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Abstract

During beam acceleration in the Brookhaven accelerator complex, heavy ions are stripped of their electrons in several steps. Depending on the properties of the stripping foils, this process results in an increased energy spread and longitudinal emittance growth. A tomographic phase space reconstruction technique has been applied to measure the associated emittance growth for different stripping foil materials.

INTRODUCTION

The heavy ion injector chain of the Relativistic Heavy Ion Collider (RHIC) consists of a Tandem van de Graaff, the Booster Synchrotron, and the Alternating Gradient Synchrotron (AGS). Negatively charged gold ions are produced at the ion source, and stripped to a charge state of +12 during acceleration in the Booster. From there, they are injected into the Booster via the Tandem-to-Booster (TtB) transfer line. During transfer into the Booster, another 20 electrons are removed from the ions, resulting in a charge state of +32. Upon injection into the AGS via the Booster-to-AGS (BtA) transfer line, the charge state reaches +77 due to removal of another 45 electrons. Finally, the remaining 2 electrons are removed in the AGS-to-RHIC (AtR) line, leading to fully stripped gold ions to be injected into RHIC. Figure 1 shows the various charge states for gold ions in the BNL accelerator complex.

To preserve small emittances, it is essential to choose the properties of the stripping foils such that emittance degradation during the stripping process is minimized, while at the same time sufficient stripping efficiency is provided. During the FY 2003 RHIC run, systematic studies of the effect of different stripping foils on longitudinal beam emittance in the BtA line were performed.

While the effect of different stripping foils can be qualitatively seen by comparing longitudinal beam profiles as taken by a wall current monitor, a quantitative analysis requires information on the longitudinal phase space distribution. This is especially true if the bunch under study is not matched to the longitudinal bucket, for then the wall current monitor display data vary from turn to turn. For the FY 2003 RHIC run, six gold bunches were accelerated during each Booster cycle and transferred as a bunchtrain into the AGS. Because of the energy loss and associated velocity loss in the BtA stripping foil, at least five of these six bunches are inherently mismatched into the AGS bucket.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>thickness [µm]</th>
<th>carbon</th>
<th>silica</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>non-uniformity [percent FWHM]</td>
<td>8.30</td>
<td>0.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>energy loss [MeV/amu]</td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>4.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>∆E_{non-uniform} [MeV]</td>
<td>32.7</td>
<td>1.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>∆E_{Booster} [MeV]</td>
<td>10.3</td>
<td>10.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>∆E_{stragg} [MeV]</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>3.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>∆E_{AGS} [MeV]</td>
<td>34.4</td>
<td>10.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1: Parameters of the two stripping foils.

RESULTS

Two stripping foils were compared in the BtA line, a 125 µm thick carbon foil and a 100 µm thick silica foil. Given the foil material and thickness as well as the non-uniformity of the thickness, the expected energy spread in the AGS can be estimated as

\[ \Delta E_{AGS} = \sqrt{\Delta E_{Booster}^2 + \Delta E_{non-uniform}^2 + \Delta E_{stragg}^2}, \]

where \( \Delta E_{Booster} \) is the rms energy spread at Booster extraction, \( \Delta E_{non-uniform} \) is the rms energy spread due to foil non-uniformity, and \( \Delta E_{stragg} \) denotes the rms energy spread caused by straggling. Table 1 lists these parameters for the two foils, together with the resulting expected rms energy spread in the AGS. The resulting energy spread at AGS injection is expected to be about three times smaller for the silica stripping foil than for the carbon foil.
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the RF voltage, a multi-parameter fitting routine was applied to effectively measure this parameter, and to determine the position of the synchronous phase with respect to the injected bunch [2]. Once the correct values for these parameters were found, the resulting emittance of the corresponding phase space distribution was calculated. Since the reconstruction algorithm tends to produce low-intensity tails in the phase space distribution due to residual noise in the data, all data points below a certain noise level were set to zero during this emittance calculation. This method provides a more accurate emittance value than subtracting the maximum value of that noise level from all data points. This low-intensity noise appears only in relatively few phase space areas, so this process is justified.

As Figure 2 indicates, the resulting longitudinal bunch emittance for the silica foil is significantly smaller than for the carbon foil. Taking the average over 11 bunches for the silica foil, the calculated emittance is $0.46 \pm 0.04 \text{ eV} \cdot \text{sec}$, compared to an average of $1.04 \pm 0.18 \text{ eV} \cdot \text{sec}$ for the carbon foil, computed from 16 bunches.

**CONCLUSION**

A tomographic phase space reconstruction technique has been applied to analyze longitudinal emittance growth during the stripping of gold ions in the Booster-to-AGS transfer line of the Brookhaven accelerator complex. With the silica foil, the emittance of the emerging beam is a factor 2.5 smaller than with the carbon foil, which is in good agreement with expectations, as listed in Table 1. This significant improvement results in better re-bucketing performance in RHIC, leading to a higher luminosity. However, the stripping efficiency produced by the carbon foil is greater than that of the silica foil for the desired resulting charge state of $\text{Au}^{77+}$. Beryllium foils are currently under consideration instead of silica, since beryllium is expected to provide small energy spread together with high stripping efficiency.
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Figure 2: Reconstructed longitudinal phase space at AGS injection, using the carbon foil (top) and the silica stripping foil (bottom).