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Method initially developed by F. Caspers and T. Kroyer at CERN
Attempted measurements on the SPS. Unfavourable conditions.
Summary

- The electron cloud.
- Physical principles of the measurement method.
- How to make the measurement in practice.
- Experimental setup on the PEP-II LER (straight).
- Measurement results.
- New setup (chicane): cyclotron resonances.
- Experimental results.
- Unanswered questions and future plans (CesrTA).
What is the electron cloud?

1. Beam generates low-energy electrons (synchrotron radiation, residual gas ionization, stray particles)
2. Transverse resonance with circulating bunches.
3. SEY > 1

(courtesy of VACET)
Measurement by microwave transmission

Propagation through the electron plasma introduces an additional term to the standard waveguide dispersion:

\[ k^2 = \frac{\omega^2 - \omega_c^2 - \omega_p^2}{c^2} \]

Beampipe cut-off frequency

Plasma frequency \( 2c(\pi \rho e r_e)^{1/2} \)

The presence of the “electron plasma” affects the propagation of the wave, while there is essentially no interaction with the ultrarelativistic beam.
Induced additional phase delay

The resulting phase shift per unit length is:

\[ \frac{\Delta \phi}{L} = \frac{\omega_p^2}{2c(\omega^2 - \omega_c^2)^{1/2}} \]

By measuring \( \frac{\Delta \phi}{L} \) and \( \omega_p^2 \), one calculates

\[ \rho_e \approx \frac{f_p^2}{80} \left( e^- / m^3 \right) \]

Frequencies closer to cut-off experience larger phase shifts. Their attenuation is generally larger in actual beampipes, though.

Formulas valid only when \( B=0 \)
Practical Difficulties

- Low phase shift values (few mrad). Can we increase it?
  - Frequency closer to beampipe cut-off $\Leftrightarrow$ higher attenuation
  - Longer propagation distance $\Leftrightarrow$ higher attenuation
- Noisy environment: direct beam signals!
- BPM not optimized for TE-wave transmission/reception.
  - Typical Tx/Rx losses $> -60$ dB
- Temperature related phase shift (beam on, beam off).
Phase Shift Time Dependence

Gap length ≈ 100 ns
Revolution period ≈ 7.3 µs
Bunch spacing ≈ 4 ns

The phase shift changes at a frequency equal to the (gap) revolution frequency !!!
Phase Modulation

The *periodic clearing of the electron cloud* by the gap, when it passes between our Tx and Rx BPM’s *phase modulates the transmitted signal*:

\[ s(t) = A \cos[\omega_{\text{car}} t + \Delta \varphi(t)] \]

- What happens if the gap is not long enough to completely clear the electrons?
- What happens if the gap is shorter than the distance between Tx and Rx?

If \( \Delta \varphi(t) = \Delta \varphi_{\text{max}} \sin(\omega_{\text{mod}} t) \)

\[ \omega_{\text{mod}} \]

\[ \frac{\Delta \varphi_{\text{max}}}{2} \]

\[ \omega_{\text{car}} \]

\[ f \]
Experimental Setup

• Clearing solenoids wrapped around the beampipe can generate a magnetic field up to 40 G.

• The hybrid reduces the direct beam signal picked up by the receiver (spectrum analyzer).

• A BPF is used to further reduce beam power on the receiver. Total received power < 100 mW.

• The 20 dB isolator protects transmitter and amplifier instead.

• Transmission attenuation is around 90 dB, with a 50 dB SNR at the receiver.
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Experimental Results

Although the time evolution of the e-cloud density is not simply sinusoidal, the simple model already gives results in good agreement with other estimates (codes).

SNR: 50 dB

ECD resolution: $3 \cdot 10^{10} \text{ e}^-/\text{m}^3$
More Experimental Results

Excellent tool for studying the efficiency of any e-cloud clearing scheme.

Multiple sidebands linked to the bandwidth of modulation process (Carson’s rule). Complete demodulation yields the ECD time evolution.
New Experimental Setup (Chicane)

• 4 meter distance between BPM’s
  – Flat vacuum chamber (rather than round) and shorter distance give better transmission attenuation (+10 dB)

• New carrier frequency and improved spectrum analyzer.
  – Noise floor @ -120 dBm

• 50% of the length without solenoids (dipoles)
  – Switching solenoids off not required

• High dipole magnetic field (up to 1000 G)
  – Allows observation of cyclotron resonances (2 GHz = 714 G)
Cyclotron Resonance

$$f_{cycl} [GHz] \approx 28 \cdot B [T]$$

But what is the relationship between this phase shift and the e-cloud density? Are we measuring the ECD, or rather the magnetic field strength?
Experimental Results

**SNR = 70 dB**

We were able to measure e-cloud at a substantially lower beam current: 1.7 A, down from 2 A in the straight.

...but, due to the premature PEP-II demise we couldn't undertake a systematic measurement campaign.
More Experimental Results

Unequivocal measurement of a cyclotron resonance

$B \approx 700 \text{ G (~1.96 GHz)}$

$f_{\text{car}} = 2.015 \text{ GHz}$

40+ mrad over a length of only 4 meters!
More Experimental Results

Difference between upper and lower sideband evidence of AM/PM mod.

\[ B \approx 765 \text{ G (~2.14 GHz)} \]

\[ f_{\text{car}} = 2.128 \text{ GHz} \]
Future Activities

• How to improve the measurements?
  – Better hardware. Bigger amplifier?
  – From BPM’s to dedicated couplers optimized for TE mode.

• More beamtime
  – CesrTA (Wiggler, Straight, Dipole ?)
  – KEK-B ?
  – Variable gap studies

• Better understanding of cyclotron resonances
  – More analytical work and modelling

• Development of a dedicated receiver
  – Full demodulation of received signal