Influence of a bellow to a cavity BPM for SINBAD.

D. Lipka, DESY, Hamburg, Germany

Abstract

A cavity beam position monitor acts for the detection of the beam location within a pipe with high precision and best resolution. Some of them are used as a fixed point to refer the other parts of the beamline. To be able to fix the monitor against the other vacuum components bellows need to be adapted next to the monitor to relax the other part of the vacuum chamber. The bellow itself can create a resonance which would influence the resonator of the cavity beam position monitor. In this study the influence of the bellow to a cavity beam position monitor is investigated with simulations for a SINBAD project. The result is that the influence to the dipole resonator is below 0.1 %.

Introduction

- SINBAD is a dedicated accelerator R&D facility currently under commissioning at DESY, Hamburg, and will host the ARES linac (Accelerator Research Experiment at SINBAD).
- It consists of a normal conducting photo-injector and a 100 MeV S-band linear accelerator with beam repetition rates between 10 and 50 Hz for the production of low charge beams (0.5-30 pC) with (sub-) fs duration and excellent arrival time stability [1-4].
- At a bunch compressor a tube diameter of 40.5 mm is requested with high demand on the monitoring of the beam position. Therefore a cavity beam position monitor (CBPM) with best resolution is foreseen as the monitor, the design of the European XFEL will be used [5].
- Bellows will be installed before and after the CBPM to relax the vacuum chamber. Such a bellow consists of blades which have a larger diameter of the vacuum tube and will create resonances which could influence the signal of the CBPM.
- Therefore the influence has to be investigated and if necessary be minimized.

Setup



- Bunch compressors essential for generation of short bunches. The bunch compressor consists of four dipoles and two collimators [6]. To monitor the beam properties a screen station and a CBPM will be installed.
- Since the compressor needs to be variable in the beam deflection a relative large beam pipe is requested. One version of the CBPMs of the European XFEL has a beam tube diameter of 40.5 mm which will be used for this bunch compressor too [5].
- The CBPM consists of a reference and dipole resonator and is adapted from a design of SACLA [7]. The working resonance frequency is tuned to the same value of 3.3 GHz. The decoupling of the monopole mode of the dipole resonator is done by waveguides or slots [8]. The setup is shown in Figure 1.
- Only the dipole resonator on the left side is shown and simulated with the simulation tool CST [9] because the reference resonator provides much higher amplitudes such that an influence from the bellow can be ignored.
- Each blade forms a resonator which can induce resonances near the CBPM working frequency due to similar diameters and their amplitude can be enhanced because of the repetitive design. The field of the bellow resonances can be transmitted to the dipole resonator due to the relative large beam tube diameter but will be attenuated due to the distance between resonator and first blade of 73.7 mm.

Figure 1: 3-dimensional simulation view of the vacuum part of the dipole resonator on the left in blue with the bellow on the right.

Simulation of the Influence of the Bellow

- CST provides beam simulations including wakefield generation
- Resonances due to the beam propagation will be induced
- Here voltage monitors are defined at the end of the feedthroughs.
- The simulation time of the beam propagation is defined until 18 ns which corresponds to the processing time of the used electronics:
- The voltage monitors provides the voltages as a function of time and include all resonances in time domain which would enter the beginning of the cables.
- Settings of the simulations are: 1 nC beam charge, horizontal offset of 1 mm (to generate dipole and quadrupole modes) and centered in vertical direction; the wakefield solver is used with hexahedral mesh.
- In Figure 2 the Fourier transformed signals on the horizontal and vertical CBPM exits with and without bellow are shown.
- The green line provides the signal without bellow and 1 mm offset; this one shows the dominant dipole resonance at 3.3 GHz.
- Similar amplitude for the case with bellow is shown with the red line.
- At lower frequencies the uncertainty of the case with bellow is larger due to the larger amplitude variations.
- At 2.8 GHz a small resonance is visible for the horizontal plane; this corresponds to the transmission of the monopole mode of the dipole resonator which is already negligible compared to the dipole mode and is reduced by the slot [8].
- For the horizontal case the red line with bellow shows higher amplitudes for several higher frequencies, for example 4.2 GHz, 4.3 GHz, 5.4 GHz, 6.2 GHz and so on. These resonances are generated in the bellow and transmitted until the feedthrough exit of the dipole resonator.
- Other frequencies can be generated too but will not be monitored due to the position of the voltage monitor. But these other not visible resonances are of no concern since they will not be transmitted to the electronics.
- The voltage amplitudes in the vertical plane are shown in Figure 2 too: with (blue) and without (brown) bellow. At the dipole resonance frequency of 3.3 GHz the vertical plane shows a reduction of the amplitude by lower than -60 dB which corresponds to the orthogonal de-coupling of the resonator [10].
- The resonance of 2.8 GHz is the monopole mode of the dipole resonator, the amplitude is below the case with offset.
- For higher frequencies additional resonances compared with the horizontal plane are visible which are generated and transmitted to the vertical feedthrough at 5 GHz, 5.7 GHz, 5.9 GHz and so on.



Figure 2: Signal spectrum measured at the exit of the CBPM dipole resonator with (red) and without (green) bellow at 1 mm beam offset. Similar spectrum is shown for the vertical plane without offset with (blue) and without (brown) bellow.

- Important for the influence of the signals are the amplitude change due to the bellow with offset. In this case the horizontal plane is used because in the vertical plane with centered beam shows no amplitude at the working frequency.
- The amplitudes are compared at the dipole resonance of 3.3 GHz with and without bellow within the bandwidth of the electronics of about 50 MHz.
- The amplitude in frequency domain increases by 0.06 % with bellow which is negligible small. Therefore this influence for the CBPM signal processing can be ignored.
- Higher order modes are visible and transmitted to the exit of the CBPM into the cables.
- These indicate that modes are generated and transmitted, other not transmitted modes which are not visible from these voltage monitor positions can be generated too but are of no importance for the CBPM functionality.
- Therefore this method is useful to prove the influence of the bellow to the CBPM. But higher modes are existing and could transmit to other components and their influence have to be proven as well.

Summary

- A CBPM will be installed in the bunch compressor of SINBAD.
- To relax the mechanics of the vacuum tube bellows will be attached on each side.
- The influence of additional induced fields due to the beam in the system CBPM and bellow are simulated and found to be negligible because at the working frequency of the electronics the change of amplitude is small.
- Higher order modes are visible too but are outside of the working frequency of the used electronics.
- This is proven with beam simulations without monitoring all resonances separately by using the wakefield solver.
- This provides a general method to prove the influence. this influence for the CBPM signal processing can be ignored.

References

[1] U. Dorda *et al.*, "Status and Objectives of the Dedicated Accelerator R&D Facility (SINBAD) at DESY", in *Proc. 3rd European Advanced Accelerator Concepts Workshop (EAAC'17)*, Elba, Italy, Sept. 2017, https://arxiv.org/pdf/1801.02825.pdf.

[2] U. Dorda *et al.*, "The Dedicated Accelerator R&D Facility Sinbad at DESY", in *Proc. 8th Int. Particle Accelerator Conf. (IPAC'17)*, Copenhagen, Denmark, May 2017, pp. 869-872, doi:10.18429/JACOW-IPAC2017-MOPVA012.

[3] B. Marchetti *et al.*, "Status update of the Sinbad-Ares linac under construction at DESY", in *Proc. 8th Int. Particle Accelerator Conf. (IPAC'17)*, Copenhagen, Denmark, May 2017, pp. 1412-1414, doi:10.18429/JACOW-IPAC2017-TUPAB040.

[4] E. Panofski *et al.*, "Status Report of the SINBAD-ARES RF Photoinjector and LINAC Commissioning", in *Proc. 10th Int. Particle Accelerator Conf. (IPAC'19)*, Melbourne, Australia, May 2019, pp. 906–909. doi:10.18429/JACoW-IPAC2019-MOPTS026

[5] D. Lipka, D. Nölle, M. Siemens and S. Vilcins, "Development of Cavity BPM for the European XFEL", in *Proc. 25th Linear Accelerator Conf. (LINAC'10)*, Tsukuba, Japan, Sep. 2010, paper TUP094, pp. 629–631.

[6] F. Lemery *et al.*, "Overview of the ARES Bunch Compressor at SINBAD", in *Proc. 10th Int. Particle Accelerator Conf. (IPAC'19)*, Melbourne, Australia, May 2019, pp. 902–905. doi:10.18429/JACoW-IPAC2019-MOPTS025

[7] H. Maesaka *et al.*, "Development of the RF Cavity BPM of XFEL/SPring-8", in *Proc. 9th European Workshop on Beam Diagnostics and Instrumentation for Particle Accelerators (DIPAC'09)*, Basel, Switzerland, May 2009, paper MOPD07, pp. 56–58.

[8] Balakin, Vladimir; Bazhan, Anatoli; Lunev, Pavel; Solyak, Nikolay; Vogel, Vladimir; Zhogelev, Pavel; Lisitsyn, A.; Yakimenko, Vitaly, "Experimental Results from a Microwave Cavity Beam Position MonitorInvited", in *Proc. 18th Particle Accelerator Conf. (PAC'99)*, New York, NY, USA, Mar. 1999, paper THAR2.

[9] Computer Simulation Technology, http://www.cst.com.

[10] D. Lipka *et al.*, "Orthogonal Coupling in Cavity BPM with Slots", in *Proc. 9th European Workshop on Beam Diagnostics and Instrumentation for Particle Accelerators (DIPAC'09)*, Basel, Switzerland, May 2009, paper MOPD02, pp. 44–46.

HELMHOLTZ ASSOCIATION

SINBAD

IBIC '20 International Beam Instrumentation Conference