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Abstract
The 10 MeV, 100 kW CW electron accelerator (FANTRON I) is being developed in Department of Nuclear Engineering, Seoul National University (SNU) [1]. The X-ray generated by the accelerated electron beam will be used in sterilizing the agricultural, forest and aquatic products. For the effective irradiation and the safety of the irradiated products, the uniform irradiation is needed. The designed target is mainly featured by the radially focused uniform X-ray. The diameter of irradiation hole is 0.75 m. And the generated X-ray is emitted toward the center of the irradiation hole with uniform distribution along the circumference. To generate the radially focused uniform X-ray, the accelerated electron beam must be focused radially on the target that is the outer shell of the irradiation hole with high uniformity. Additionally the electron beam must be injected in the normal direction on the surface of target because the intensity of electron incident direction is dominant in the angular distribution of bremsstrahlung intensity of the electrons, which are accelerated up to 10 MeV. The basic principle of targetary is deflecting electron beam with proper magnetic field configuration. To find such configuration a series of numerical analyses are performed. This paper includes the requirements and design results of the radially focused uniform X-ray source.

1 INTRODUCTION
The uniform irradiation is needed to guarantee the safety of the irradiated products and the irradiation performance. Several methods are suggested to increase the radiation dose uniformity [2]. The radially focused uniform X-ray source is composed of scanning magnet, field shaping system and cylindrical irradiation hole. Fig. 1 shows the layout of target system. The 10 MeV electron beam scanned to proper angle is deflected along the optimized trajectories during passing the field shaping system and then collide against the target in the normal direction with azimuthally uniform distribution. The design process comprises three stages.
- Optimization of the electron trajectory
- Calculation of the magnetic field distribution
- Optimization of the magnet pole profile
The target system with 0.75 m-diameter irradiation hole is designed to establish and verify the design procedure.

2 ELECTRON TRAJECTORY OPTIMIZATION
The deflected electron beam with proper angle after passing the scanning magnet should follow the trajectory along which they collide against the target in the normal direction. The Hermite curve, which is a kind of parametric cubic curve, is suggested as the electron trajectory. The Hermite curve is generated on the base of four control vectors composed of two location vectors and two tangent vectors [3]. The principle of curve generation is described in Eq. (1) and Fig. 2.

\[
\bar{Q}(t) = \left(2t^3 - 3t^2 + 1\right)\bar{P}_1 + \left(3t^2 - 2t\right)\bar{P}_4 + \left(t^3 - 2t^2 + 1\right)\bar{R}_1 + \left(t^3 - t^2\right)\bar{R}_4 \quad (0 \leq t \leq 1)
\]

During the optimization, the magnetic field gradients corresponding to each trajectory are minimized and inflection point where the concavity of the curve changes is avoided. The optimized electron trajectories are shown in Fig. 3.

Figure 1. Layout of Target System

Figure 2. The Principle of Hermite Curve Generation
3 MAGNETIC FIELD DISTRIBUTION
Magnetic field distribution is determined such that electron beam may be deflected along the optimized trajectory. The relativistic equation of motion is used in calculating the field distribution. Fig. 4 shows the magnetic field distribution.

4 MAGNET POLE PROFILE OPTIMIZATION
Magnet pole profile design is intrinsically the nonlinear reverse magnetostatic problem. The main approaches are classified into two cases, which are the numerical analyses of Poisson's equation with iteration processes and the analytic approaches. For linear problem, in the case that the magnetic field strength is so low that the saturation effects of iron core do not have any effect on field distribution, several kinds of analytic solutions are suggested [4].

Concerning the result of calculated magnetic field distribution, the maximum field strength is below about 0.05 Ts. So the analytic methods are adopted for magnet pole profile design. Three kinds of analytic methods are performed.
- Finite difference method
- Boundary integral method
- Downward continuation method

4.1 Finite Difference Method
Magnetostatic problem, in the case that the source free and isotropic region is considered, is solved using magnetic scalar potential in the similar way to electrostatic case. For the linear problem, the pole surface coincides with equipotential surface [4]. The Laplace equation and boundary condition are used in finding the equipotential surface.

\[ \frac{\partial^2 \Phi}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2 \Phi}{\partial y^2} + \frac{\partial^2 \Phi}{\partial z^2} = 0 \]  
(2)

\[ \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial z} = B_z(x, y), \text{ on the midplane}(z = 0) \]  
(3)

\( \Phi \): magnetic scalar potential

The equipotential surface obtained from equation (2) and (3) with proper boundary conditions is shown in Fig. 5.
4.3 Downward Continuation Method

In geophysics, downward continuation method is usually used in estimating the shape of geomagnetic source from the measured magnetic field distribution [6]. This approach can be used to calculate the magnetic potential distribution toward the magnet pole from the midplane magnetic field distribution. The basic principles are Green's third identity (5) and Fourier transformation (6).

Green's third identity:

$$U(P) = \frac{1}{4\pi} \int \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial U}{\partial n} dS$$

(5)

For the future works, the estimation of radiation dose uniformity and loss fraction of electron beam for the each pole profile using the 3d electron trajectory code are being done. The trim process, which is needed for practical three-dimensional manufacturing, will be done.
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