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Abstract

A critical review of resistive losses in the LHC beam screen, taking into account anomalous skin effect and surface roughness, has triggered a programme of surface resistance measurements at different temperatures, frequencies and magnetic field intensities. The aim is to establish a realistic heating budget for the LHC cryogenic system and to optimize the fabrication process for the copper coating of the beam screen. Preliminary results at cryogenic temperatures (without magnetic field) indicate a surface resistance about a factor two larger than previously estimated: an absolute measurement precision of a few per cent is reached by comparing the quality factors of even and odd TEM modes in a cylindrical structure with two inner conductors.

1 INTRODUCTION

The LHC beam screen is a stainless steel pipe (for mechanical strength during quench) with a 50 μm copper layer coated on its inner surface. It is cooled at a temperature ranging from 5 to 20 K. The purpose of the copper coating is to reduce the surface resistance $R_s$, i.e., the ratio between longitudinal component of the electric field at the wall surface and beam-induced wall current. This is important to minimize at the same time transverse resistive wall instability (a relatively low frequency phenomenon) and beam-induced wall heating. At top energy and for nominal beam parameters, the classically computed resistive wall heating is 75 mW/m. Owing to the anomalous skin effect, this value should increase by 11%, but according to surface resistance measurements performed on samples of the SSC beam tube, the increase could be significantly larger [1].

For a circular screen of radius $b$, the parasitic heating power per unit length is given by

$$\frac{P}{L} = \frac{I_{av}^2}{M f_0} \cdot \frac{\varepsilon^2}{\pi} \int_0^\infty \left| \tilde{\lambda}(\omega) \right|^2 \frac{R_s(\omega)}{2\pi b} d\omega, \quad (1)$$

where $I_{av}$ is the average beam current, $M$ the number of bunches, $f_0$ the revolution frequency, $c$ the velocity of light and $\tilde{\lambda}(\omega)$ the bunch spectrum, which for the relatively short LHC bunches at 7 TeV (7.5 cm, r.m.s.) will cover a wide high frequency region (637 MHz, r.m.s.).

Since the parasitic heating scales with the square of $I_{av}$, for the ultimate beam intensity of 850 mA, it may become comparable to the synchrotron radiation power loss of 326 mW/m. Reliable data for the surface resistance up to a few GHz is therefore needed to establish a realistic heating budget for the cryogenic system and to optimize the fabrication process for the copper coating of the beam screen. The situation is complicated by the fact that the anomalous skin effect is accompanied by a strong magnetic field and by phenomena associated with the surface roughness. There is no valid theory for estimating the surface resistance in this complex environment and this has triggered a programme of surface resistance measurements on several samples of the LHC beam screen.

2 EXPERIMENTAL SETUP

To measure the surface resistance at several temperatures and with an 8.4 T magnetic field, we decided to avoid using superconductors. With the experimental setup shown in Fig. 1, we measure the quality factors $Q^{++}$ and $Q^{+-}$ of even and odd modes excited in a 1 m long cylindrical TEM mode cavity with two inner conductors. Relying on the only assumption that $R_s$ be the same for the two inner conductors, we then obtain the surface resistance of both inner conductors and outer tube in steps of about 150 MHz.

Figure 1: Experimental setup: a vector network analyser (VNWA) is used in conjunction with two hybrids, yielding either even (++) or odd (+-) mode excitation for a 1 m long cylindrical cavity with two inner conductors, held by 3 teflon supports. The near degeneracy of even and odd modes is removed by two teflon splitters placed between the inner conductors.
Figure 2: Surface resistance measured for the thermally treated inner conductors (a, c, e) having a dc RRR ≥ 200, and for the outer tube (b, d, f) with no thermal treatment and a dc RRR ≥ 50, compared to the predictions in the classic (solid line) and anomalous regime (dot-dashed lines: 40 K, dashed lines: 4 K). Figures (a) and (b) show data measured at room temperature, either before (“X”) or after cryogenic measurements using shorter coupling networks (“O”), at 40 K, either before (“b”) or after (“a”) injection of He gas during cool down (leading to a sudden thermalisation of the inner conductors, otherwise thermally insulated) or with external heating (“+”), at 20 K (“+”), at 10 K (“x”) and at 4 K (“o”). Some measurements at 40 K have a large temperature spread from top to bottom of the outer tube. The data at room temperature is best fitted assuming a resistivity $\rho(300 \text{ K}) = 2 \times 10^{-8} \Omega \text{m}$ for the inner conductors and $\rho(300 \text{ K}) = 3.2 \times 10^{-8} \Omega \text{m}$ for the outer tube. Figures (c) and (d) are a magnification of (a) and (b), respectively, showing only measurements at cryogenic temperatures. The data in (e) and (f) has been obtained at 4 K with shorter coupling networks, to allow measurements in a wider frequency range: data above 1.2 GHz, however, has been discarded due to strong or badly corrected coupling.
to have sufficiently weak coupling coefficients network and the inner conductors (a few cm) is adjusted is 19.5 mm. The distance between the axes of the two inner conductors are planned also with a colaminated copper layer.

down to 4 cm, to allow measurements in a wider frequency

tors is best fitted assuming a resistivity $\rho(300 \text{ K}) = 2.7 \times 10^{-9} \Omega m$ for the inner conductors and $\rho(300 \text{ K}) = 3.2 \times 10^{-8} \Omega m$ for the outer tube. The slightly higher resistivity attributed to the inner conductors may be due to small scratches in their copper coating, caused by the sliding teflon supports repeatedly mounted during previous measurements.

Indeed the unloaded quality factor of each mode, given by $1/Q = \Gamma_{IN}/R_s^{IN} + \Gamma_{OUT}/R_s^{OUT}$, is related through calculable geometric coefficients [2] $\Gamma_{IN}$ and $\Gamma_{OUT}$ to the surface resistances $R_s^{IN}$ and $R_s^{OUT}$ of the inner conductors and of the outer tube. Measuring $Q^{++}$ and $Q^{-+}$, therefore, we can solve a system of two equations in the two unknowns $R_s^{IN}$ and $R_s^{OUT}$.

The two inner cylindrical conductors (of radius 8 mm) and the outer tube (of radius 19.6 mm) are all made out of stainless steel with a 50 $\mu$m electrodeposited copper layer, having a surface roughness of a few $\mu$m. Future measurements are planned also with a colaminated copper layer. The distance between the axes of the two inner conductors is 19.5 mm. The distance $d$ between each coupling network and the inner conductors (a few cm) is adjusted to have sufficiently weak coupling coefficients $\beta_1(\omega)$ and $\beta_2(\omega)$ over the frequency range of interest. We calculate coupling by measuring reflection coefficients at each coupling port, while the loaded quality factor $Q_{load}(\omega)$ for each mode is obtained by a transmission coefficient measurement. The corresponding unloaded quality factor is then given by $Q(\omega) = [1 + \beta_1(\omega) + \beta_2(\omega)] Q_{load}(\omega)$. The length $l$ of the coupling networks has been varied from 12 down to 4 cm, to allow measurements in a wider frequency range (up to about 1.5 GHz). Indeed coupling grows with frequency, but suddenly drops over a large frequency gap whose location depends critically on $l$. This phenomenon seems related to internal resonances of the coupling networks.

3 PRELIMINARY EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS

In Figs. 2 and 3 we present some preliminary surface resistance measurements without magnetic field, from room temperature down to 4.2 K, compared to the predictions in the classic and anomalous regime. The inner conductors have been thermally treated at 350°C and have a measured dc RRR around 200. Fig. 2 refers to an outer tube with no thermal treatment and a dc RRR~50, while Fig. 3 refers to a thermally treated outer tube. The low temperature resistivity of the outer tube in the case of Fig. 2 is comparable to that of the LHC beam screen in a magnetic field of 8.4 T, but the measured surface resistance around 1 GHz is almost a factor two larger than previously estimated.

Both figures show a slight modulation of the surface resistance as a function of frequency; this modulation is anti-correlated for the IN versus OUT data and grows from a few per cent at low frequencies to about 10% beyond 1 GHz. We are currently investigating this effect, which might be due to the teflon supports, to the teflon splitters, to geometric imperfections or to the coupling networks.
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