# **STATUS OF THE SOLEIL PROJECT**

M P LEVEL on behalf of the SOLEIL team

J.M. Filhol M.P. Level, P. Brunelle, R. Chaput, C. Herbeaux, A. Loulergue, O. Marcouillé, J.L. Marlats, A. Nadji, M.A. Tordeux, SOLEIL, Orsay, France, S. Chel, J. Payet, CEA, Saclay, France



**EPAC 2002** 



#### **SUMMARY**



- Nominal Energy
- New Lattice
- Insertion Devices
- Beam Stability
- New Developments
- Planning





- February 96: Team (CEA/CNRS) to realise the detailed study.
- Period 96-99: In relation with the French scientific community, a third generation 2.5 GeV Source was fully designed and the major component studies ready to be ordered.
- August 99: The project was stopped and the team dissolved.
- 11 September 2000 The new research minister announced the decision to build SOLEIL



# The project characteristics are those planned in the APD :

## ≻Energy : 2.5 to 2.75 GeV

Number of beam lines 24:

4 beam lines among 24 will be dedicated to biology (instead of 2 in APD).

Roughly 50% devoted to soft X rays and UV and 50% to hard X rays with possible evolution.

Timetable : first photon beam in 2005 with 10 beam lines, 24 in operation after 8 years.



# High energy photon production

BL for Biocristallography and diffraction(3–18 keV) (6 beam lines):

#### In-vacuum undulator U26

- gap 10 mm at 2.5 GeV and 8 mm at 2.75 GeV Brilliance  $\Rightarrow$  gain of 5
- Flux (slot 1mm x 1 mm at 30 m)  $\Rightarrow$  gain of 5
- 13 and 15 keV are obtained on the 13 th harmonics





**EPAC 2002** 



#### NOMINAL ENERGY : 2.5 GeV ⇒ 2.75 GeV (3)



**EPAC 2002** 



➤ Low energy photon production
Soft X rays (10 eV – 1 keV) (3 Beam lines):

HU 300, 3.6 m long (+ U 80, 1.8 m long)

⇒ The limit comes from the power density on the vacuum chamber : 765 W/mrad<sup>2</sup>

 $\Delta T = 28^{\circ}$  (modified vacuum chamber with water cooled copper slot)

The slot ( $\pm 0.48$  mrad for 7 mm) does not limit the useful flux (Vertical polarisation : cone  $\pm 0.37$  mrad) The power on the optics can be reduced to less than 314W with diaphragm.

At 2.5GeV, the same limit would be reached with a 250 mm period. The difference in brilliance is ≤ 30%





**EPAC 2002** 

The idea was to create new straight section by drifting apart the two quadrupole doublets located between the two bending magnets of the DB cell.



Lattice and optical functions for a super period of the storage ring

**EPAC 2002** 



#### **OPTICS : Dynamic aperture**



**EPAC 2002** 



#### **OPTICS: Synchrotron motion**



**EPAC 2002** 



#### **OPTICS : Energy Acceptance**



**EPAC 2002** 



Energy:	2.75 GeV
Circumference:	354.097m
Emittance (rms):	3.73 nmrad
N. of cells / super periods:	16/4
Straight sections: 12m x 4	; 7 m x 12 ; 3.6 m x 8
N. of dipoles / Nominal field	32 / 1.71 T
N. of quad. / Max. gradient	160 / 23 T/m
N. of sextu. / Max. strength	120 / 320 T/m <sup>2</sup>



Betatron tunes,  $Q_x/Q_z$ : 18.28/10.26 *Natural Chromat.*  $\xi_{1}/\xi_{2}$ : - 2.84/-2.23 4.38×10-4 Momentum compaction: 1.16×10-3 Energy dispersion: Harmonic number: 416 352.202 MHz Radio Frequency: RF Voltage: 4.8 MVEnergy Loss per Turn(IDs): 1300 keV



#### **Main operation modes**

➢ Multi-bunch operation
Beam Current: 500 mA
Beam Lifetime (Coupling factor K<sup>2</sup> =1%): 20 h
➢ Temporal structure
Beam Current: 8 x 10 mA
Beam Lifetime (Coupling factor K<sup>2</sup> =10%):18 h
Bunch Length (I= 0) (rms) σ<sub>s</sub>:14 ps
Bunch Length (I<sub>b</sub>=10 mA) (rms) σ<sub>s</sub> < 30 ps</li>

Note: Beam gas and Touschek lifetimes are calculated with the following hypothesis:

- The pressure is  $10^{-9}$  torr for 500 mA.
- The vertical aperture is 13 mm (respectively 8 mm) for an undulator of 6 m long (respectively 3.6 m).
- Touschek lifetime is calculated for natural bunch length ( $\sigma_{s} = 14 \text{ ps}$ ).

**EPAC 2002** 



#### **Insertion Devices (1)**



M.P. LEVEL

**EPAC 2002** 



#### **Insertion Devices (2)**



**EPAC 2002** 



#### **Insertion Devices (3)**



**EPAC 2002** 



## **Beam Position stability (1)**

#### Vibrations :

3 campaigns of measurements : sinusoidal noise with in the daytime a maximum elongation peak to peak of 0.35  $\mu$ m + some accidents of 0.5-0.7 $\mu$ m (planar wave 2.5 Hz).

In the worst case(slow motion versus data acquisition time) and for 1% emittance coupling there is a margin of 1.8 in vertical and 10 in Horizontal.





#### **Beam Position stability (2)**



**EPAC 2002** 



These accidents have been identified as waves produced by some kind of public work trucks in correlation with some kind of irregularities of the two adjacent roads (2.5 Hz)

The repair of theses roads and the maintenance of the quality of their surface in the time will get us rid of these vibrations which should guaranty a very good beam position stability.





## **Longitudinal Stability**



WEBLA002 Test on ESRF Ring J. Jacob et Al

**EPAC 2002** 



Different improvements in study :

•Aluminium vessels with NEG coating for all straight parts of the machine (quadrupoles, sextupoles and insertions).

• New type of BPMs (DSP based electronics) better adapted for fast feedback and turn by turn measurements.

•Switching type power supplies with digital regulation loop.



# **TOP-UP** injection: To compensate a lifetime as bad as 4 hours by injecting every 2 min.

LINAC specif. : • In multibunch operation (500 mA in 416 bunches): Output LINAC charge 8 nC in 300 ns • In temporal structure (100 mA in 8 bunches): Output LINAC charge 1.5 nC in 3 bunches



BOOSTER: 2 super periods 36 Dipoles : 0.67 T / 2.17 m 44 Qpoles: 10.3 T/m/0.4 m Drifts: 3.17 m Circumference: 157 m Emittance: 150 nm

Power supplies cycling at 3 Hz (TUPRI096)

A. Loulergue etAl





**EPAC 2002** 

![](_page_26_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_26_Picture_1.jpeg)

EPAC 2002

![](_page_27_Picture_0.jpeg)

#### **GENERAL PLANNING**

![](_page_27_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_28_Picture_0.jpeg)

#### **BUDGET**

The total cost was reevaluated leading to an investment cost of 207 M $\in$  of which 59 M $\in$  for the accelerators and sources.