

Presented by L. Walckiers CERN/LHC-MTA

Contributors : L. Bottura, M. Buzio, S. Fartoukh, S. Russenschuck, S. Sanfilippo, W. Scandale, F. Schmidt, E. Todesco, R. Wolf







1

# **Goals of the Presentation**

7 LHC Preseries Dipoles Measured (a) 1.9 K **Field Quality Compared to Predictions [14 Apertures]** Computed **Iron Saturation Hysteresis & Persistent Current Ramp rate effects due to Interstrand Resistance Expected from Prototypes : Decay & Snap-Back** 



**Compared with Beam Optics Requirements** 



# **The SM18 Test Station**









### Multipole field expansion in the complex plane.

2-D plane field in the current-free region of the magnet aperture







# **Dipole Strength and Direction**

#### **Spread of the strength (r.m.s.)**

- **6.4 unit** *ⓐ* injection
- **5.5 unit** *a* collision

#### **Field Direction**

Twist : 1.1 mrad meas. 3 mrad allowed

# Co-linearity : 0.5 mrad meas. 0.8 mrad allowed



=

8 unit allowed



# **Multipole Measured & Allowed**

### Injection

**Collision** 



!!  $b_5$  @ injection

 $b_3 @$  collision !!



EPAC'02 , June 5, 2002



•Change of cross-section: effect on  $b_3$ 

 Control limits computed with correlations to measures at 1.9 K

Correction worked as expected: we are inside the spec

Drift (under investigation) observed from magnet 1 to 15







# **High Field Effect - Dipole**



### Small discrepancy between calculation & measurement



EPAC'02 , June 5, 2002

Louis.Walckiers@Cern.ch



# **b**<sub>3</sub> & **b**<sub>5</sub> Saturation effect



### Substantial deformation due to Lorentz Force





# A typical LHC operation cycle





# Magnetization @ Injection Field

Multipole	Measured		Calculated	
[Unit]	Average	Spread	Average	Spread
b <sub>1</sub>	-2.19	1.78	-5.43	0.33
b <sub>3</sub>	-7.31	0.31	-7.97	0.11
b <sub>5</sub>	1.12	0.16	1.09	0.02
<b>b</b> <sub>7</sub>	-0.39	0.027	0.43	0.007

### Discrepancy (high for small n) under investigation





# Ramp Rate Effect

# SC Rutherford cable in transverse field





EPAC'02 , June 5, 2002



### **Eddy current in a LHC dipole Cross-section**







# **Ramp Rate Effect in Prototype Dipoles** Interstrand resistance < 10 $\mu\Omega$

Normal quadrupole during ramps

Normal sextupole during ramps







# **Ramp Rate Effect in Preseries Dipoles**

R&D to Control & Increase Interstrand Resistance  $R_{i.s.}$  Specified > 15  $\mu\Omega$ 

Measured  $R_{i.s.}~$  : from 30  $\mu\Omega$  to more than 100  $\mu\Omega$ 

Multipole	Measured	l @ 10 A/s	
[Unit]	Average	Spread	
b <sub>3</sub>	0.05	0.13	$\Delta b_3 = 0.02$ unit $\Rightarrow \Delta Q' = 1$ unit
b <sub>5</sub>	0.001	0.042	$\Delta b_5 = 0.2 \text{ unit} \Rightarrow 1 \sigma \text{ on D.A.}$





# Decay during Injection Snap-Back @ Acceleration Start







# **Decay & Snap-Back** : Sextupole



 $\Delta b_3 = 0.02$  unit creates  $\Delta Q' = 1$  unit





# **Decay & Snap-Back**

Multipole	Measured		
[Unit]	Average	Spread	
b <sub>3</sub>	1.6	0.47	$\Delta b_3 = 0.02 \text{ unit } \Rightarrow \Delta Q' = 1 \text{ unit}$
<b>b</b> <sub>5</sub>	-0.3	0.1	$\Delta b_5 = 0.2 \text{ unit} \implies 1 \sigma \text{ on D.A.}$





# Conclusions

**Transfer function**, Field Direction

*O.K.* 

**High Field Effects depend on Iron Saturation + Lorentz Forces** 

**Multipoles** b3, b5 improvement to verify @ 1.9 K

- **Injection Field** = Persistent Current (+ ?)
- **E Ramp Rate Effects** Cla

**Clear Improvement since Prototypes** 

**Decay & Snap-Back** 

**Delicate to control** 



