SCENARIOS FOR BEAM COMMISSIONING OF THE LHC COLLIMATION SYSTEM


Abstract

A system of collimators has been designed to protect the superconducting LHC magnets against quench and damage from the high intensity proton beams. The considerable number of collimators and the resulting number of degrees of freedom for their set-up require a well prepared commissioning strategy. Efficiency studies for various implementations of the LHC collimation system have been performed, taking into account the evolution in optics and beam intensity according to the LHC commissioning schedule. This paper explains the present plans for the set-up sequence of collimators.

INTRODUCTION

In the large hadron collider (LHC) two 7 TeV proton beams will circulate storing an energy of 362 MJ each. The quench limit of the LHC superconducting (sc) magnets is around 5 mW/cm² [1]. In order to avoid the quench of these magnets, a powerful system of collimators has been designed to absorb beam losses in dedicated warm regions of the machine.

Primary (TCP) and secondary (TCSG) collimators plus absorbers (TCLA) build up the two main cleaning insertions of the LHC ring: momentum (IR3) and betatron (IR7) cleaning. Diluter elements (TCDQ) and a TCSG protect the machine against mis-kicked beams in the dumping region (IR6). In Table 1 the nominal half-gaps of these collimators at 450 GeV and 7 TeV are presented in units of $\sigma = \sqrt{\varepsilon \beta}$ ($\varepsilon$ is the beam emittance and $\beta$ is the beta-function). Typical beam size values are: $1\sigma(450\text{ GeV}) \sim 1\text{ mm}$, $1\sigma(7\text{ TeV}) \sim 0.2\text{ mm}$.

Tertiary collimators (TCT, half-gap=8.3 $\sigma$ for nominal $\beta^* = 0.55\text{ m}$) are placed up-stream of the interaction points (IP) to protect the triplets. Special absorbers (TCLP set at 10 $\sigma$) downstream of IP1 and IP5 must catch the physics debris coming out from the high luminosity experiments during collision.

The performance of the collimation system is characterized by the global cleaning inefficiency $\eta$. This parameter represents the number of particles lost ($N_{\text{lost}}$) on the cold aperture of the machine, divided by the number of particles absorbed by the collimators ($N_{\text{abs}}$). Through dedicated tracking simulations (SixTrack) and a detailed aperture model of the accelerator, the local cleaning inefficiency $\eta_c$ [m$^{-1}$] can be computed over small sections with length $\Delta s$ (typically 0.1 m) [2]:

$$\eta_c = \frac{N_{\text{lost at } \Delta s}}{\Delta s \cdot N_{\text{abs}}}$$

High resolution loss maps are obtained, permitting the identification of the most critical regions of the ring. The performance of the LHC collimation system and the possible collimator-induced constraints are then analyzed for several commissioning scenarios. All the studies presented in the following sections refer to betatron halo simulations for the ideal machine.

CLEANING PERFORMANCE

Losses of particles overcoming the quench limit of the sc-magnets can imply a limitation in the beam intensity. The relation between the maximum number of particles circulating in the machine without causing quench ($N_{\text{max}}$) and $\eta_c$ is:

$$N_{\text{max}} = \frac{\tau \cdot R_q}{\eta_c}$$

Here $\tau$ is the beam life time and $R_q$ is the quench threshold for continuous losses on the sc-magnets ($R_q = 7 \cdot 10^5 \text{ p/(m-s)}$ at 450 GeV and $R_q = 7.8 \cdot 10^6 \text{ p/(m-s)}$ at 7 TeV). From this formula the minimum local cleaning inefficiency $\eta_q$ required for running the machine at its nominal intensity ($I_{\text{nom}} = 3.23 \cdot 10^{14}$ protons) can be derived as function of $\tau$:

- 450 GeV: $\eta_q = 7.8 \cdot 10^{-4} \text{ m}^{-1}$ for $\tau = 0.1\text{ h}$.
- 7 TeV: $\eta_q = 1.7 \cdot 10^{-5} \text{ m}^{-1}$ for $\tau = 0.2\text{ h}$.

The most critical region of the machine is the dispersion suppressor just downstream the betatron cleaning insertion. Particles impacting on the TCP can experience single diffractive scattering, loosing part of their energy and missing to intercept the down-stream TCSG and the TCLA. These off-momentum particles go straight forward towards the IR7 arc and are lost as soon as the dispersion increases.

Table 1: Nominal collimator half-gaps @ 450 GeV and 7 TeV in $\sigma$ units

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>450 GeV</th>
<th>7 TeV</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TCP</td>
<td>TCSG</td>
<td>TCLA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IR3</td>
<td>8.0</td>
<td>9.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IR7</td>
<td>5.7</td>
<td>6.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IR6</td>
<td>TCSG</td>
<td>TCDQ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IR2/8</td>
<td>TCLI</td>
<td>TDI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>6.8</td>
<td>6.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IR1/5</td>
<td>TCT</td>
<td>TCLP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>8.3</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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COLLIMATION IN ENERGY RAMP

After the commissioning of the machine at injection energy the acceleration ramp must be performed. The step from the 450 GeV collimator setting to the 7 TeV setting must be defined. At this stage the beam can have a reduced life time for a few seconds (τ = 0.1 h).

The tolerance budget \( T_{\text{coll}} \) of the collimation system is [3]:

\[
T_{\text{coll}} = n_2 - n_1 - 0.4 \sigma
\]

here \( n_2 \) and \( n_1 \) are the TCSG and TCP half-gaps in \( \text{m} \) units and 0.4 \( \sigma \) is the minimum acceptable offset between \( n_2 \) and \( n_1 \). For the 450 GeV setting \( T_{\text{coll}} \approx 0.6 \text{mm} \), while for the nominal top-energy setting \( T_{\text{coll}} \approx 0.12 \text{mm} \). Relaxed tolerances are desired during the energy ramp.

Different set-up options were studied for the complete phase1 system. The optics and the available aperture of the machine do not change during the energy ramp and before the squeeze of the beam at the IP. Moreover the beam is adiabatically dumped during the acceleration.

COLLISION AT 450 GEV

For the early stages of the LHC commissioning the option of bringing the two low intensity beams (<1% \( I_{\text{nom}} \)) into collision at 450 GeV is considered. The reduced number of circulating bunches (43) allows head-on collision (no crossing angle) without parasitic interactions. The beta function at the IP is the nominal injection \( \beta^* \): 11 m in IP1 (ATLAS) and IP5 (CMS), 10 m in IP2 (ALICE) and IP8 (LHC-b). A minimal collimation system is assumed. It consists of 8 TCP, 18 TCSG and 2 TCT in IR8 plus all the protectors in the dumping region. The collimators are at their nominal 450 GeV setting (see Table 1) but with the TCT at 17 \( \sigma \). Simulations were performed for this optics and with this minimal setting of collimators for B1 and Beam2(B2), horizontal and vertical halo. The results show that this reduced system can insure the safe operation of the machine during the low intensity 450 GeV run also in case of collision. A maximum \( \eta_c \) of \( \sim 1 \times 10^{-5} \text{ [m}^{-1}] \) is predicted.

COLLIMATION IN ENERGY RAMP

After the commissioning of the machine at injection energy the acceleration ramp must be performed. The step
and $T_{coll}$ is equal to $\sim 0.9$ mm during the full ramp. The number of the single diffracted particles lost in the IR7 dispersion suppressor depends on the optics, on the beam energy and on the collimator settings.
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**Figure 3:** $\eta_c$ plotted as function of the TCP half-gaps for several settings of collimators at 7 TeV and for squeezed (A,B) and unsqueezed (C,D) optics. The cleaning efficiency gets worse when the TCP are open. The error bars represent only statistical errors.

Fig.3 shows the maximum value of $\eta_c$ (always in IR7) as function of the TCP half-gap for different optics and collimation settings:

- **A:** TCP/TCSG at 6/7 $\sigma$, $\beta^* = 0.55$ m.
- **B:** TCP/TCSG at 9/10 $\sigma$, $\beta^* = 0.55$ m (LHC upgrade studies).
- **C:** TCP/TCSG at 14/19 $\sigma$, $\beta^* = 11$ m.
- **D:** TCP/TCSG at 22.5/26.5 $\sigma$, $\beta^* = 11$ m.

**EARLY COLLISION OPTICS**

Before the squeeze of the beam down to the nominal $\beta^*$ of 0.55 m several intermediate 7 TeV collision optics are foreseen. This section summarizes and completes studies on possible set-up sequences of the collimators for the 2m $\beta^*$ case (presented in more details in [5]).

In Fig.4 the TCP, TCSG, TCLA and TCSG@IR6 half-gaps and the $I_{max}$ are presented for different settings (B2 horizontal halo). For all these scenarios the TCT are kept at 17 $\sigma$ and the offset between the IR6 collimators is the nominal one (see Table1). $I_{max}$ is evaluated considering also the energy deposition of the collimator induced showers on the sc-magnets (FLUKA simulations). When the betatron insertion is reduced to a one stage cleaning system (scenario 1 and scenarios 2) $I_{max}$ is $\leq 3\% I_{nom}$. This limitation is due to the showers of particles coming out from the IR6 collimators acting as secondary (see also scenarios 3a and 3b) [5]. This energy load is problematic for B2 because the dumping region is downstream the betatron cleaning insertion. Losses in the IR7 dispersion suppressor become the limiting factor only when the IR6 collimators are in the shadow of the TCSG. For this case of B2 horizontal halo, $33\% I_{nom}$ ($\tau = 0.2$ h) can be reached (scenario3c), with relaxed tolerances, keeping the secondary collimators
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**Figure 4:** The beam intensity $I_{max}$ is limited by the possible quench of the IR6 sc-magnets for all the commissioning scenarios except sc3c and sc0 (circled points). In this case losses in IR7 play the dominant role.

at $9.5 \sigma$. Closing the TCSG at the nominal 7 TeV setting $81\% I_{nom}$ can be reached.

These studies highlight the crucial role played by the TCSG in the protection of the machine and of other more critical collimators.

**CONCLUSIONS**

Different stages of the LHC collimation system commissioning have been considered. The number of collimators and their settings were varied, reflecting the options of commissioning with (1) a reduced set of collimators or (2) with all collimators but relaxed settings. It has been shown that a sub-set of collimators (34 out of 88) is sufficient to clean the 450 GeV LHC beams with 43 bunches. Commissioning scenarios, that use many collimators but somewhat relaxed collimator gaps, have been proven to provide maximum tolerances to the machine operation (beta beat, orbit, collimator set-up tolerances) for the energy ramp and the 7 TeV commissioning. Controls software is being implemented to provide the necessary flexibility and precision to drive the collimation system through the stages defined in this paper. These commissioning studies will allow reducing the time required for setting the LHC collimation during the first years of the LHC operation. Further ongoing studies will focus on (1) simulating imperfect cleaning and (2) on the requirements from momentum cleaning.
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