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#### Abstract

The general exact solution exploited in [1] is applied, introducing suitable dimensionless parameters, and using appropriate asymptotic limiting forms, to compute the wake field multipoles for the different paradigm cases of LHC and DAFNE.


## INTRODUCTION

In [1] we computed the fields of a (bunched) beam in a pipe with walls of finite conductivity and thickness, for the simplest pipe-geometry (circular). We solved the problem by computing the Fourier transform of the wake potential Green's function produced by a point particle running at constant velocity $\beta c \hat{u}_{z}$, at a distance $r_{o}$ off axis of a circular cylindrical pipe with radius $b$, wall conductivity $\sigma$ and thickness $\Delta$.

The solution found is exact but complicated, so that in most cases of practical interest one has to resort to suitable limiting forms. In this paper we introduce a number of asymptotic approximations appropriate, in particular, to LHC (Large Hadron Collider) and DAFNE, whose relavant figures are collected in Tables I and II.

## THE GREEN'S FUNCTION

In [1] we obtained the Green's function for an off-axis point particle running parallel to the axis of a circular pipe of radius $b$ with finite conductivity $\sigma$ and thickness $\Delta$, viz.:
$\tilde{G}_{m}\left(k, r, r_{0}\right)=\tilde{G}_{m}^{\infty}\left(k, r, r_{0}\right)+\frac{q_{o}}{2 \pi \epsilon_{o}} \frac{I_{m}\left(k^{\prime} r_{0}\right) I_{m}\left(k^{\prime} r\right)}{b k^{\prime} I_{m}\left(k^{\prime} b\right)} \frac{N(k)}{D(k)}$,
(1)
where
$\tilde{G}_{m}^{\infty}\left(k, r, r_{0}\right)=\frac{q_{o}}{2 \pi \epsilon_{o}}\left\{A\left(k, r, r_{0}\right)-\frac{I_{m}\left(k^{\prime} r_{0}\right)}{I_{m}\left(k^{\prime} b\right)} K_{m}\left(k^{\prime} b\right) I_{m}\left(k^{\prime} r\right)\right\}$.
In Eq. (2) $k^{\prime}=k / \gamma, \tilde{G}_{m}^{\infty}$ is the solution of the wave equation corresponding to the perfectly conducting pipe, $A(\cdot)$ ,$N(k)$ and $D(k)$ are defined in [1] and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\eta=\frac{Z_{o} \sigma-i k \beta}{i k \beta} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $Z_{0}=\left(\mu_{0} / \epsilon_{0}\right)^{1 / 2}$ is the free space wave impedance. Eq. (1) reduces to the solution obtained in [2] in the limit $\Delta \rightarrow \infty$ of an infinitely thick wall.

## ASYMPTOTIC APPROXIMATIONS

In most cases of practical interest, one may resort to suitable (asymptotic) limiting forms, since many problemspecific (dimensionless) parameters are either very large or very small.

## Large parameters

The following inequality always holds in view of the assumed beam spectral features:
$|\bar{k} b|=\left|\sqrt{k^{\prime 2}-i \sigma \beta k Z_{o}}\right| b \sim\left|\sqrt{-i \sigma \beta k Z_{o}} b\right| \equiv\left|\frac{b}{\delta_{\text {wall }}}\right| \gg 1$,
where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta_{w a l l}=\left(-i \sigma \beta k Z_{o}\right)^{-1 / 2} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

is the electromagnetic skin depth. One has also $|\bar{k} d| \gg 1$, since $d \gtrsim b$. Note also that, within the useful spectral ranges discussed above, one has from Eq. (3):

$$
\begin{equation*}
\eta \simeq-i \frac{Z_{o} \sigma}{k \beta} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Accordingly, using the well known large-argument forms of the (modified) Bessel functions:

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{m}(z) \sim \frac{e^{z}}{\sqrt{2 \pi z}}, \quad K_{m}(z) \sim \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2 z}} e^{-z} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $I_{m}(\cdot)$ and $K_{m}(\cdot)$ with arguments $\bar{k} b$ and $\bar{k} d$ in Eq. (1), one gets a simpler form for both $N(k)$ and $D(k)$, viz.:

$$
\begin{equation*}
N(k)=-\bar{k}^{2} K_{m}^{\prime}\left(k^{\prime} d\right) \sinh \bar{k} \Delta+\eta k^{\prime} \bar{k} K_{m}\left(k^{\prime} d\right) \cosh \bar{k} \Delta \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

$D(k)=\sinh \bar{k} \Delta\left[k^{\prime 2} \eta^{2} I_{m}\left(k^{\prime} b\right) K_{m}\left(k^{\prime} d\right)-\bar{k}^{2} I_{m}^{\prime}\left(k^{\prime} b\right) K_{m}^{\prime}\left(k^{\prime} d\right)\right]$
$+\eta k^{\prime} \bar{k} \cosh \bar{k} \Delta\left[I_{m}^{\prime}\left(k^{\prime} b\right) K_{m}\left(k^{\prime} d\right)-I_{m}\left(k^{\prime} b\right) K_{m}^{\prime}\left(k^{\prime} d\right)\right]$.
The relative error stemming from use of Eq.s (8), (9) in Eq. (1) is shown in Fig. 1 as a function of $k b$, for the lowest order multipoles, in the special case (worst admissible one for LHC Table I) $\sigma=5.7 \cdot 10^{7} \Omega^{-1} m^{-1}, \gamma=5 \cdot 10^{2}$ and $b=1.5 \mathrm{~cm}$. The absolute error within the spectral range of interest is $\sim 10^{-6} \div 10^{-7}$.

## Small parameters

Let us next discuss the asymptotic limit:

$$
\begin{equation*}
|k| b / \gamma \sim|k| d / \gamma \ll 1 \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

For reasons which will be clarified soon, it is convenient to discuss separately the monopole $(m=0)$ and multipole ( $m \geq 1$ ) terms.


Figure 1: Relative error $\Gamma$ on $\left(2 \pi \epsilon_{0} / q\right)\left[\tilde{G}_{m}-\tilde{G}_{m}^{\infty}\right]$ versus $k b$ after assuming $\bar{k} b \gg 1$ and using Eq.s (8), (9) in place of Eq. (1); monopole, dipole and quadrupole terms ( $\mathrm{m}=0,1,2$ ).

The Monopole Term ( $m=0$ ) In the limit Eq. (10), one uses the zero-th order modified Bessel functions approximation valid for small arguments [3]:

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{0}(\zeta) \sim 1, \quad K_{0}(\zeta)=-\log (\zeta) \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

and hence the monopole term in Eq. (1) using Eq.s (8),(9) can be written

$$
\begin{gather*}
\tilde{G}_{0}\left(k, r, r_{0}\right)=\tilde{G}_{0}^{\infty}\left(k, r, r_{0}\right)+ \\
\frac{q_{o}}{2 \pi \epsilon_{o}} \frac{\gamma^{2}}{b k^{2}}\left[\frac{b}{2}+\eta \delta_{\text {wall }} \operatorname{coth}\left(\Delta / \delta_{\text {wall }}\right)\right]^{-1} . \tag{12}
\end{gather*}
$$

For a very thick pipe wall, $|\bar{k} \Delta| \sim\left|\Delta / \delta_{\text {wall }}\right| \gg 1$, whence $\left|\operatorname{coth}\left(\Delta / \delta_{\text {wall }}\right)\right| \sim 1$, and Eq. (12) becomes:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{G}_{0}\left(k, r, r_{0}\right)=\tilde{G}_{0}^{\infty}\left(k, r, r_{0}\right)+\frac{q_{o}}{2 \pi \epsilon_{o} b} \frac{\gamma^{2}}{k^{2}}\left(\frac{b}{2}+\eta \delta_{w a l l}\right)^{-1} \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

which, in the further limit (appropriate, e.g., both for LHC and DAFNE):

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|2 \eta \frac{\delta_{\text {wall }}}{b}\right| \gg 1 \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

yields the known result [2]:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{G}_{0}\left(k, r, r_{0}\right)=\tilde{G}_{0}^{\infty}\left(k, r, r_{0}\right)+\frac{q_{o} \beta \gamma^{2}}{2 \pi \epsilon_{o} b}(1+i) \sqrt{\frac{\beta}{2 \sigma Z_{o} k}} \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

For a finite-thickness pipe wall, $\left|\Delta / \delta_{\text {wall }}\right| \geq 1$, in the same limit Eq. (14), Eq. (12) yields:

$$
\begin{gather*}
\tilde{G}_{0}\left(k, r, r_{0}\right)=\tilde{G}_{0}^{\infty}\left(k, r, r_{0}\right)+ \\
\frac{q_{o} \beta \gamma^{2}}{2 \pi \epsilon_{o} b}(1+i) \sqrt{\frac{\beta}{2 \sigma Z_{o} k}} \tanh \left(\Delta / \delta_{\text {wall }}\right) . \tag{16}
\end{gather*}
$$

This latter, in the limit of infinite wall thickness, $\left|\Delta / \delta_{\text {wall }}\right| \rightarrow \infty$, gives back Eq. (15). The relative error produced by using Eq. (16) in place of Eq. (1) is shown in Fig. 2 as a function of $k b$.


Figure 2: Relative error $\Gamma$ on $\left(2 \pi \epsilon_{0} / q\right)\left[\tilde{G}_{m}-\tilde{G}_{m}^{\infty}\right]$ versus $k b$ after assuming $k b \ll 1$ and using Eq.s (16), (21) in place of Eq. (1); monopole, dipole and quadrupole terms ( $\mathrm{m}=0,1,2$ ).

Multipole Terms ( $m \geq 1$ ) In the asymptotic limit $|k| b / \gamma \ll 1,|k| d / \gamma \ll 1$ one uses in Eq.s (2), (1), (8) and (9) the small-argument asymptotic form of the modified Bessel functions of m-order [3]:

$$
\begin{gather*}
I_{m}(\zeta) \sim\left(\frac{\zeta}{2}\right)^{m} \frac{1}{m!} \\
K_{m}(\zeta) \sim \frac{(m-1)!}{2}\left(\frac{\zeta}{2}\right)^{-m}, \quad(m>0) \tag{17}
\end{gather*}
$$

Hence, from (2):

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{G}_{m}^{\infty}\left(r, r_{0}\right) \approx \tilde{G}_{m}^{\text {free space }}\left(r, r_{0}\right)-\frac{q_{o}}{2 \pi \epsilon_{o}} \frac{1}{2 m}\left(\frac{r r_{o}}{b^{2}}\right)^{m} \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{gather*}
\tilde{G}_{m}^{\text {free space }}\left(r, r_{0}\right) \approx \frac{q_{o}}{2 \pi \epsilon_{o}} \frac{1}{2 m}\left(\frac{r r_{o}}{b^{2}}\right)^{m} R\left(r, r_{o}\right),  \tag{19}\\
R\left(r, r_{o}\right)= \begin{cases}\left(r_{0} / r\right)^{m}, & r_{0} \leq r \leq b \\
\left(r / r_{0}\right)^{m}, & r \leq r_{o}\end{cases} \tag{20}
\end{gather*}
$$

and, from Eq.s (1),(8) and (9):

$$
\begin{gather*}
\tilde{G}_{m}\left(k, r, r_{0}\right)=\tilde{G}_{m}^{\infty}\left(k, r, r_{0}\right)+\frac{q_{o}}{2 \pi \epsilon_{o}} \frac{1}{m}\left(\frac{r r_{o}}{b^{2}}\right)^{m} \\
{\left[1+\frac{k^{2} \eta b}{m \bar{k} \gamma^{2}} \tanh (\bar{k} \Delta) \frac{\frac{k^{2} \eta d}{m \bar{k} \gamma^{2}}+\operatorname{coth}(\bar{k} \Delta)}{\frac{k^{2} \eta d}{m \bar{k} \gamma^{2}}+\tanh (\bar{k} \Delta)}\right]^{-1}} \tag{21}
\end{gather*}
$$

which, using Eq.s (5), (6) can be equally written:

$$
\left.\begin{array}{l}
\tilde{G}_{m}\left(k, r, r_{0}\right)=\tilde{G}_{m}^{\infty}\left(k, r, r_{0}\right)+\frac{q_{o}}{2 \pi \epsilon_{o}} \frac{1}{m}\left(\frac{r r_{o}}{b^{2}}\right)^{m}  \tag{22}\\
{\left[1+\frac{b / \delta_{\text {wall }}}{m \beta^{2} \gamma^{2}} \tanh \left(\frac{\Delta}{\delta_{\text {wall }}}\right) \frac{\frac{d / \delta_{\text {wall }}}{m \beta^{2} \gamma^{2}}+\operatorname{coth}\left(\frac{\Delta}{\left.\frac{d / \delta_{w a l l}}{\delta_{\text {wall }}}\right)}\right.}{\left[\operatorname{\beta } \gamma^{2} \gamma^{2}\right.}+\tanh \left(\frac{\Delta}{\delta_{\text {wall }}}\right)\right.}
\end{array}\right] .
$$

The relative error produced by using Eq. (21) in place of Eq. (1) for $m=1,2$ is shown in Fig.2.

As expected, the error increases with $k b$, but remains very small throughout the meaningful spectral range. Similar to the monopole term case, for a very thick pipe wall , one has $|\bar{k} \Delta| \sim\left|\Delta / \delta_{\text {wall }}\right| \gg 1$, and hence $\sinh \bar{k} \Delta \sim$ $\cosh \bar{k} \Delta$. Thus Eq. (21) becomes:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{G}_{0}\left(k, r, r_{0}\right)=\tilde{G}_{0}^{\infty}\left(k, r, r_{0}\right)+\frac{q_{o}}{2 \pi \epsilon_{0} m}\left(\frac{r r_{o}}{b^{2}}\right)^{m}\left(1+\frac{b / \delta_{w a l l}}{m \beta^{2} \gamma^{2}}\right)^{-1} \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

The finite-thickness pipe wall, $\left|\Delta / \delta_{\text {wall }}\right| \geq 1$ case, will be now discussed with reference to a number of limiting cases relevant to our applications.

LHC In the Large Hadron Collider one has:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\frac{b / \delta_{\text {wall }}}{\beta^{2} \gamma^{2}}\right| \ll 1, \quad\left|\frac{d / \delta_{\text {wall }}}{\beta^{2} \gamma^{2}}\right| \ll 1 \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

Accordingly, for not-too-small values of $\left|\Delta / \delta_{\text {wall }}\right|$,

$$
\begin{gather*}
\tilde{G}_{m}\left(k, r, r_{o}\right)=\tilde{G}_{m}^{\infty}\left(k, r, r_{o}\right)+ \\
\frac{q_{o}}{2 \pi \epsilon_{o}} \frac{1}{m}\left(\frac{r r_{o}}{b^{2}}\right)^{m}\left[1-\frac{b / \delta_{\text {wall }}}{m \beta^{2} \gamma^{2}} \operatorname{coth}\left(\frac{\Delta}{\delta_{\text {wall }}}\right)\right] . \tag{25}
\end{gather*}
$$

Equation (25) reproduces the limit form of Eq. (23) under Eq. (24) provided $\Delta \gg\left|\delta_{\text {wall }}\right|$. In the extreme limiting case $\left|\Delta / \delta_{\text {wall }}\right| \ll 1$ the expression in square brackets in Eq. (21) becomes simply $(1+b / d)^{-1}$, so that using (18), one has:

$$
\begin{gather*}
\tilde{G}_{m}\left(r, r_{0}\right) \approx \tilde{G}_{m}^{\text {free space }}\left(r, r_{0}\right)- \\
\frac{q_{o}}{2 \pi \epsilon_{o}} \frac{1}{2 m}\left(\frac{r r_{o}}{b^{2}}\right)^{m}\left[1-2\left(1+\frac{b}{d}\right)^{-1}\right] \tag{26}
\end{gather*}
$$

which reduces to the free-space term, if $\Delta \rightarrow 0$, i.e. $d \rightarrow b$, as expected.

Ultrashort Bunch Machines In ultrashort bunch machines, including, e.g., DAFNE, one has (Table II):

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\frac{b / \delta_{w a l l}}{m \beta^{2} \gamma^{2}}\right| \gg 1 \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

Accordingly, for not-too-small values of $\left|\Delta / \delta_{\text {wall }}\right|$,

$$
\begin{gather*}
\tilde{G}_{m}\left(k, r, r_{0}\right)=\tilde{G}_{m}^{\infty}\left(k, r, r_{0}\right)+ \\
\frac{q_{o}}{2 \pi \epsilon_{o}}\left(\frac{r r_{o}}{b^{2}}\right)^{m} \beta^{2} \gamma^{2} \frac{\delta_{\text {wall }}}{b} \operatorname{coth}\left(\Delta / \delta_{\text {wall }}\right) . \tag{28}
\end{gather*}
$$

In the extreme limiting case $\left|\Delta / \delta_{\text {wall }}\right| \ll 1$ the expression in square brackets in Eq. (22) becomes simply $(1+b / d)^{-1}$, so that using (18), one has:

$$
\begin{gather*}
\tilde{G}_{m}\left(r, r_{0}\right) \approx \tilde{G}_{m}^{\text {free space }}\left(r, r_{0}\right)- \\
\frac{q_{o}}{2 \pi \epsilon_{o}} \frac{1}{2 m}\left(\frac{r r_{o}}{b^{2}}\right)^{m}\left[1-2\left(1+\frac{b}{d}\right)^{-1}\right] \tag{29}
\end{gather*}
$$

which reduces to the free-space term, if $\Delta \rightarrow 0$, i.e. $d \rightarrow b$, as expected.

LHC Design parameters

| Nominal Circumference $L_{c}$ | 26658 m |
| :--- | :--- |
| Number of bunches $N_{b}$ | 2835 |
| Bunch length $\sigma_{s}$ | $(7 \div 13) \mathrm{cm}$ |
| Lorentz factor $\gamma$ | $500 \div 7000$ |
| Pipe diameter | 3 cm |
| Wall thickness | $50 \mu \mathrm{~m}(\mathrm{Cu})+1 \mathrm{~mm}(\mathrm{SS})$ |
| Wall conductivity | $\left(5.7 \cdot 10^{7} \div 10^{10}\right) \Omega^{-1} \mathrm{~m}^{-1}$ |
| Circulation frequency | 11.2455 kHz |

Table I

## DAFNE Design parameters

| Nominal Circumference $L_{c}$ | 97.69 m |
| :--- | :--- |
| Number of bunches $N_{b}$ | 120 |
| Bunch length $\sigma_{s}$ | 2 cm |
| Lorentz factor $\gamma$ | 1000 |
| Pipe diameter | 10 cm |
| Wall thickness | $2 \mathrm{~mm}(\mathrm{Al})$ |
| Wall conductivity | $3.4 \cdot 10^{7} \Omega^{-1} \mathrm{~m}^{-1}$ |
| Circulation frequency | 368.26 MHz |

Table II
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