# **Investigation of Cherenkov Radiation Characteristics from 855 Mev Electron Beam**

A. Potylitsyn, V. Vukolov, S. Gogolev, B. Alekseev, Tomsk Polytechnic University (TPU), Tomsk, Russia G. Kube, A. Novokshonov, Deutsches Elektronen Synchrotron (DESY), Hamburg, Germany W. Lauth, Johannes Gutenberg University (JGU), Mainz, Germany







#### Abstract

Radiation based beam diagnostics is a versatile tool, especially for transverse beam profile measurements. While the use of Optical Transition Radiation (OTR) has long history since developed, the application of Cherenkov Radiation (ChR) having comparatively higher intensity and tunable frequency spectrum just arouse interest. In order to investigate the ChR properties, an experiment has been carried out at the 855 MeV electron beam of the Mainz Microtron MAMI (University of Mainz, Germany). The beam size was  $536 \,\mu m$  in horizontal and  $6.3 \,\mu m$  in vertical direction measured with a scintillator and the same optical scheme. A  $200\,\mu m$  thick fused silica was used as radiator. The beam images were recorded with a standard CMOS camera and an objective lens. While the detector was at a fixed observation angle (much larger than  $46.77^{\circ}$  - the Cherenkov angle for a fused silica), the radiator could be rotated with respect to the beam direction such that the ChR angular distribution was measured as a function of the radiator orientation. This report gives an overview of the experiment together with measurements and first theoretical comparisons.

### **Experiment results**

The OTR measurement result one may see in Figure 5. The measured sizes are  $\sigma_{\mathbf{x}} \times \sigma_{\mathbf{y}} = \mathbf{631} \times \mathbf{8.9} \,\mu\mathbf{m}$ . In the measurement the 550 nm filter has been used.

TOMSK



## **Theoretical model**

The ChR radiation characteristics from dielectric plate may be calculated by the Pafomov's formula [1], but the equation is the way to complicated. In the works [2, 3] there was developed another approach. For the case  $\lambda \ll a, b$  (a, b – transverse radiator sizes) the approach gives:

$$\frac{d^2 W}{\hbar d\omega d\Omega} = \frac{e^2}{\pi^2 c} \frac{\beta_z^2 \cos^2 \theta}{\left((1 - \beta_y n_y)^2 - \beta_z^2 \cos^2 \theta\right)^2} \left| \frac{\varepsilon - 1}{\varepsilon} \right|^2 \left| \frac{1 - \exp\left\{-\frac{i\omega L(1 - \beta_z Z - n_y \beta_y)}{\beta_z c}\right\}}{1 - \beta_z Z - n_y \beta_y} \right|^2 \times \\
+ \left( \beta_y^2 \beta_z^2 \sin^2 \varphi \times (|Z|^2 + \sin^2 \theta) \left| \frac{\sqrt{\varepsilon}}{\cos \theta + Z} \right|^2 + \\
\left| \frac{\varepsilon}{\varepsilon \cos \theta + Z} \right|^2 \left| (\beta_z^2 + n_y \beta_y + \beta_z Z - 1) \sin \theta - \beta_y \beta_z \cos \varphi Z \right|^2 \right),$$
(1)



Here the variables  $\theta, \varphi, n_{x,y,z}$  are determined in the frame connected with the boundary normal (see Figure 1):

$$Z = \sqrt{\varepsilon - \sin^2 \theta};$$
  

$$\beta_y = -\beta \sin \psi; \quad \beta_z = -\beta \cos \psi;$$
  

$$n_z = \cos \theta;$$
  

$$n_x = \sin \theta \sin \varphi; \quad n_y = \sin \theta \cos \varphi$$

and  $\varepsilon(\lambda) = n^2(\lambda)$  is the permittivity. In the conventional coordinate frame connected eith the electron beam all the angular variables are

 $\cos\theta_{vac} = \cos\theta\cos\psi - \sin\theta\cos\varphi\sin\psi;$ 

 $\tan\varphi_{vac} = \frac{1}{\sin\theta\cos\varphi\cos\psi + \cos\theta\sin\psi}.$ 

 $\sin\theta\sin\varphi$ 

determined by the equations:

#### Figure 5: OTR measurement result.

The resulting ChR picture is shown in Figure 6. The beam size is  $\sigma_x \times \sigma_y = 661 \times 8.1 \,\mu m$ . The crystal angle was  $\Psi = 28^{\circ}$  in the measurement.



#### Figure 6: ChR measurement result.

## Simulation

Using Eq. 1 ChR fields were simulated on the objective (see Figure 7). One may see that the part of the whole Cherenkov cone (vertical line) is captured by the lens. Then the PSF distributions for both directions were simulated on the image plane using conventional Fourier transform (see Figure 8).



Figure 1: Geometry of ChR process and the angular variables

# **Experimental Setup**



CMOS Quartz e<sup>-</sup> bear Mirror 8.8 cm 34.5'cm

Figure 3: ChR experiment.

Figure 2: OTR experiment.

 $\bullet E = 855 \, MeV$ 

•  $\sigma_x \times \sigma_y = 536 \times 6.3 \,\mu m$  (scintillator result).

• the angle for OTR case was  $\theta = 22.5^{\circ}$ .

- in the case of ChR the crystal could be rotated in order to get the best condition.
- The objective *Schneider-Kreuznach Makro Symmar* 5.6/180 (F = 180 mm).

Here are OTR (Figure 2) and ChR (Figure 3) experiments schemes are shown.

- The CMOS camera *Basler aca2440-20gm*.
- OTR experiment had also bandwidth filter inserted 500, 550 or 650 nm.
- The angles in Figure 3 are related according to the following expression [4]:

Figure 7: PSF on the lens.



## Results

- We demonstrated the possibility to measure a beam profile utilizing optical Cherenkov Radiation.
- The results of the beam profile measurements were compared to the conventional OTR technique ones.
- We observed some broadening of the beam profile measured by both OTR and ChR techniques due to the non-ideal focusing of the optical scheme.
- The theory model allowing to calculate ChR PSF is developed.

 $\theta_{vac} = \Psi - \arcsin\left[n\cos\left(\Theta_{ch} + (\pi/2 - \Psi)\right)\right]$ 

Horizontal cut. Sigma<sub>y</sub> = 536.2 um The beam size was measured by a scintillator (GAGG). The result is  $\sigma_x \times \sigma_y = 536 \times$  $6.3 \,\mu m$ . The result is in Figure 4. -3 -2 -1 0 1 2 3 X, [mm] -3 -2 -1 0 1 2 3 X, [mm]

Figure 4: GAGG measurement result.

#### Acknowledgements

This research was supported by Tomsk Polytechnic University Competitiveness Enhancement Program and Russian Ministry of Science, Grant No. FSWW-2020-0008

# References

(2)

Vertical cut. Sigma<sub>v</sub> = 6.3 um

-0.1 -0.05 0 0.05 0. Y, [mm]

- [1] V.E. Pafomov, Proceedings P.N. Lebedev Physics Institute, ed. by D.V. Skobeltsyn, v. 44, p. 25-157, Consultants Bureau, New York, 1971.
- [2] D. Karlovets, A. Potylitsyn, "Universal description for different types of polarization radiation" arXiV:0908.2336v2/2010.
- [3] D. Karlovets, "On the theory of polarization radiation in media with sharp boundaries", JETP, 140, N. 1 (2011) 36-55.
- [4] E.Kh. Baksht, A.V. Vukolov, et al., "Cherenkov Radiation in the visible and Ultraviolet Spectral Ranges from 6-MeV Electrons Passing through a Quartz Plate", Optics and Laser Physics, 109, N. 9 (2019) 584-588.