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Abstract T2K is a long-base-line neutrino oscillation experiment at J-PARC in Japan. High intensity neutrino/antineutrino beam is generated at J-PARC, and propagates 295km to Super-Kamiokande. High intensity proton beam is extracted from Main Ring (MR) synchrotron, and guided through primary proton beamline to a graphite target using normal-conducting (NC) magnets and super-conducting magnets. The power supplies (PSs) of the NC magnets were made mostly in 80’s and needed increasing effort for maintenance. In summer 2014 we replaced all of the old PSs for NC magnets. We also developed a new control system based on EPICS and PLCs, putting emphasis on the safe operation of PSs, and integrated it into the existing interlock system. We also report the actual implementation of these developments.

Motivation

We control the high intensity proton beam of $2 \times 10^{14}$ ppp at primary beamline.

Beam power upgrade plan at J-PARC MR

In 2012, we have developed the interlock system to protect beamline equipments from high intensity beam by monitoring the output current of NC PSs. However the latency time was large due to large ripple of the old PSs. Toward the high intensity beam operation, we newly

- Developed new PSs with PLC/EPICS control system
- Improved the interlock system

T2K Primary Beamline

Final focusing (FI) section 10 normal conducting magnets

Arc section 11 normal conducting magnets

Preparation section 28 superconducting combined func. magnets

New PSs for NC Magnets

We developed five types of PSs. We installed them to the primary beamline in summer 2014. Each PS has two DCCTs, one is for feedback control and the other is current monitoring for interlock. The current stability of new PSs is superior to old ones.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Magnet type</th>
<th>DC OUT (A)</th>
<th>Converter type</th>
<th>Current stability (A)</th>
<th>Unit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Dipole</td>
<td>$1500 / 100$</td>
<td>chopper</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quadrupole</td>
<td>$1000 / 100$</td>
<td>chopper</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Steering I</td>
<td>$400 / 40$</td>
<td>chopper</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Steering II</td>
<td>$200 / 20$</td>
<td>switching</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Steering III</td>
<td>$100 / 10$</td>
<td>switching</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Spec. of new NC PSs Total 21

New PSs and the Latency

We measured the interlock latency time of DPM and PLC using a digital oscilloscope. $\Delta T_{DPM}$ and $\Delta T_{PLC}$ is defined as right.

Old PSs and the Latency

We measured the latency time of DPM and PLC for old PS by changing the number of averaging times at the DPM. We operated the DPMs with 100-times averaging due to large output current ripple. It resulted in the latency time of about 120ms.

New PSs and the Latency

We measured the latency time using a new PS for dipoles by changing the LO threshold value at the DPM. In this case, no averaging was done on DPM due to small ripple. The latency time $T_{PLC}$ is $\sim 15.5$ms. $T_{DPM}$ was $\sim 4$ms.

Improvement in Interlock Latency

Interlock Latency Measurement

We have measured the interlock latency time of DPM and PLC using a digital oscilloscope. $\Delta T_{DPM}$ and $\Delta T_{PLC}$ is defined as right.

Old PSs and the Latency

We measured the latency time of DPM and PLC for old PS by changing the number of averaging times at the DPM. We operated the DPMs with 100-times averaging due to large output current ripple. It resulted in the latency time of about 120ms.

New PSs and the Latency

We measured the latency time using a new PS for dipoles by changing the LO threshold value at the DPM. In this case, no averaging was done on DPM due to small ripple. The latency time $T_{PLC}$ is $\sim 15.5$ms. $T_{DPM}$ was $\sim 4$ms.

Additional latency time from PLC to Kicker magnet was $\sim 0.07$ms.

We have drastically reduced latency time of the interlock system of NC PSs. It reduces the risk for damage of beamline equipments by high intensity beams.

Summary

We have developed the new NC PSs with a company and replaced all of the old ones by new ones in summer 2014. Also we upgraded the control system for NC PSs using EPICS and PLCs. We integrated the new PSs to the present interlock system for current fluctuation. The latency time of the interlock system was drastically reduced.