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Abstract

Beam-beam effects present one of major factors limiting the luminosity of colliders. In the linac-ring option of eRHIC design, an electron beam accelerated in a superconducting energy recovery linac collides with a proton beam circulating in the RHIC ring. There are some features of beam-beam effects, which require careful examination in linac-ring configuration. First, the beam-beam interaction can induce specific head-tail type instability of the proton beam referred to as ‘kink’ instability. Thus, beam stability conditions should be established to avoid proton beam loss. Also, the electron beam transverse disruption by collisions has to be evaluated to ensure beam quality is good enough for the energy recovery pass. In addition, fluctuations of electron beam current and/or electron beam size, as well as transverse offset, can cause proton beam emittance growth. The tolerances for those factors should be determined and possible countermeasures should be developed to mitigate the emittance growth. In this paper, a soft Gaussian strong-strong simulation is used to study all of mentioned beam-beam interaction features and possible techniques to reduce the emittance growth.

INTRODUCTION

There is growing interest in physics community in building high energy, high luminosity polarized electron-ion collider in order to study the fundamental structure of nucleons and nuclei and details of QCD theory governing the interaction in nuclear matter. eRHIC will take advantage of existing RHIC ion collider at BNL with addition of an electron accelerator, which will generate high intensity electron beam.

The design of eRHIC based on an energy recovery linac opens a way to high luminosity of more than $10^{35}$ cm$^{-2}$s$^{-1}$ [1]. In this so-called linac-ring design the electron accelerator includes a superconducting energy recovery linac and several recirculating passes in order to accelerate the electrons to 10 GeV, with possible extension to higher energies. The fact that the electron beam collides with ions only once allows for higher strength of beam-beam interaction and, therefore, higher luminosity than could be achieved by using the electron storage ring. In Table 1, the basic parameters of present eRHIC linac-ring design are listed.

There are several features of beam-beam effect, special for the linac-ring design. In this paper we will focus on following effects: the proton beam instability of head-tail type referred as ‘kink instability’, the electron beam disruption and proton emittance growth due to electron beam noise. All these effects have been studied using numerical simulations by specially written beam-beam simulation code. The results are presented in following sections.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1. eRHIC parameter table</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Bunch Intensity</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Energy (GeV)</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>RMS emittance (nm-rad), x/y</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>β′ (m), x/y</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Bunch length (cm)</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Beam-beam parameter, x/y</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Disruption parameter, x/y</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

KININSTABILITY

The periodic beam-beam interaction between proton and electron beams may excite the strong head-tail type instability, referred as the kink instability, on the proton beam. Previous works [2,3] analytically considered the instability using linear approximation of beam-beam force.

We use soft Gaussian strong-strong simulation code to study the kink instability in the eRHIC linac-ring scheme. Proton bunches are divided in several longitudinal slices and the electro-magnetic field is calculated for every slice using its transverse size and its beam intensity. The electron beam, whose bunch length is much shorter than that of protons, is considered as an infinitely thin slice. Such approach allows exploring relevant physics phenomena and requires much less calculation time than the particle-in-cell method. In our simulation, both full nonlinear beam-beam force (1) and only its linear part have been used. The variation of beta functions along the interaction region, hourglass effect, has been also taken into account.

$$F_r = \frac{ne^2}{2\pi\epsilon_0 r} \left[ 1 - \exp\left(-\frac{r^2}{2\sigma^2}\right) \right]$$

Figure 1 shows that the example of fast emittance growth which occurs at zero chromaticity, with the beam-beam force set to be nonlinear and included hourglass effect. The growth rate decreases as the proton intensity drops down. At the intensity of 1.e10 protons per bunch, the proton beam is below threshold with no fast emittance growth.
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Frequency analysis done in linear beam-beam force approximation, zero chromaticity and without the hourglass effect (Figure 2) allows finding the threshold of strong head-tail effect. The mode merging happens at the proton intensity of 1.6e10 particles per bunch, much lower than the design parameter 2e11 protons per bunch. On the turns when fast emittance growth is seen, the 0 and 1 collective modes are well seen in the dipole moment pattern, as shown in Figure 3. Here we use RHIC synchrotron tune 0.0043 in simulation.

Landau damping can be introduced to suppress the instability. For eRHIC design parameters, we found that large enough chromaticity and nonlinear beam-beam force can stabilize the beam by suppressing the fast emittance growth. As shown in Figure 4, for nonlinear beam-beam force case, positive chromaticity of 4-5 units minimizes the emittance growth ratio after 3000 turns. The energy spread of proton beam used in simulation is 0.001.

\[ d = \frac{4\pi\xi}{\beta^*} \] (2)

where \( \beta^* \) is the beta function at IP, \( \xi \) is the beam-beam parameter.

Solving the equation of linear transverse motion with added fluctuation \( \delta d \) of beam-beam quadrupole, one obtains the average growth rate of the mean square beam size as:

\[ \frac{d\langle x^2 \rangle}{dt} = \frac{\beta^* d\langle x^2 \rangle \langle (\delta d)^2 \rangle}{2T d^2} \] (3)
with $T$ as the revolution period.

From Eq (3), with existence of noise in electron beam, the proton beam size will exponentially grow with respect to time. The growth time is

$$\tau_{\langle \delta l \rangle} = \frac{4T}{\beta^2 d^2} \left\langle \frac{d^2}{(\delta l)^2} \right\rangle = T \frac{d^2}{4\pi^2 \delta \xi \pi \delta \beta \tau} \quad (4)$$

Figure 5 demonstrates the relation between the growth time and the fluctuation of proton beam-beam parameter caused by different electron bunch intensity and beam size from shot to shot. For acceptable beam size growth time, the fluctuations of beam-beam focusing strength should be not larger than $5\cdot10^{-4}$.

![Figure 5: Beam size growth time vs. fluctuations of beam-beam strength](image)

**ELECTRON BEAM DISRUPTION**

The expected value of disruption parameter of electron beam can reach 5.9. The nonlinear part of the beam-beam force causes considerable electron emittance growth. In the same time, the linear focusing due to the beam-beam force provides the electrons with extra phase advance and, therefore, creates a mismatch between the design lattice optics and the electron transverse distribution.

Simulations were done assuming protons to have Gaussian transverse and longitudinal distributions and the beam-beam force described by the expression (1). The simulations show how electron RMS emittance and beam size evolve due to the interaction with the protons throughout the collision region (Figure 6).

Disrupted electron beam goes through recirculating passes during its deceleration. The magnet aperture should be large enough to avoid excessive beam loss. Figure 7 presents the beam loss power dependence on the magnet aperture for two types of initial electron beam distribution (Gaussian (denoted as GS in Figure 7) and Beer-Can (denoted as BC)) and for two electron energies (3 GeV and 20 GeV). For the worst energy case of 3 GeV beam the least magnet aperture can be as small as 3.5 mm for the case of Beer-Can distribution.

![Figure 6: Electron emittance and beam size vs. longitudinal position](image)

![Figure 7: Beam loss power vs. magnet aperture](image)

**CONCLUSION**

Three aspects of beam-beam interactions for the ERL-based eRHIC design have been considered. It was found that the design proton beam intensity is above kink instability threshold. However, the nonlinear beam-beam field and large enough chromaticity stabilize the beam and eliminate the emittance growth. To reduce the proton beam size growth to the acceptable level, the fluctuation of electron beam parameters, such as the emittance and bunch intensity should be not larger than $5\cdot10^{-4}$. The electron beam disruption doubles the rms emittance of electron beam and seriously distorts electron optics. The size of the disrupted electron beam has been used to define the minimal magnet aperture which can be as small as 3.5 mm for the case of Beer-Can distribution.
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