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Abstract
The PIP-II program of upgrades proposed for the Fermilab accelerator complex is centered around an 800 MeV, 2 mA CW SRF linac. A unique feature of the PIP-II linac is the capability to form a flexible bunch structure by removing a pre-programmed set of bunches from a long-pulse or CW 162.5 MHz train, coming from the RFQ, within the 2.1-MeV Medium Energy Beam Transport (MEBT) section. The MEBT chopping system consists of two travelling-wave kickers working in sync followed by a beam absorber. The prototype components of the chopping system, two design variants of the kickers and a 1/4-size accelerator, have been installed in the PIP-II Injector Test (PIP2IT) accelerator and successfully tested with beam of up to 5 mA. In part, one of the kickers demonstrated a capability to create an aperiodic pulse sequence for synchronous injection into the Booster while operating at 500 V and average switching frequency of 44 MHz during 0.55 ms bursts at 20 Hz. This report presents the design of the PIP-II MEBT chopping system and results of prototypes testing at PIP2IT.

INTRODUCTION
In the coming decade, Fermilab plans to replace the existing linac with a new 800 MeV SRF H- accelerator, a.k.a. PIP-II [1]. Presently, its first application is expected to be the injection into the Booster while later delivering a high-power beam simultaneously to multiple experiments. In the scheme proposed in [2] for the latter, a RF cavity with transverse field operating at a frequency of (n+1/2) × 162.5 MHz (so-called RF splitter) placed downstream of the linac, distributes bunches to three channels according to the phase of their arrival. Since requirements for the beam time structure are likely to be very different for various experiments, the scheme suggested installing in the MEBT a dedicated fast chopping system (chopper) capable of removing individual bunches from initially true CW beam coming out of the 162.5 MHz RFQ. Only bunches fitting to the combined pattern of experiments’ requests are passed for acceleration, while others are dumped within the MEBT.

Capability to create an arbitrary bunch pattern is beneficial for the scenario of the Booster injection as well. The fundamental bunch frequency of the PIP-II linac, 162.5 MHz, and the Booster frequency at injection, 44.7 MHz are not harmonically related. In the bucket-to-bucket injection scenario described in [1], the chopper removes the bunches that would arrive at the boundaries of separate trix, creating an optimum longitudinal distribution and equal population of the Booster bunches. In addition, it creates a gap in the Booster bunches sequence to fire the extraction kicker at the end of the acceleration cycle.

The concept of the chopper as well as its prototype components were tested at the PIP-II Injector Test (PIP2IT, [3]) accelerator.

CHOPPER CONCEPT AND CHOICE OF PARAMETERS
The chopper consists of a set of electrostatic deflectors (kickers) and a beam absorber accepting the unwanted bunches. Bunch-by-bunch selection assumes that the kickers are capable of fully deflecting a “removed” bunch while perturbing minimally the neighbouring “passing” bunches. Full deflection is defined as separation of the passing and removed bunches in the transverse direction (chosen for PIP-II vertical and marked as Y in the text) by 6σY, where σY is the vertical rms beam size at the absorber.

The deflection voltage pulse needs to travel along the kicker with the speed matching the velocity of the 2.1 MeV H- ions, 20 mm/ns. Therefore, a corresponding travelling-wave structure is required.

The main parameters defining the scheme choices are the vertical emittance (≈ 0.2 µm rms n) and the achievable kicker plate voltage amplitude, i.e. difference of the voltage between states of passing and removing the bunches. The latter was chosen to be 500 V. Then, the minimum kicker gap was defined by the expected beam size plus space to accommodate the trajectories of both passing and removed bunches. The gap was further increased by ~20% while limiting the entrance and exit apertures of the kicker with electrodes exclusively dedicated to protection of the kicker structure from irradiation by the beam. The kickers need to fit between the quadrupole triplets (650 mm flange-to-flange), which in turn defined the length of the deflecting plates, 0.5 m. To achieve the required separation, the deflection is provided by two kickers placed at ~180° betatron phase advance between them. The absorber follows the last kicker with an additional ~90° phase advance.

To decrease the power density of the removed bunches at the absorber surface, it is positioned at a small angle with respect to the beam. To keep the distances between the focusing elements constant through the MEBT, the length of the absorber surface is also 0.5 m. Both absorber
and kickers were originally specified and designed to be CW-capable. Some of the parameters of the chopping system elements are presented in Table 1, and the vertical envelopes of the passing and removed bunches simulated for the PIP-II are shown in Fig. 1.

Table 1: Parameters of the Chopper Elements

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Units</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Kicker deflection angle*</td>
<td>7.4</td>
<td>mrad</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gap between kicker plates</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gap in the kicker protection electrode</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kicker plate length</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum power from beam loss, CW</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>W</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum accidental beam loss</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>J</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Absorber surface length</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum power at absorber, CW</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>kW</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Deflection angle is defined as the angle between passed and removed trajectories created by one kicker.

KICKERS

Two versions of the kicker and driver, referred below by their impedance, 50 and 200 Ohm, were developed [5] and tested with beam.

50 Ohm Version

The 50-Ohm kicker consists of two identical structures shown in Fig. 2. The beam is deflected by voltage applied to 25 planar electrodes connected in vacuum by coaxial cables with the length determined by necessary delays. Cooling of the Teflon-insulated cables is provided by clamps, which, in turn, are cooled by water flowing through the channels in the structure. The low-power RF measurements showed the excellent agreement with the specified phase velocity (20.08 mm/ns) and low dispersion.

The kicker was expected to be driven by two 0.7 kW CW wideband (0.05 – 1 GHz) linear amplifiers produced by industry. To decrease the low frequency content of the output signal, the 6.15 ns pulse affecting an individual bunch is formed bipolar with zero average voltage. Effects from non-linearities of the amplifier, imperfections of its frequency response and dispersion in cables and the kicker structure are corrected by pre-distorting the driving signal (see details of proof-of-principle test in [5]).

Due to budgetary constraints, such amplifiers were not acquired, and the beam tests were performed with the kicker driven by a pair of power amplifiers operating at 81.25 MHz. In this mode, the neighbouring bunches were deflected in opposite directions by ±250 V on each plate.

The same amplifiers were used to test the thermal characteristics of the kicker. The kickers were subjected to the total power of 2.8 kW CW (374 V per plate) for ~100 hours with no changes observed and vacuum at the end < 10\(^{-7}\) Torr. The insertion loss during these tests was 0.27 dB.

200 Ohm Version

The travelling wave structure of the 200 Ohm kicker is composed of two helices each with 47 welded plates facing the beam (Fig. 3). Its detailed description can be found in Ref. [6].

Figure 2: 50-Ohm kicker structure: 3D model and one assembled plate.

Figure 3: Photo of the fully assembled 200 Ohm kicker’s two-helix structure.

The high characteristic impedance enables using a fast switch to generate the voltage waveform at the kicker electrodes. As reported in Ref. [6], two prototype switch drivers used in the beam tests are capable of switching the plate voltage between zero and 500 V in 4 ns at rates up to the maximum interesting rate of 81.25 MHz, corresponding to the deflection of every other bunch. The limit for the combination of the macro-pulse length and switching frequency is determined by the heat removal from the switch transistors. The present version with the transistors mounted on G10 and cooled by forced air is limited to CW average switching rate of 500 kHz.

While the RF loss in the structure is low (~8 W per helix), the possibility of uncontrolled beam loss of up to 40
W was foreseen. To ensure the kicker’s survival in this scenario, it was subjected to a thermal test, in which a DC current was injected, corresponding to 40 W deposited in each helix. No dramatic vacuum degradation or changes in kicker characteristics were observed.

**ABSORBER**

The absorber concept [7] features a grazing angle of incidence (29 mrad) that decreases the peak power density absorbed at the surface to 17 W/mm²; longitudinal segmentation to relieve thermally-induced stresses; and the molybdenum alloy TZM for the absorbing surface.

Two ¼ length prototypes were manufactured and tested with an electron beam at a dedicated test stand [7]. In part, the second prototype, shown in Fig. 4 (right) photo successfully went through a thermocycle test with more than $10^4$ “30s on- 30s off” cycles at the power density deposited by the electron beam exceeding the level expected for the PIP2IT full – size absorber. This prototype was installed into PIP2IT downstream of the kickers.

**Tests and Results**

**Kicker Deflection**

Deflection of both kickers was characterized in the MEBT configuration before installation of the DPI, which allowed transporting both “passing” and “removed” trajectories to the beam dump with low losses. An Arbitrary Waveform Generator [6] drove the kicker to deflect every other bunch. Then the vertical F-scraper was moved in, and the dump current was recorded as a function of the scraper position. If the two trajectories are well separated, the scan shows two ‘steps’ (Fig. 6).

Quantitatively, the scraper profiles are fitted with a function corresponding to the sum of 2 Gaussian 1D profiles, where the fitting parameters are the mean value of each Gaussian and $\sigma_y$, which is assumed to be the same for bunches belonging to either trajectory. The difference between the 2 mean values is the bunch separation. From this, both the optimum phase of the kicker w.r.t. the beam...
and the deflection angle at the kicker exit can be inferred. To have good separation and good transmission of both trajectories to the dump, the beam is heavily scraped off in the vertical direction with two scraping stations upstream of the chopper, producing a flat beam.

![Figure 6: F-scraper profiles for different delays between the phase of the beam and the 50 Ohm kicker’s. (a) Optimum delay; (b) Shifted by 1.73 ns w.r.t. the optimum delay. The beam current after scraping is 1.1 mA (5 mA initially). The fitted rms beam size is 1.15 mm, and the bunch separation is 8.2 mm (a) and 4.4 mm (b).](image)

Routine phasing of the kickers uses the BPM immediately downstream of the F-scraper. A fast scope records the differential signal from the BPM vertical plates and calculates the difference between peaks of the signals from neighbouring bunches. The kicker delay is varied until this difference is maximized. However, for numerical characterization, the procedure using the F-scraper (Fig. 6) is employed. The bunch separation plotted as a function of the kicker delay represents the temporal shape of the kicker signal smoothed by the finite length of the beam bunches (Fig. 7).

![Figure 7: Example of phasing of the 50 Ohm kickers with scraper scans.](image)

Using the optical model of the beam line [10], the maximum spatial separation between bunches measured with the F-scraper is translated into the amplitude of the angular deflection by the kicker. The error of this reconstruction is estimated to do not exceed 5%. In some measurements with the 50 Ohm kicker, there was a significant scatter (~5%) of deflections, likely related to drifts of the kicker’s power amplifiers. Within these errors, the measured deflections were in a good agreement with the expected values (7.4 mrad for 500 V plate voltage change).

### 200 Ohm Version Tests

Several additional tests were performed with the 200 Ohm kicker. One of them is an estimate of the perturbation passing bunches experience. The kicker voltage does not exactly reach the ground potential when the passing bunch arrives, and the bunch centroid undergoes a small deflection. This deflection was measured using the flat beam with the sequence of deflecting every other bunch (“81.25 MHz”) and intercepting the removed bunches. The trajectory of the passing bunches was recorded with BPMs and compared to the trajectory with the kicker off. The resulting deflection at nominal parameters was found to be 0.3 mrad, which for the nominal beam creates an emittance dilution of <5%. Note that the average deflection is expected to be lower for other patterns of bunch removal.

Another test is a verification that a difference between the nominal velocity of ions and the phase velocity of the kicker does not affect the deflection amplitude. In these measurements, the phase and amplitude of bunching cavity #2 are changed such as to keep focusing constant but the energy is varied by ±87 keV. The energy change is then verified from changes of the phases of the downstream BPMs, and the bunch separation is measured at the kicker delay optimum for each energy, E. While one of the points measured is unexplainably shifted, the main effect appears to be a decrease of the deflection with energy as 1/E, as it would be in the case of a perfect match, and the contribution of a velocity mismatch to the maximum deflection is less than 5% (Fig. 8). Note that if the main goal of the measurement were to compare the kicker phase and ions velocity, a measurement at the rising or falling edges rather than at the maximum would have a better sensitivity to the velocity mismatch.

![Figure 8: dependence of the bunch separation measured with the F-scraper on the beam energy. Two sets are measured at different voltage of the kicker plate power supply, with separation scaled to 500 V. The solid curve is 1/E dependence drawn through the point measured at the nominal energy.](image)
(already mentioned); a pattern with randomly selected passing and removed bunches; one pattern suitable for the Mu2e experiment (repeatable pattern of 200 ns of passing bunches followed by 1.6 µs of removed bunches); and aperiodic sequence envisioned for Booster injection. The latter pattern lasted for 0.55 ms macro-pulses, the entire length of the Booster injection (Fig. 9).

Two Kickers Working Together

Preliminary tests were performed with two kickers operating in sync with the 81.25 MHz pattern. The first test was a sanity check, where the kickers, phased individually in advance, were deflecting the flat beam. The total deflection, estimated by the scope signal from the downstream BPM, was equal to the sum of deflections by each kicker. Note that more accurate measurements with F-scrapers scans (as in Fig. 6) cannot be performed in this case because the separation is too large to transport both trajectories to the dump with low losses.

The second test was performed with a full-intensity beam to mimic operation in a high-power mode, though the pulse length was still 10 µs. The scrapers upstream of the chopping system were moved to the beam boundary as they were during high-power runs, intercepting ~1% of the beam current. After careful tuning with dipole correctors through the tight apertures of the pulsing kickers, the beam losses to their protection electrodes were decreased to below 10 µA each, which, according to previous measurements, ensures that the loss power to the kickers stays well below the specified 40 W.

Then the F-scaper was moved in to the position where the beam current to the dump dropped by a factor of two in comparison with the case of the kickers being turned off. The RWCM signal showed that the intensity of the removed bunches dropped below 2% of the passing ones (Fig. 10).

Absorber Prototype

While time limitations did not allow to test the absorber prototype with the highest power available, it was irradiated for 36 hours with 98% beam uptime with the following parameters: 1.75 ms × 20 Hz × 10 mA × 2.1 MeV = 735 W (with the kickers off). No damage or deterioration was observed.

Tuning of the beam position at the absorber surface was expected and initially made using thermocouples squeezed between the TZM bricks forming the absorbing surface. A surprising help came from imaging of the surface with a camera in the visible spectrum. Even though the surface was not hot enough for thermal radiation, and the OTR light should be too faint to be observed, a bright light clearly indicated the beam position at the absorber surface (Fig. 11). The present speculation is that it is related to poor vacuum in the time of the measurements (up to 6·10^{-6} Torr) because no pumping had been installed yet.

SUMMARY AND PLANS

Prototypes of two kicker variants and of the absorber were successfully tested with a 2.1 MeV H-ion beam at PIP2IT. The 200 Ohm kicker version demonstrated all capabilities required for PIP-II operation with bucket-to-bucket injection to Booster. A full-size absorber is being manufactured, and production of two kickers and their drivers (200 Ohm version) is expected in 2019. When PIP2IT operation resumes after installation of the cryomodules, the chopping system will demonstrate being
able to deliver a beam with nominal parameters chopped according to the Booster injection requirements.
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