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Abstract

The current status for the ATF2 Nominal and Ultra-low $\beta^*$ lattices are presented in this paper. New lattice designs have been obtained in order to minimise the impact of the last interpretation of multipole measurements that have been included into the model. However, the new ATF2 Ultra-low design is not able to recover the expected vertical beam size at the IP with the current magnet distribution. Therefore, different quadrupole sorting have been studied. A significant gain is evident for the ATF2 Ultra-low lattice when sorting the magnets according to the skew-sextupole components. The ATF2 Nominal lattice is also expected to benefit from the new sorting. Tuning results of the new ATF2 Ultra-low lattice under realistic imperfections are also reported.

INTRODUCTION

ATF2 is a test facility with the aim of testing the Final Focus System (FFS) based on local chromaticity correction that has been proposed in Ref. [1]. The ATF2 Nominal lattice is the scale-down version of the final focus system proposed for the future linear colliders. To prove the performance of the CLIC $3\text{TeV}$ [2] optics with its intrinsic level of chromatic aberrations, the ATF2 Ultra-low $\beta^*$ is a proposal [3] to reduce the vertical beta function at the IP ($\beta^*_y$) by a factor 4 beyond the existing design. The expected vertical beam size at the IP ($\sigma_y^*$) is 20nm. The ILC project and the ILC low-power [4], would also largely benefit from this test, in particular by gaining experience in exploring larger chromaticities and facing tuning difficulties as $\beta^*_y$ decreases.

In the nanometre beam size regime, lattice aberrations are a major contributor to the beam size. The magnitude of the multipolar components present in the ATF2 magnets is a concern. This is extremely relevant for the final doublet magnets, so called QF1FF and QD0FF. In January 2011, a careful analysis of the collected data in two different measurement campaigns allowed to determine the multipole components of the quadrupole and sextupole magnets present in the ATF2 beam line.

When all the multipolar components are introduced into the model, the beam size at the IP was found to be larger than the required by the design. Depending on the beam size definition, this increase ranges from a few to many hundreds percent. For this study, three different beam size definitions have been considered:

- **CORE**: it corresponds to the width of a Gaussian fit of 10000 macro-particles.
- **SHINTAKE**: corresponds to a model of the theoretical measurement made by the IP beam size (Shintake) monitor. It represents the convolution between the bunch and the interference pattern field of the monitor. [5]
- **RMS**: it corresponds to the obtained value from the code MAPCLASS [6]. This code uses the output of MADX [7] to map an initial Gaussian distribution to the IP.

Table 1 compares the ideal beam size to those obtained when all the multipoles are included into the model according to the different beam size definitions. It can be concluded that for the ATF2 Ultra-low $\beta^*$ lattice, the impact of the multipoles is well above the tolerance in all different beam size criteria. For the ATF2 Nominal lattice however, only with the RMS criterion gives a $\sigma_y^*$ significantly above the tolerance.
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OPTICS MODIFICATION

In Ref. [8] it was shown that not all multipoles contribute in the same manner to the $\sigma_y^*$. Thanks to an order by order analysis done by MAPCLASS, it was inferred that the skew dodecapole component of QF1FF was the main source for the observed beam size increase.

The strategy is to modify the optics by reducing the $\beta_x$-function at QF1FF, thus the impact of the QF1FF multipoles on the IP beam size is reduced. However $\beta_x$ will increase, hence the horizontal beam size that deviates from the final focus system designs of the linear colliders projects.

By using the matching quadrupoles located at the beginning of the final focus, $\beta_x$ is increased from 4mm to 10mm. Afterwards the sextupoles have to be optimised in order to compensate for the chromatic aberrations. This process is done by MADX implementing the simplex algorithm [9] in combination with the code MAPCLASS.
By increasing $\beta^*_y$, a satisfactory solution is found for the ATF2 Nominal lattice. For the Ultra-low $\beta^*$ configuration, the solution found does not meet with design criteria since $\sigma^*_y$ is above 35nm. The study of intermediate lattices configurations in terms of $\beta^*_y$ in future will help us to understand the impact of the multipoles when going to the ultra-low $\beta^*$ value. To this end, two intermediate lattices with $\beta^*_y$ equal to 50µm and 75µm have been designed.

Figure 1 shows $\sigma^*_y$ versus $\beta^*_y$ for different lattice configurations. The red curve shows results obtained from all 4 lattices. This data suggests the existence of an optimum $\beta^*_y$ between 25 and 50 µm. For smaller values than this optimum $\beta^*_y$, the expected aberrations cannot be compensated by the sextupoles. Moreover these aberrations are the cause of the observed beam size increase with respect to the ideal beam size represented by the orange curve.

The measured multipolar components preclude the possibility to reach the expected vertical beam size for the ATF2 Ultra-low $\beta^*$ proposal. Increasing $\beta^*_y$ is not a preferred solution because doing so, the chromaticity decreases and is no longer comparable to that of CLIC, therefore the tuning of the CLIC 3TeV chromaticity level cannot be tested.

By applying an order by order analysis to the ATF2 Ultra-low $\beta^*$ lattice it can be inferred that the sextupolar contributions are precluding the possibility to generate a vertical spot size below 30nm at the IP. It is then, the sextupolar components of the quadrupoles that are the main aberration source here. One possible way to reduce the impact on the beam size from the sextupole components could be to re-distribute the magnets, using the values of these components as a sorting criterion.

**SORTING OPTIONS**

Sorting the quadrupoles according to their field quality and placing them in the positions calculated to be most sensitive to multipole errors could help to minimise the impact of aberrations present at the IP for the ATF2 ultra-low lattice.

A sensitivity study for all 22 quadrupole locations has been performed in order to calculate the most sensitive locations. Using an ideal lattice (error-free), skew sextupole components at each location are increased until $\sigma^*_y$ increases by 2%. This is repeated for the skew octupole components. The blue curves in Fig. 2, 3 show the amount of relative skew component at each location in the lattice that increases $\sigma^*_y$ by 2%. Locations are ordered according to their sensitivity. Only the 11 most important locations are shown, beyond these the calculated tolerances are acceptable and the present quadrupoles are sufficient.

In order to determine the best quadrupoles in terms of field quality, all the quadrupoles except the FD have been sorted according to their relative integrated skew component. The upper and lower plots in Fig. 4 show the best 9 quadrupoles according to the skew sextupole and octupole components respectively.

From these we form a swapping proposal for the quadrupoles. Two possible quadrupole orderings are considered:

- **Swap.1**: quadrupoles are sorted according to only their skew sextupolar component.
- **Swap.2**: quadrupoles are sorted according to their skew sextupolar and octupolar component.

The data shown in Fig. 4 are translated into relative components at R=1cm in order to compare with the calculated tolerances. The comparison is made in Fig. 2, 3. The blue curve represents the 2% $\sigma^*_y$ increase. The red curve represents the skew sextupolar component. The green and
The effects of the measured multipole components. After 6 knob iterations the obtained mean $\sigma_y^*$ is 26.7nm. 68% of the seeds reach a final beam size below 30.0nm.

Figure 5: History of the $\sigma_y^*$ along the tuning process.

CONCLUSIONS

The ATF2 optics have been modified in order to accommodate the impact of the measured multipoles. An acceptable solution has been found for the ATF2 Nominal lattice. Nevertheless, modifying the optics is not satisfactory solution for the ATF2 Ultra-low $\beta^*$ configuration.

In order to minimise the detrimental effect of the multipoles, 2 different quadrupole configurations have been studied. It has been observed that sorting the quadrupoles according to only their sextupole components (swap.1) is more effective than according to their sextupole and octupole components (swap.2). The calculated vertical beam size for the ATF2 Ultra-low $\beta^*$ swap.1 option is 26nm, only 10% bigger than the vertical beam size without considering the multipoles. For this lattice design, the tuning studied demonstrates that 68% of the seeds reach a $\sigma_y^* < 30nm$.
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