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… the Scientific Method

- Popperian deniability …
  - Truth cannot be verified by scientific testing, it can only be falsified.
  - Falsification requires quantification of experimental error.
  - This has been at the heart of scientific progress.
  - This process is NOT generally followed in scientific (or indeed any other kind of) computation.
On quantification

- Computer scientists have researched the average *density* of defect in code extensively.
- Where we have been much less successful is in quantifying the *effects* of such defect on numerical results.
On quantification of density

- A “low defect” piece of software will exhibit less than 1 defect per thousand executable lines of source code in its entire lifetime.
- Average software is in the range 1-10.
A software quality scale based on defect density

- NASA Shuttle software HAL (0.1)
- Linux kernel (0.14)
- Several commercial C systems (0.15-0.4)
- Commercial Tcl-Tk (0.9)
- NAG Fortran (2.1)
- Medical app C++ (5.1)
- Ada comm (7)
- NASA Fortran (8)

The best 5% of systems approximately

On quantification of the effect of defect, by 2010 I was reasonably convinced that:

- N-version experiments are exceedingly valuable at highlighting differences, (for whatever reason), and effective at reducing those differences. (1994)
- Scientific software is littered with statically detectable faults which fail with a certain frequency (1997)
- The language does not seem to make much difference. (1999-)
- Defects appear to be fundamentally statistical rather than predictive, (2005-8)
- Software systems exhibit implementation IINdependent behaviour (2007-10).
... defect
Quantification of effects by N-version (1994)
There is little evidence that complexity measures such as the cyclomatic complexity $v(G)$ are of any use at all in predicting defects.

NAG Fortran library over 25 years (Hopkins and Hatton (2008))

Cyclomatic number $v(G)$
... defect
Is there anything unusual about ‘zero’ defect?

PCA and endless rummaging suggest not. This may undermine root-cause analysis.
On static defects

Modern programming languages are littered with many types of statically detectable defect, (for example use of uninitialised variables).

These typically occur around 5-10 per 1000 lines of executable code and fail at an unacceptably high rate for high-integrity systems. They must be removed by tools plus inspections.

Languages are astonishingly similar in their information properties …
In any software system, conservation of size and information (i.e. choice) is overwhelmingly likely to produce a power-law alphabet distribution independently of programming language or application area. Hatton (2009).

\[ p_i \sim (a_i)^{-\beta} \]
However for programming languages, $a_i$ is made up of fixed and programmer-specified tokens

$$a_i = a_f + a_v(i)$$

Fixed tokens of a language, \{ \} [ ] ; while ... Variable tokens, (id names and constants)

And for small components, $a_i$ is dominated by $a_f$
... programming languages

So we are looking for the following signature on a log-log plot:

\[ p_i \sim (a_i)^{-\beta} \]

\[ (a_f)^{-\beta} \]

\[ \log p_i \]

\[ \log i \]
... programming languages

Some results

C++  
C  
Java  
Ada

Fortran  
C Numerical  

34 million lines of Ada, C, C++, Fortran, Java, Tcl in 75 systems.

Copyright Les Hatton, 2011-. Copying freely permitted with acknowledgement
… programming languages
Is power-law behaviour persistent?
... programming languages
Defect clustering in the NAG Fortran library (over 25 years)

A simple model of defects leads to the prediction that defects will cluster

Zero-defect is like winning the lottery. There is no systematic way of achieving it.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Defects</th>
<th>Components</th>
<th>XLOC</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>2865</td>
<td>179947</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>530</td>
<td>47669</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>129</td>
<td>14963</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>13220</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>5084</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>1195</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1153</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1025</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;7</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1867</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Clustering can be exploited: Conditional probability of finding defects increases initially*

* See, Hopkins and Hatton (2008), http://www.leshatton.org/NAG01_01-08.html
On process

- Defined software processes including inspections, defect tracking and test planning are highly beneficial but beware of …

- Box-ticking. Blind bureaucracy comes at a terrible price in safety-critical systems …
… process and box-ticking:
Nimrod explosion, Afghanistan
2006

“The Nimrod Safety Case was a lamentable job from start to finish. It was riddled with errors, it missed key dangers, its production is a story of incompetence, complacency and cynicism.”

Charles Haddon-Cave QC, Chairman of the enquiry on behalf of the House of Commons.

… FAQs and ways forward

- Some questions in High-Integrity development
  - Risk versus ingenuity
  - Knowledge transfer and Education
  - How is design approached?
  - How are technological leaps tackled?
High-Integrity Software

- High-Integrity Software and …
  - The scientific method
  - Defect
  - Programming languages
  - Process
  - FAQs and ways forward
- Conclusions
Conclusions

- High-Integrity software is as much about education as it is about technology
- Computing science is still struggling with defect quantification and damage limitation
- Scientific computation is not yet scientific
- For all kinds of reason, open source is of vital importance both for defect reduction and scientific reproducibility. There is great hope here and much to be excited about.
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