.