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Abstract

The equilibrium self-consistent distribution of particles in a high intensity electron synchrotron can be found using the Haissinski equation and the wake @eld. At some threshold intensity the bunch becomes unstable. However, radiation damping causes the particles to be con@ned and the instability does not necessarily cause loss of particles.

It was observed in simulations with a very simple wake @eld and short bunches, that energy spread and bunch length oscillate in a sawtooth fashion. We @nd that this is due to the double-peak nature of the stationary distribution. Over many synchrotron oscillations, particles diffuse from the head peak to the tail to the point where the tail peak becomes as large as the head. The two resulting sub-bunches then collapse together in less than one synchrotron oscillation, causing a net blow-up in emittance. Radiation damping reduces the emittance and diffusion begins again.

I. Introduction

A so-called ‘sawtooth’ instability has been observed in the SLC damping rings [1] and there is evidence that it has been observed in other electron synchrotrons as well [2]. This instability appears as a periodic fast blow-up in bunch length, followed by damping. We studied the origins of this effect using multi-particle tracking. Rather than trying to describe an actual machine, as was done by Bane and Oide [3], we simpli®ed the model to determine which features of the wake @eld lead to a sawtooth behaviour.

We describe the results of the simulation and then give a qualitative discussion of the origins of the instability.

II. Numerical Simulations

A. Model

To simulate the electron’s motion in a synchrotron we use a standard multi-particle tracking scheme [4]. The beam is represented by N macroparticles each with phase and energy coordinates (zi,ei). These coordinates are recalculated every turn according to the following equations.

\[ \Delta e_i = -\frac{2T_0}{\tau_e} e_i + 2\sigma_{rms} \sqrt{\frac{T_0}{\tau_e}} e_i + V_{rf}' z_i + V_{ind}(z) \]

\[ \Delta z_i = \alpha E_0 \left( e_i + \Delta e_i \right) \] (2)

\( T_0 \) is the revolution period, \( \tau_e \) is the damping time, \( \sigma_{rms} \) the rms energy spread in the absence of a wake, \( V_{rf}' \) the slope of the rf voltage, \( \alpha \) is the compaction factor, and \( E_0 \) is the mean energy; \( r_i \) is a random number with a standard normal distribution.

To calculate \( V_{ind} \) we have used the same method used by Bane [3], i.e. binning the macroparticles in \( z \) without smoothing. Then the voltage \( V_{ind} \) induced by the beam is given by

\[ V_{ind}(z) = -e \sum_i N_k W(z - z_k) , \]

where \( N_k \) is the number of particles in the kth bin and \( W(z) \) is the Green function wake @eld. Other methods of ®nding \( V_{ind} \) [4] give smoother results for a given number of macroparticles, but are more CPU-intensive.

For this study, we used a resonator wake @eld:

\[ W(z) = \frac{\omega_0 R e^{-k e z}}{Q} \left( \cos(k_1 z) - \frac{\sin(k_1 z)}{\sqrt{4Q^2 - 1}} \right) \] (4)

where \( R \) is shunt resistance, \( \omega_0 \) the resonant frequency, \( k_0 = \omega_0 / \sigma_z / c \) is roughly the bunch length in units of the vacuum chamber size, and \( k_1 = k_0 \sqrt{1 - 1/AQ^2} \).

In order to be able to relate the results of this paper with earlier work [6], [7], it is convenient to use as intensity the dimensionless parameter \( I = cN \omega_0 (R/Q) / (V_{rf}' \sigma_z) \).

The radiation damping usually takes tens or even hundreds of synchrotron oscillations. However, such long damping times require in general too much CPU time to simulate easily. Fortunately, the damping rate does not play a signi®cant role in instabilities which are fast compared with synchrotron motion. This is the regime of the present study. To optimize computation time versus simulation accuracy, we used arti®cial radiation damping times on the order of 5 to 10 times the synchrotron oscillation period.

We found that a reasonable accuracy is achieved with as few as 5,000 macroparticles. This depends upon the wake @eld being fairly smooth: many times more macroparticles are required for wake @elds which have many oscillations in one bunch length.[3]

For our analysis we have chosen a resonator wake @eld with a quality factor \( Q = 1 \) and bunch length parameter \( k_0 = 0.5 \). The radiation damping time \( \tau_e \) was set to 500 turns and other parameters \( V_{rf}' \), \( \alpha \), \( E_0 \) in eqn. 2 have been chosen to obtain a synchrotron period of 100 turns.

B. Results

We start with a large emittance and allow the beam to damp. At low intensities the beam relaxes to a thermodynamically stationary distribution which is well described by the Haissinski equation [5]. However when the intensity increases it takes more time for particles to reach a thermodynamic equilibrium particularly when this distribution has a two-peak line density profile. We found that a reasonable accuracy is achieved with as few as 5,000 macroparticles. This depends upon the wake @eld being fairly smooth: many times more macroparticles are required for wake @elds which have many oscillations in one bunch length.[3]

For our analysis we have chosen a resonator wake @eld with a quality factor \( Q = 1 \) and bunch length parameter \( k_0 = 0.5 \). The radiation damping time \( \tau_e \) was set to 500 turns and other parameters \( V_{rf}' \), \( \alpha \), \( E_0 \) in eqn. 2 have been chosen to obtain a synchrotron period of 100 turns.

The region close to threshold is di±cult to model because of the slow growth rate of the instability. Above approximately
If \( I = 20 \), the sawtooth instability becomes apparent. As intensity is raised, the sawtooth periodicity also increases. A typical example showing rms bunch length and energy spread is in Fig. 1 for \( I = 50 \). At very high intensity, the behaviour becomes irregular: the case of \( I = 45 \) is shown in Fig. 2.

We found that the sawtooth repetition rate is mainly determined by the diffusion process and not by radiation damping. To illustrate this point, the case of a 10/3 times stronger radiation damping is shown on Fig. 3. Comparing Fig. 3 with Fig. 1, one can see that the sawtooth frequency has not changed.

A complete cycle corresponding to one ‘tooth’ is shown on Fig. 4:

- \( a \to b \): The downstream cloud damps down (about 5 synchrotron oscillations).
- \( b \to c \): Diffusion populates the second peak until it is approximately equal to the first (about 30 synchrotron oscillations). Note that the two peaks have started to move toward each other and a third peak is already beginning to form.
- \( c \to d \): In about 1/3 of a synchrotron period the two main sub-bunches collapse together.
- \( d \to a \): The combined bunch throws out a large cloud of particles as it executes large synchrotron oscillations (less than a synchrotron period).

The sawtooth behaviour was most clearly seen in the region \( 0.4 < k_0 < 0.6 \). For \( k_0 < 0.4 \), the diffusion process was too slow. For \( k_0 > 0.6 \), where the threshold intensity increases with bunch length (Fig. 5), sawtooth behaviour is not seen either; instead, the bunch length oscillates chaotically.

### III. Analysis

Qualitatively, the instability can be understood by considering the wake of an extremely short bunch (Fig. 6, upper). In order for the energy lost by the bunch to the wake field to be compensated by the rf cavities, the rf waveform (here drawn as a straight line) must intersect the wake voltage at half the maximum. This is the location of the centre of this very short bunch, and is of course a stable fixed point. Situations for various rf voltage values can be considered by pivoting the rf waveform (line) about this point, as indicated in Fig. 6. Situations with different beam intensities can be simulated in the same way, since amplifying the wake field...
IV. Conclusion

We have developed a qualitative picture of the sawtooth instability. The wake field creates its own unstable and stable fixed points, particles diffuse to the second fixed point, and then the resulting second sub-bunch collapses into the head sub-bunch. The sawtooth frequency is therefore determined not primarily by radiation damping, but by a subsequent diffusion process.

The sawtooth effect is most readily seen when the bunch length is comparable with the wake field length. Qualitatively quite different behaviors can be seen when the bunch is either short or long compared with the wake. In the former case, for example, the two sub-bunches can sometimes pass through each other instead of collapsing, thus leading to a sustained quadrupole oscillation. This may be the type of behavior seen in LEP [8]. These regimes as well as other types of wake fields are still under investigation.
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