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Abstract
As part of the Dual Axis Radiography Hydrotest Facility, Phase II (DARHT II) Multipulse Bremsstrahlung Target effort, we have been performing an investigation of (1) the possible adverse effects of backstreaming ion emission from the Bremsstrahlung converter target and (2) the hydrodynamic behavior of the target after the electron beam interaction. Theory predictions show that the first effect would primarily be manifested in the static focusing system as a rapidly varying x-ray spot. From experiments performed on ETA-II, we have shown that the first effect is not strongly present when the beam initially interacts with the target. Electron beam pulses delivered to the target after formation of a plasma are strongly affected, however. Secondly, we have performed measurements of the time varying target density after disassembly was initiated by the electron beam. The measurements presented show that the target density as a function of time compares favorably with our LASNEX models.

1 INTRODUCTION
DARHT II is a one axis multi-pulse system that is part of the dual axis flash radiography facility being built at Los Alamos National Laboratory. This portion of the facility is designed to be capable of taking closely spaced radiographic images so as to produce a time-sequenced record of an object under test.

The DARHT II accelerator is nominally a 20 MeV, 2 kA, 2 μs single pulse accelerator. Four pulses at the target over a 2 μs window are derived from this single pulse with a fast kicker system. The electron beam interacting with the converter target (typically tantalum) generates an intense x-ray cone that produces a radiographic image on a fast detector array.

As the electron beam interacts with the target surface, a plasma promptly develops. The beam electrons create a strong space charge field in front of the target from which ions can be extracted and accelerated in a direction opposite to the electron beam propagation. These ions partially neutralize the beam space charge and defocusing of the beam results.

Additionally, the interaction of the electron beam pulse with the target causes hydrodynamic expansion and results in a decreased density for successive pulses. As a result, the efficiency of the electron beam conversion to x-rays is decreased. To understand and characterize this phenomena, we are studying this expansion by measuring the integrated line density of the target at the point of the electron beam interaction and comparing the results with our hydrodynamic models.

2 EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS AND DISCUSSION
Our on-going experimental program objective is to study the interaction of the electron beam with the x-ray converter target. In these experiments, we focus on the dynamics of the spot behavior measuring x-ray spot blur across an edge (so called “roll-bar” technique), and 2-d imaging with a gated, multiframe, x-ray pinhole camera. Further, we are characterizing the properties of the plume by using various plasma diagnostic techniques. And finally, we are using a low energy x-ray system to measure the integrated line density of the target after interaction with the electron beam pulse.

We described our initial experimental set-up and preliminary experiments in a previous paper [1]. Figure 1 shows a representative sample of images from the x-ray pinhole camera. Gate time of each image is approximately 6 ns and spacing between images is 7-10 ns.

Images taken with the beam at normal incidence show an almost constant spot diameter. An intensity profile through the center of each image shows a 1 mm spot diameter (FWHM) for this beam current of 1.4 kA. We observe similar results from this current up to the maximum ETA-II operational current of approximately 2.0 kA. These data show an almost constant spot radius with a variation of approximately 25%. Additionally, in this data, we do not observe evidence of backstreaming ions with the Faraday cups.

As most models predict that the backstreaming ion effect can manifest itself in the focus more promptly for lighter species, we conducted multiple experiments with light element surface layers (down to mass 2) or with lighter element target substrates (down to mass 12). In all these experiments, we were unable to observe a dynamically varying spot diameter or any evidence of backstreaming ions in the Faraday cups.

We have also conducted experiments to determine the effects of a pre-existing plasma on the x-ray spot (fig. 2). In these data, a plasma was created on the surface of the target at various times from 100 ns to several microseconds prior to the electron beam pulse with a 1 J Nd:YAG laser. During this delay time the plasma is allowed to expand until beam time. In the image to the left, evidence of a defocused x-ray spot is evident. In this data, a central bright core exists with the remainder of the
beam surrounding the central core. Similarly, with a plasma off-axis to the beam, elongation of the spot occurs along a radial to the plasma (right image).

Figure 1. Time sequenced x-ray image taken over a single 40 ns ETA-II pulse. Each image is integrated over 7-10 ns. A constant spot diameter is observed up to 2 kA and 1 mm (FWHM) spot size.

Figure 2. Effect of a pre-existing plasma on the x-ray spot. First image shows the effect of a plasma on axis with the electron beam. Second image shows effect of a plasma approximately 5 mm from the electron beam axis. Elongation of the spot occurs along a radial toward the plasma.

Figure 3. Simulated double pulse testing of multipulse targets. The SNOWTRON injector (nominally 1 MeV, 2 kA) creates a plasma and initiates target disassembly; the ETA-II beam then characterized effects.

In our most recent experiments, we have simulated multipulse experiments using a 2 kA, 1 MeV injector (fig. 3). In these experiments, this injector (SNOWTRON) initiates disassembly of the target leading to creation of a plasma. At a set delay time, the ETA-II beam electron beam pulse is injected on axis and interacts with the target to allow study of target interaction effects.

To counter the effects of the backstreaming ions and to restrict the interaction length of the beam with the plasma, we are exploring the use of mechanical barriers. These barriers are transparent to the main electron beam pulse but are opaque to the plasma and backstreaming ions. The effectiveness of the barrier is shown in Figure 4. The left image shows unconstrained expansion of the plasma while the right image shows constrained expansion.

Figure 4. Effect of a mechanical barrier on the expansion of the target plasma. Left image is without the barrier. Right image is with the barrier. Images are created at 2 and 2.5 µs, respectively, after beam time. Positions of the target are shown on each image while position of the barrier foil is shown in the right image.

Figure 5. Effect of a mechanical barrier foil on backstreaming ions as measured with the Faraday cups. Baseline with ETA-II only (red) and ETA-II 500 ns after SNOWTRON (blue). Top trace is with a barrier foil. Bottom trace is without a barrier foil.

Figure 5 shows the effect of the barrier on the backstreaming ion signature measured with Faraday cups. In both data, the baseline of ETA-II with a barrier is shown. The upper trace compares this result with a
barrier foil after SNOWTRON was fired 500 ns prior to ETA-II. The lower trace compares the result without a barrier foil after SNOWTRON was fired at the identical time. In both traces, the strong negative going signal identifies the main electron beam pulse from ETA-II. In the lower trace, evidence of backstreaming ions manifests itself as a positive directed signal after the main electron beam pulse.

Figure 6. Comparison of x-ray spot images with (left) and without (right) a foil barrier. Gate times for each image are 10, 5, and 10 ns (top to bottom). Pulse time relative to the ETA-II beam is 0, 10, and 30 ns respectively.

Comparison of the dynamics of the x-ray spot is shown in Figure 6 and the temporal behavior of the dose-rate is shown in Figure 7. Use of the foil barrier results in a stable spot size as evidenced by the x-ray spot images and the temporal dose-rate that approximates the profile of the ETA-II current pulse. Without the foil barrier, the temporal behavior of the x-ray spot image is dynamic and the temporal dose-rate decays rapidly in the latter stages of the pulse. The result of this latter observation would be net lower total dose on the dose diagnostic.

We have begun preliminary experiments measuring the integrated line density of the target after interaction with the ETA-II beam. The purpose of these measurements is to verify the predictive ability of our models used to design the DARHT II target. These models predict sufficient target density over the 2 µs pulse train so as to allow achievement of the required dose.

The measurements are conducted by initiating disassembly of the target with the ETA-II beam and then backlighting the target with a soft x-ray source after a specified delay. By measuring the intensity of the transmitted x-rays, we are able to determine the relative change in the integrated line density averaged over the illuminated area. Results are shown in Figure 8. Comparison with LASNEX is in good agreement.

Figure 8. Comparison of the measured hydrodynamic disassembly with LASNEX models.

3 SUMMARY

The multipulse target effort for the DARHT II radiography accelerator is focused on mitigating the effects of (1) backstreaming ions causing dynamic defocusing of the x-ray spot, i.e., resulting in overall increased spotsize, and (2) understanding the effects of the hydrodynamic disassembly of the target, i.e., resulting in reduced dose. We have successfully demonstrated mitigation of the first effect with a foil barrier. The second effect is being studied in that we are demonstrating the ability of our models to predict target hydrodynamic behavior. Thus far these models have predicted sufficient target density to ensure adequate dose through the four-pulse sequence.
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