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Abstract

Gas Electron Multiplication technology is finding more and more applications in beam instrumentation and at CERN these detectors have recently been adapted for use in transverse profile measurements at several of our facilities. In the experimental areas of CERN’s Antiproton Decelerator, low energy Gas Electron Multipliers successfully replaced all multiwire proportional chambers in 2012 and another detector type has now been developed for high energy applications in the experimental areas of the SPS, totaling a potential of more than a hundred profile detectors to be replaced by GEM detectors of different types. This paper aims to describe the historical evolution of GEM technology by covering the many different applications but with specific focus on its potential to replace multiwire proportional chambers for standard transverse profile measurement.

INTRODUCTION

The Gas Electron Multiplier (GEM) was invented at CERN in 1997 by Fabio Sauli [1]. Although it was originally introduced as a preamplifier to help the then novel microstrip gas chambers cope with the high particle rate in the HERA-B experiment at DESY [2], the GEM soon became the basis of a detector in its own right. Once it was recognized that GEM-based detectors had many attractive features besides the demonstrated superb rate capability, these detectors also gained ground in other fields than high-energy physics experiments [3]; including notably in beam instrumentation.

In the experimental areas of CERN, GEM detectors have been developed in the past few years to replace multiwire proportional chambers (MWPC) as transverse profile monitors. At the beam lines of the Antiproton Decelerator (AD) all wire chambers have been replaced by single GEM detectors of a very lightweight design [4, 5, 6, 7], to avoid affecting the measured profile through interaction of the low energy beam (5.3 MeV) with the detector. Prototype triple GEM detectors have also been tested at various high energy beam lines; these detectors are of a different design and sometimes different size, as will be discussed below.

THE TECHNOLOGY

The working principle of MWPCs and GEM-based detectors is very similar. The ionization electrons liberated by passage of a charged particle through a gas medium are attracted by a low electric field (< 10 keV) to a region with a higher field. This higher field (typically in the range of 10–100 keV, strongly depending on gas mixture and pressure) causes a proportional mode gas avalanche, in which the electrons cause further ionization, thereby exponentially increasing the total charge. As all these charges (electrons and ions) drift towards electrodes where they are collected, their motion induces a signal on readout electrodes.

Figure 1 illustrates how this is implemented in the case of wire chambers and GEMS. The strong field region where multiplication takes place in a wire chamber is the $1/r$ field close to an anode wire, which also collects the electrons, and often serves as a readout electrode. In a GEM foil, the strong field is formed in millions of microscopic holes in a dielectric foil by biasing the top and bottom electrodes. The figure shows a computed field line pattern in a GEM hole, strongly focusing in the center of the hole.

There are some intrinsic differences between these two gas detectors. In a GEM detector, the GEM foil screens the movement of ions (above the GEM) from the readout elements (below), thereby eliminating the characteristic ion tails in the signals of MWPCs. In wire chambers, ions generated in the avalanche remain in the gas for tens or hundreds of microseconds, reducing the field strength around the anode wires (and therefore the gas gain). The ions generated in a GEM avalanche leave the hole within $\sim$ 100 ns, which explains the orders of magnitude higher rate capability of GEMS.

†A Monte Carlo simulation program developed at CERN. Author: Rob Veenhof (http://garfield.web.cern.ch/garfield/)
Wire chambers also suffer from aging. The mechanisms and failure modes depend on the radiation environment and gas mixture. The avalanche plasma tends to introduce free radicals in the gas, and deposit various sorts of chemical compounds on the wire surface. The results are often non-uniformity of gain over the sensitive area and broken wires. GEMs have proven to be much less prone to aging, and their limits of integrated charge still need to be found.

An advantage of wire chambers that may be of critical importance for some applications is the fact that they can be designed such that they present very little material to the beam. The delay wire chambers \[8\] in use in the CERN experimental areas have only two thin polymer films, even thinner metal wire planes and a few millimeters of gas in the beam, resulting in a material budget of \(\sim 0.03\% X_0\). By contrast, the lightest triple GEM we could build would still present at least 0.15% of \(X_0\).

**DEVELOPMENTS**

We will briefly describe some of the recent GEM detector developments. More details on the AD beam profile monitor can be found in [5, 6, 7].

**Low Energy Antiprotons**

The wire chambers that were previously used at the Antiproton Decelerator to measure transverse beam profiles consisted of separate chambers to measure the horizontal (upstream) and vertical (downstream) profiles. The 5.3 MeV antiproton beam was entirely absorbed by the upstream chamber, so that the downstream chamber only measured the profile of annihilation products. The GEM-based successors to these wire chambers are designed to read out both profiles in one plane, by a readout board that shares charge equally between horizontal and vertical elements. This detector also has a very transparent polyimide entrance window, with a \(\sim 100 \text{ nm}\) chromium layer used as a cathode to bias the drift gap above the GEM foil. This ensures that almost all beam particles enter the detector, cause ionization in the drift gap, and then mostly stop and annihilate in the GEM foil and the readout board. The left hand side of figure 2 shows this schematically.

The low beam energy of the AD demands that the beam vacuum is uninterrupted during normal operation. Only during machine development are detectors put in the beam for a destructive profile measurement. To this end, the detectors are installed in a pendulum that can swing in or out of the beam, with the inside of the pendulum in contact with ambient atmosphere. Figure 3 shows how a detector is installed in a pendulum.

These detectors have now been installed throughout the AD. They have delivered profiles reliably, without the severe distortions common with the wire chambers. The shape of the profile does not depend on particle rate or gas gain, indicating that the detector itself does not introduce distortions. In addition, the integrated charge of the horizontal profile matches that of the vertical profile, which shows that the sharing of charge between readout elements is working as predicted. The ionization from the 5.3 MeV beam is so strong that even the amplification of a single GEM is not strictly needed. We have demonstrated that at the nominal AD intensity \((\sim 3 \times 10^7 \bar{p} \text{ per spill})\) the detector could be operated as an ionization chamber, with just a cathode and

![Figure 2: Schematic view of how some of our detectors are built up. Left, a single GEM detector with a light entrance window, as installed in the Antiproton Decelerator. Right, a triple GEM detector made robust by light and stiff fiberglass/foam panels on front and back.](image_url)

*Beam Profile Monitors*
a readout board, yielding equally good profiles. But since the AD occasionally runs at a higher energy of 126 MeV for the ACE experiment, a GEM is included to provide about three orders of magnitude dynamic range. Figure 4 shows a screenshot of the graphical user interface AD operators use to control and read out the monitors.

High Energy Beams

The high energy beam lines at CERN use various kinds of MWPCs for transverse profiling and spectroscopy downstream of the production targets. Most detectors have an active area of $10 \times 10$ cm$^2$, with some larger $20 \times 20$ cm$^2$ detectors on the M2 muon beam line used by the COMPASS experiment.

With energies of many GeV, these beams ionize the gas at least two orders of magnitude less than at the AD. The gain required is therefore much higher, but multiple scattering and the angular distortions it causes are much less of a concern. These constraints led us to a design of a triple GEM detector of a more robust build, schematically pictured on the right of Fig. 2, and photographed in Fig. 5. The front and back of the detector is covered with stiff, light panels.

Figure 4: A screenshot from the graphical user interface from which the AD profile monitors are operated and read out.

Figure 5: Prototype of a 20 × 20 cm$^2$ triple GEM detector, with stiff foam panels on the front and back.
of a Rohacell structural foam, sandwiched between thin sheets of fiberglass. These stiff panels contribute substantially to the material budget, which for these detectors is 0.85%\(X_0\). But the panels eliminate any issues with the entrance window and cathode bulging due to the gas pressure; and these prototypes are invulnerable to rough handling or repeated mechanical shock. Also, the production of these panels, with integrated readout and high voltage circuitry and gas features, is very efficient in terms of time and cost, and leaves relatively little work to be done to assemble a chamber. Moreover, all these detectors are motorized and can be moved out of the beam thus minimizing the importance of material budget. Figure 6 shows the first profiles measured with a 20 × 20 cm\(^2\) prototype, at the M2 beamline.

We also tested 10 × 10 cm\(^2\) triple GEMs without the foam panels on the H4 and H6 beam lines. They gave fine profiles consistent with the ones from the existing wire chambers.

**CONCLUSIONS AND OUTLOOK**

We have studied the possibility to replace the MWPCs used as transverse profile monitors in different experimental areas at CERN with GEM-based detectors. We built and tested single and triple GEM detectors, for the low energy antiproton beam of the AD and the high energy beams in the experimental areas, respectively. The AD needed replacement most urgently, and all their wire chambers were successfully replaced by well-performing single GEMS.

The way we designed our GEM detectors allows us to customize many parameters (number of GEMs, width of gaps, robustness/material budget) during assembly. We have thus produced a family of detectors from a 2D ionization chamber to a rugged 20 × 20 cm\(^2\) triple GEM detector. These detectors all perform well, and are credible candidates to replace the MWPCs as profile monitors.

Compared to a typical MWPC, a typical triple GEM detector represents roughly 3 times the material budget. In many other aspects GEM detectors exceed the performance of wire chambers. Most notably, GEM detectors feature probably the highest rate capability of any gaseous detector. GEMS are also much more resilient to aging, particularly in Ar/CO\(_2\) gas mixtures. What makes GEM detectors attractive from a practical point of view are a relatively low cost of production and operation, and the fact that they are essentially maintenance free. The single-GEM detectors now installed at the AD are greatly appreciated for their simultaneous profile measurements of both planes allowing for reliable profile readout at low energy. There are even more benefits to GEM detectors that our studies have not at all explored, such as an excellent spatial resolution (<50 \(\mu m\) [9]) and time resolution (<5 ns [10]). With a growing number of GEM detectors used as beam profile monitors, both at CERN and elsewhere, we expect this technology to become increasingly common in beam instrumentation.
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