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Abstract
We report the design and realization of the stripline type beam position monitor to be used in the SPARC-LAB transfer lines. While the directional properties provided by matched termination at the downstream end are not strictly required in a transfer line, yet matched loads at the end of the stripline electrodes are preferable to reduce the loss factor and to avoid unwanted reflection to the detection electronic. The integration of a matched resistive load inside the vacuum chamber allows halving the number of UHV feedthroughs.

INTRODUCTION
In the framework of the SPARC-LAB activities [1] a large number of beam position monitors (BPM) is foreseen for installation along the photoinjector transfer lines, to measure the transverse beam position with a resolution of ~10 µm rms in a range of charge between 0.01 nC and 1 nC.

The pickup selected for use is generally referred to as stripline and is composed of four stainless steel electrodes of length \( l \) and width \( w \), mounted with a \( \pi/2 \) rotational symmetry at a distance \( d \) from the vacuum chamber, to form a transmission line of characteristic impedance \( Z_0 \) with the beam pipe (Fig. 1).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Unit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Char. impedance ( Z_0 )</td>
<td>50 Ohm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Strips distance ( d )</td>
<td>4 mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Strips width ( w )</td>
<td>14.3 mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Strips thickness ( t )</td>
<td>1 mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Strip length ( l )</td>
<td>146 mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bandwidth 1st lobe</td>
<td>1.1 GHz</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vacuum chamber radius ( R )</td>
<td>22 mm</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Time domain reflectometry measurements have been performed to select the final strip width to get the best impedance matching.

The BPM transfer impedance \( Z_b \) and the impulse response are shown in Fig. 2.

Figure 1: Longitudinal and transverse pickup sections.

Figure 2: Beam transfer impedance \( Z_b \) (top) and impulse response deduced with inverse FFT (bottom).
STRIPLINE TERMINATION

The output signal is coupled to the detection electronics through an SMA female pin vacuum feedthrough while the other end of each stripline can be alternatively terminated in open, short or matched impedance.

Matched loads at the end of the stripline electrodes have been preferred to reduce the loss factor and to avoid unwanted reflection to the detection electronics.

The latter being due to the input impedance of detection electronics which generally is not strictly matched to 50Ω in a wide frequency range (comparable to the bunch spectrum).

Figure 3 shows measurements of the $S_{11}$ scattering parameter performed on the DAFNE BPM detection electronics in a frequency range [0, 750MHz], showing the input impedance mismatch.

![Figure 3: BPM detection electronics: SWR and input impedance.](image)

Such detectors when used in conjunction with short-circuited stripline pickup could induce false response by the reflection of the beam signal after a time depending on the connecting cable lengths, requiring proper vetoing.

This is the case in the DAFNE transfer lines, where the short circuited striplines reflect part of the beam induced signals to the detection circuits after a round trip through coaxial cables, as shown in the measurements reported in Fig. 4. In this particular case, to get rid of reflections, signal gating has been implemented [2].

To avoid this behaviour, a fully matched 50 Ohm version of the pickup has been realized.

Bench measurement shown in Fig. 5, compare signals at the BPM detector input port in the two cases of short circuited striplines and matched striplines, by simulating the beam signal with a short pulse generator into another strip used as antenna: as expected the matched version does not present any reflection even in the presence of a mismatched input impedance of the detector.

![Figure 4: Beam signal of the DAFNE transfer lines striplines, measured with a directional coupler at the BPM detector input port.](image)

![Figure 5: Voltage signal as seen at the input port of the BPM detector for short circuited stripline (top) and 50Ω matched stripline (bottom) measured with a directional coupler at the BPM detector input ports.](image)

In our design we adopted the mechanical solution illustrated in Fig. 6, to integrate the matched load inside the vacuum chamber at the end of each electrode.

A 50 Ω carbon resistor is welded between each electrode and the vacuum chamber, as alternative to the common use of further expensive UHV feedthroughs to be terminated in a 50 Ω load outside the beam pipe.

This approach halved the number of UHV feedthroughs, which now represent ~30% of the total cost of the device, and reduced the pickup transverse size allowing the required installation inside quadrupole magnets, as shown in the CAD view of Fig. 7.

![Figure 6: BPM detection electronics: SWR and input impedance.](image)
introduced in the model and the impedance has been calculated with:

\[
Z_{\text{coupling}}(\omega) = 2 \cdot Z_0 \cdot \left( \frac{1}{S_{21}(\omega)} - 1 \right)
\]

where \(Z_0\) is the characteristic impedance of the coaxial line formed by the BPM output chamber and the wire, and \(S_{21}(\omega)\) is the transmission scattering parameter between the two ports of this coaxial line.

The results of simulations confirm that the real part of the impedance, responsible for energy losses, is smaller for a matched strip.

Figure 8: Real (top) and Imaginary (bottom) part of the pickup longitudinal beam coupling impedance.

CONCLUSION

We have developed the design of a stripline BPM that can be accommodated between the poles of the quadrupole magnets of a transfer line. Each stripline electrode is terminated into a matched resistive load welded inside the vacuum pipe. This solution gets rid of spurious signals at the detector input and allows reduction of parasitic losses.
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