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Abstract

After a long shut-down (LS1), LHC restarted its operation on April 2015 at a record energy of 6.5 TeV, achieving soon a good luminosity performance. In this paper, a luminosity model based on the three main components of the LHC luminosity degradation (intrabeam scattering, synchrotron radiation and luminosity burn-off), is compared with data from runII. Based on the observations, other sources of luminosity degradation are discussed and the model is refined. Finally, based on the experience from runI and runII, the model is used for luminosity performance projections for the 2016 LHC parameters.

INTRODUCTION

The performance of a collider is best described by the luminosity (integrated over time) which, in general, is given by [1]:

\[ \mathcal{L}(t) = \frac{n_b f_{rev} N_1(t) N_2(t)}{2\pi\sigma_x(t)\sigma_y(t)} \mathcal{H}(\sigma_x(t), \beta^*(t)) \]

where \( n_b \) is the number of colliding bunches, \( f_{rev} \) the revolution frequency, \( N_1,2 \) the number of particles per bunch for each beam and \( \sigma_{x,y} \) the rms horizontal and vertical beam sizes at the collision point. Due to the crossing angle at collision \( \phi \) and the fact that the beta function varies rapidly around the interaction point (IP), a geometric factor \( \mathcal{H}(\sigma_x(t), \beta^*(t)) \) and the hourglass effect reduction factor \( \mathcal{F}_H(\sigma_x(t), \beta^*(t)) \) should be considered, where \( \sigma_x \) and \( \beta^* \) are the rms bunch length and the beta function at collision at time \( t \) during the physics fill (assuming round optics and equal emittances of the two beams and of the two planes) respectively.

Although luminosity is a macroscopic indicator of global collider performance, the observed bunch-by-bunch (bbb) variations in the transverse and longitudinal emittances and in current impacts its evolution and finally the integrated luminosity per fill. A bbb model was developed based on the three main mechanisms of luminosity degradation in the LHC [2]: intrabeam scattering (IBS), synchrotron radiation (SR) and luminosity burn-off. Here, the model is compared with 2015 RunII data. Finally, luminosity predictions based on the 2016 LHC beam parameters are presented.

MODEL DESCRIPTION

The emittance evolution of the beams in the LHC during the Flat Bottom (FB), the ramp and the first part of the Flat Top (FT) (before the squeeze) is dominated by the intrabeam scattering (IBS) effect [3]. During collisions a combination of effects including burn-off, IBS, beam-beam, noise, etc., cause emittance blow up and/or particle losses [4]. Based on the assumption that IBS and Synchrotron Radiation (SR) are the dominant effects for the emittance evolution during collisions, the evolution of different injected beam parameters (transverse emittances, bunch length, bunch current) were calculated using the “ibs” routine of MADX with synchrotron radiation [5,6]. The transverse emittance and bunch length evolution was then fully parameterized with respect to initial beam parameters and time, using simple fit functions. Finally the combined effect in any plane can be calculated through a single parametric function:

\[ (\epsilon_x(t), \epsilon_y(t), \sigma_x(t)) = f(En, N_b(t_0), \epsilon_x(t_0), \epsilon_y(t_0), \sigma_x(t_0), t - t_0) \]

where \( t - t_0 \) the time interval for which we need to calculate the effect. The procedure is described in more details in [2].

The main mechanism of the bunch intensity degradation during collisions is the luminosity burn-off, causing the bunch current decay due to the collisions themselves. The burn-off decay time is given by:

\[ \tau_{muc} = \frac{N_{b0}}{kL_0\sigma_{tot}} \]

where \( N_{b0} \) is the initial bunch intensity, \( L_0 \) the initial luminosity, \( k \) the number of interaction points with luminosity \( \sigma_{tot} \) the proton-proton total cross section whose energy dependence is shown in Fig. 1 [7]. At 6.5 TeV, \( \sigma_{tot} \approx 110 \text{ mb} \). The bunch current evolution can then be calculated through \( N_b = N_{b0}/(1 + t/\tau_{muc}) \).

![Figure 1: Dependences of total, inelastic and elastic cross-sections on the scattering energy \( \sqrt{s} \)](image_url)

Combining equations 1, 2 and 3 and iterating in small time-steps (such that the current variation in each time-step is relatively small) can give us a self-consistent calculation of the beam parameters, and thus the luminosity evolution in time.
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DATA TO MODEL COMPARISON

In LHC RunII, transverse emittance measurements both at Flat Bottom and Flat Top energies and with different means of measurements were available during physics fills:

- Convoluted emittance computation using the luminosity measurements from the experiments. This method assumes equal emittances for both beams and both planes.
- The Beam Synchrotron Radiation Telescope (BSRT) system provides horizontal and vertical beam size data for both beams [8].
- Convoluted horizontal and vertical emittance from Luminosity scans [9]. This method assumes equal emittances per plane for both beams but allows differentiating the horizontal and vertical plane.

The fact that different methods are available, gives the ability to crosscheck and verify the emittance measurements and finally benchmark the model against real data and identify missing components.

In order to compare the data with the model predictions we used as input to the model the initial transverse emittance given by the BSRT data and the initial bunch current and initial bunch length given by the Beam Quality Monitor (BQM). The luminosity model function was then iterated in time-steps of 20 min in order to calculate the transverse emittance, bunch current and bunch length evolution in time, as described earlier.

A comparison between the averaged (over all colliding bunches) convoluted emittance from ATLAS (red), from CMS (green), from the BSRT data (blue stars) and the model prediction (blue dashed line) is shown in Fig. 2, for one of the longer fills of 2015. Similar behavior is observed in most of the Physics fills of this run. A large discrepancy is also observed for the other two components of the model, i.e. the bunch current and bunch length as shown in the top plots of Fig. 3, where smoother current decay (left) and more bunch length damping (right) is observed with respect to the model prediction (black dashed lines). If, on the other hand, instead of using the transverse emittance evolution prediction from IBS and SR we use the measured transverse emittance and re-iterate the luminosity model function, the agreement between the model and the data for the bunch current (bottom, left) and bunch length (bottom, right) evolution in time becomes much better. This is a strong indication that there is an extra transverse emittance blow up mechanism that needs to be understood and added to the model. This effect causes a reduction in the integrated luminosity for this fill of the order of 20%. A bunch-by-bunch analysis is currently in progress in order to gain statistics and find correlations that will guide us to identify the effect.

BEAM LOSSES

LHC losses were studied for the 2015 proton-proton physics operation while the beams were in collisions at flat-top energy (Stable Beams) and some preliminary results are presented here. Both the change in the total bunch intensity, as measured by the DC Beam Current Transformer (DC-BCT), and the instantaneous beam losses as measured by the Beam Loss Monitors (BLM) at the primary LHC collimators were considered. The data from the BLMs were decomposed into horizontal, vertical and off-momentum parts for both beams [10–12]. During the first 2 h of collisions, significant transverse losses (totaling to 1% of the initial intensity) at the collimators were observed, as shown in Fig. 4. This behavior could be attributed to initial transverse tails which...
are lost once the beams are in collision. Thereafter, losses were strongly dominated by inelastic luminosity burn-off. Longitudinal (off-momentum) losses were found to be small and constant throughout the fills. It is worth noting that for the 2015 scenario, the total losses are well represented phenomenologically by considering the total cross-section instead of the inelastic cross-section for luminosity burn-off (as in Eq. 3).

Figure 4: Instantaneous losses during Stable Beams for an example fill of 2015.

**OPTIMAL FILL TIMES AND LUMINOSITY PROJECTIONS FOR 2016**

A set for proposed beam parameters for the beginning of the Run in 2016 are given in Table 1. Assuming that the emittance evolution will be as in 2015, thus slow variation of the convoluted emittance along the fill, the expected average specific luminosity per bunch is shown in Fig. 5. The projected integrated luminosity for the 40 cm $\beta^*$ case is 0.221 pb/bunch while for the 50 cm $\beta^*$ case is 0.205 pb/bunch, over a fill time of 20h.

A fill by fill statistical analysis of the availability of the machine in 2015 [13], showed that the most probable turnaround time of the machine at operating at 25 ns is 6-8 h. Figure 6 shows how the optimal fill time is parameterized with the preparation (or turnaround) time of the machine for the $\beta^* = 40$ cm case with the emittance evolving very slowly along the fill, as observed in 2015 (blue), for the $\beta^* = 40$ cm based on the IBS+SR+Burn-off model (green) and for the 2015 (red) case. In all cases we see that the optimal fill time increases rapidly with the turnaround time and long fills are favorable. Following the blue line, for a short turnaround time of $t_t = 3$ h the optimal fill time is 13 h, while for $t_t = 8$ h the optimal fill time increases to 25 h.

Figure 5: The expected average luminosity per bunch for the proposed 2016 LHC parameters.

Figure 6: Optimal fill time for the LHC parameterized with the turnaround time of the machine.

**SUMMARY AND OUTLOOK**

A luminosity model for the LHC, including IBS, SR and Burn-off at Flat Top (4 TeV, 6.5 TeV and 7 TeV) and Flat Bottom energies is available and can be easily applied bunch-by-bunch. The model is based on analytical formulas which assume Gaussian distributions which is not always the case for the LHC (especially in the longitudinal plane). Work is in progress to understand the effect of the beam distribution on the IBS evolution of the bunch characteristics.

In this paper, the model has been compared with data from 2015 RunII of LHC. In all physics fills slow evolution of the convoluted emittance has been observed, while emittance damping was expected. Using the measured emittance, a good prediction for the bunch length and bunch current evolution was found. This is a strong indication that other sources of transverse emittance blow up exist and need to be identified and added to the model. A bunch-by-bunch analysis is in progress, aiming to show correlations that will help understand and identify the underlying cause. An analysis of losses on a bunch-by-bunch level is also currently ongoing.

Finally, the model was used to make predictions for the expected integrated luminosity and the optimal fill time, based on the 2016 proposed bunch parameters. As in 2015, long fills will be favorable with the optimal fill time increasing rapidly with the turnaround time of the machine.
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