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Abstract

Electron cloud interaction with high energy positive beam are believed responsible for various undesirable effects such as vacuum degradation, collective beam instability and even beam loss in high power proton circular accelerator. An important uncertainty in predicting electron cloud instability lies in the detail processes on the generation and accumulation of the electron cloud. The simulation on the build-up of electron cloud is necessary to further studies on beam instability caused by electron cloud. China Spallation Neutron Source (CSNS) is the largest scientific project in building, whose accelerator complex includes two main parts: an H- linac and a rapid cycling synchrotron (RCS). The RCS accumulates the 80MeV proton beam and accelerates it to 1.6GeV with a repetition rate 25Hz. During the beam injection with lower energy, the emerging electron cloud may cause a serious instability and beam loss on the vacuum pipe. A simulation code has been developed to simulate the build-up, distribution and density of electron cloud in CSNS/RCS.

INTRODUCTION

In high intensity proton circular accelerator, the electron cloud effect has been considered as one of the main sources of beam instability, which can lead to the uncontrolled beam loss [1]. This electron proton instability has been observed and confirmed in many commission proton circular accelerators, such as LANL PSR [2], KEK Booster [3], CERN PS [4] and SNS in ORNL [5]. The primary electrons produced by proton losses at the chamber surface attracted and accelerated by the body of bunch then released at the bunch tail or bunch spacing. The secondary electrons are produced and amplified when the accelerated electron hitting the chamber wall. This secondary electron multipacting attributes to the main source of electron cloud.

Table 1: The main parameters of the RCS/CSNS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>Injection</th>
<th>Extraction</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Circumference C (m)</td>
<td>227.92</td>
<td>227.92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Energy E (GeV)</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>1.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bunch Population Np (10^13)</td>
<td>1.56</td>
<td>1.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Revolution Frequency ω (MHz)</td>
<td>3.20</td>
<td>6.78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bunch length σp (m)</td>
<td>48.931</td>
<td>22.285</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beam transverse size σx, σy (cm)</td>
<td>1.5, 1.5</td>
<td>1.2, 1.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pipe radius b (cm)</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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A high intensity proton accelerator facility as neutron source proposed in past ten years and is being built in China, which is named China Spallation Neutron Source (CSNS) [5]. The facility is equipped with an H- linac and a rapid cycling synchrotron (RCS). A simulation code has been developed and benchmarked to ascertain detailed establishment of electron cloud in CSNS/RCS. The physical mechanism on primary electron production, its dynamics, secondary electron emission and electron accumulation is described in section II of this paper. By tracking dynamics of electrons with physical model in section II, a simulation code for obtaining distribution and density of electron cloud has been developed and benchmarked with other machines. With the beam parameters of RCS/CSNS, the process of electron cloud buildup is studied in different simulation conditions such as average beam loss rate, secondary electron yield, transverse and longitudinal beam profile, and beam intensity. Most of the simulations are performed in a field-free region mainly. The comprehensive simulation on electron cloud in RCS/CSNS gives the quantitative relation between electron density and beam parameters which is meaningful to the construction of RCS/CSNS.

PHYSICAL MODEL

The electron sources in proton circular accelerator may be classified into (1) electrons stripped at injection region; (2) electrons produced by proton loss incident the vacuum chamber; (3) secondary electron emission process; and (4) electrons produced by residual gas ionization. The stripped electrons generated near the stripping foil, which can be absorbed by installing a special collector at the injection region [7]. The yield of ionization electrons is determined by the ionization cross section and vacuum pressure in beam chamber. Because of the lower vacuum pressure about 10^{-2} Pa and ionization cross section for CO and H2 approximately 1.3 MBar and 0.3 MBar, the ionization electrons can be neglected in simulation. In the electron dynamic tracking for RCS/CSNS, the primary electrons produced by proton losses and secondary electrons are considered.

These striking electrons may produce secondary electrons if their energy is high enough. The fraction between the emission electrons from pipe surface and the total incident electrons is defined as secondary electrons yield (SEY). If SEY is above 1, the electrons multipacting will happen. Actually, the secondary electrons include two types: elastic backscattered electrons and true secondary electrons. The yields of the true secondary electrons and elastic backscattered electrons can be expressed with formula (1) and (2) [8], respectively. In formula, δmax is the
maximum secondary emission yield for perpendicular incidence, and \( \varepsilon_{\text{max}} \) presents the incidence electron energy with maximum secondary emission yield \( \delta_{\text{max}} \). \( E_p \) is the energy of the electron, \( \theta \) the angle of incidence electrons and \( \delta_e, \delta_{\text{ex}}, \Delta \) and \( E_e \) are experiential fitting parameters decided by pipe material with \( \delta_e = 0.1, \delta_{\text{ex}} = 0.02 \) and \( \Delta = E_e = 5eV \) [8].

\[
\delta_e(E_p, \theta) = \delta_{\text{max}} + \frac{1.444(E_p/\varepsilon_{\text{max}})^{0.44} + (E_p/\varepsilon_{\text{max}})^{0.5} \times (1 - \cos(\theta))}{(1 - 0.5 \times (1 - \cos(\theta)))}
\]

In the magnetic-field-free region, the electrons move under the space-charge fields of the proton beam and between other electrons. For the long proton bunch, the longitudinal space-charge field can be neglected due to slow potential variations in longitudinal direction and the symmetry of longitudinal beam profile that traps the particles longitudinally. Therefore, the electrons mainly move under the beam transverse fields. For a cylindrical beam with uniform and gaussian transverse distribution, the space-charge fields are expressed respectively as

\[
E_r(t) = \begin{cases} \frac{\lambda(t) 2r}{4 \pi \varepsilon_0} \left( r > \sigma_r \right), \\ \frac{\lambda(t) 2r}{4 \pi \varepsilon_0} \left( r < \sigma_r \right), 
\end{cases}
\]

\[
E_\theta(t) = \frac{\lambda(t)}{4 \pi \varepsilon_0} \left[ 1 - \exp\left(-\frac{r^2}{2\sigma_r^2}\right) \right] \frac{r}{2 \sigma_r} 
\]

where \( \lambda(t) \) is the beam's line density, \( \varepsilon_0 \) known as the permittivity of vacuum, and \( \sigma_r \) is the beam transverse size. In fact, the space-charge fields of any spatial particle distribution can be obtained by numerical evaluation with PIC methods, which meshes the particles in three dimensional grid and makes two dimensional FFT in the transverse grids for each longitudinal slice to compute the potential on every grid point. The electric field comparison between numerical solution and analytical solution to uniform and Gaussian distributed charge are shown in figure 1. It is clear the numerical solution fits well to the analytical results.

**SIMULATION RESULTS**

According to the physical model described in section II, a simulation code was developed independently to understand the buildup of electron cloud in proton circular accelerator. After verifying the validity of simulation by benchmarking electron cloud density in J-PARC, LANL PSR and ORNL SNS, the buildup of the electron cloud in CSNS/RCS is simulated in different parameters, such as proton loss rate, SEY, beam intensity and bunch transverse size. For high intensity proton accelerator, the common requirement of loss power for maintenance is lower than 1 W/m. assuming the beam loss happen in first several hundred turns and every lost proton can produce 100 electrons [9], the densities of electron cloud in series of \( P_{\text{loss}} \) are shown in figure 2.
It is clear the electrons are produced near the pipe wall and distributed widely at the start of interaction with the bunch. Then electrons are gathered to the beam vicinity and cloud size is comparable to beam size. After the bunch passage and without interaction, these accumulated electrons splash in the vacuum pipe. During the passage of the next bunch, the same process will happen again.

The electron cloud amplification in various $\delta_{\text{max}}$ is simulated for CSNS/RCS and the results are shown in figure 4. It is clear that the peak electron cloud density is sensitive to the maximum secondary electron yield. So the most efficient method to cure the electron cloud is surface treatment to reduce $\delta_{\text{max}}$ below 1.3.

The energy of primary electrons is decided by the loss protons. In order to understand the relation between primary electron characteristics and electron cloud, the development of electron cloud in different primary electrons energy is simulated and shown in figure 5.

The beam transverse size also has much influence on the saturation density of the electron cloud because of the beam space-charge fields expressed in equation (3) and (4). The simulation on electron cloud under different beam transverse size is shown in figure 6. Broadening the beam size gradually, electron energy gain drops lower to the $E_{\text{max}}$ and the cloud density descends correspondingly.

The electron cloud build up in different beam intensity is plotted in figure 7. With stronger beam intensity, primary electrons produced by beam loss increase correspondingly and their energy gain is enhanced simultaneously.

**CONCLUSION**

For instance of CSNS/RCS, the buildup of electron cloud in circular proton accelerator was investigated. A computer simulation code is developed to study the accumulation process of electron cloud. In the simulation model, primary electrons appear due to proton loss on the wall of vacuum pipe and the secondary electron emission model is also included. The calculation proved the application on TiN coating to reduce the secondary yield is a powerful cure for electron cloud. The investigation on the electron cloud build up in various beam parameters such as beam intensity, transverse size and proton loss rate, is done in detail. All these simulation will be meaningful to understand the interaction between electrons and high intensity proton beam in circular accelerators.
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